

A Appendices

A.1 Proofs for decomposition and scaling

Proof of Lemma 2.2. Recall the summation by parts formula: for scalar sequences $\{a_k, b_k\}$,

$$\sum_{k=0}^N a_{k+1}[b_{k+1} - b_k] = a_{k+1}b_{k+1} - a_1b_0 - \sum_{k=1}^N [a_{k+1} - a_k]b_k \quad (44)$$

This is applied to (29b), beginning with

$$\tilde{\theta}_{N+1}^T = \sum_{n=0}^N \alpha_{n+1} A \tilde{\theta}_n^T + \sum_{n=0}^N \alpha_{n+1} [Z_{n+1} - Z_{n+2}]$$

Hence with $a_k = \alpha_k$ and $b_k = Z_{k+1}$, the identity (44) implies

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^N \alpha_{n+1} [Z_{n+1} - Z_{n+2}] &= Z_1 - \alpha_{N+1} Z_{N+2} + \sum_{n=1}^N [\alpha_{n+1} - \alpha_n] Z_{n+1} \\ &= Z_1 - \alpha_{N+1} Z_{N+2} - \sum_{n=1}^N \alpha_{n+1} \alpha_n Z_{n+1} \end{aligned}$$

By substitution, and using $\tilde{\theta}_0^T = 0$,

$$\tilde{\theta}_{N+1}^T = Z_1 - \alpha_{N+1} Z_{N+2} + \sum_{n=1}^N \alpha_{n+1} [A \tilde{\theta}_n^T - \alpha_n Z_{n+1}]$$

With $\Xi_n := \tilde{\theta}_n^T + \alpha_n Z_{n+1}$ for $n \geq 1$ we finally obtain for $N \geq 1$,

$$\Xi_{N+1} = Z_1 + \sum_{n=1}^N \alpha_{n+1} [A \Xi_n - \alpha_n [I + A] Z_{n+1}]$$

which is equivalent to (30). □

Proof of Lemma 2.3. Consider the Taylor series expansion:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(n+1)^e}{n^e} &= (1+n^{-1})^e = 1 + \varrho n^{-1} - \frac{1}{2} \varrho(1-\varrho)n^{-2} + O(n^{-3}) \\ &= 1 + \varrho(n+1)^{-1} + \varrho n^{-1}(n+1)^{-1} - \frac{1}{2} \varrho(1-\varrho)n^{-2} + O(n^{-3}) \end{aligned}$$

where the second equation uses $n^{-1} - (n+1)^{-1} = n^{-1}(n+1)^{-1}$. With $\alpha_n = 1/n$, the following bound follows:

$$(n+1)^e = n^e [1 + \alpha_{n+1}(\varrho + \varepsilon(n, \varrho))]$$

where $\varepsilon(n, \varrho) = O(n^{-1})$, and $\varepsilon(n, \varrho) > 0$ for all n .

Multiplying both sides of (3) by $(n+1)^e$, we obtain

$$\tilde{\theta}_{n+1}^e = \tilde{\theta}_n^e + \alpha_{n+1} [\varrho_n \tilde{\theta}_n^e + A(n, \varrho) \tilde{\theta}_n^e + (n+1)^e \Delta_{n+1}]$$

where $\varrho_n = \varrho + \varepsilon(n, \varrho)$ and $A(n, \varrho) = (1+n^{-1})^e A$. □

Lemma A.1. *Let $\varrho_0 > 0, L \geq 0$ be fixed real numbers. Then the following holds for each $n \geq 1$ and $1 \leq n_0 < n$:*

$$\prod_{k=n_0}^n [1 - \varrho_0 \alpha_k + L^2 \alpha_k^2] \leq K_{A.1} \frac{n_0^{\varrho_0}}{(n+1)^{\varrho_0}}$$

where $K_{A.1} = \exp(\varrho_0 + L^2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \alpha_k^2)$.

Proof. By the inequality $1 - x \leq \exp(-x)$,

$$\prod_{k=n_0}^n [1 - \varrho_0 \alpha_k + L^2 \alpha_k^2] \leq \exp(-\varrho_0 \sum_{k=n_0}^n \alpha_k) \exp(L^2 \sum_{k=n_0}^n \alpha_k^2) \leq \exp(-\varrho_0) K \exp(-\varrho_0 \sum_{k=n_0}^n \alpha_k)$$

The remainder of the proof involves establishing the bound

$$\exp(-\varrho_0 \sum_{k=n_0}^n \alpha_k) \leq \exp(\varrho_0) \frac{n_0^{\varrho_0}}{(n+1)^{\varrho_0}} \quad (45)$$

For $n_0 = 1$ this follows from the bound $\sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k \geq \ln(n+1)$, and for $n_0 \geq 2$ the bound (45) follows from $\sum_{k=n_0}^n \alpha_k > \ln(n+1) - \ln(n_0-1) - 1$. \square

Lemma A.2. *Under Assumptions A1-A3, let $\lambda = -\varrho_0 + ui$ denote an eigenvalue of the matrix A with largest real part. Then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{2\varrho} \mathbb{E}[\tilde{\theta}_n^\top \tilde{\theta}_n] = 0, \quad \varrho < \varrho_0 \text{ and } \varrho \leq \frac{1}{2}$$

Proof. Recall the decomposition of $\tilde{\theta}_n$ in (31): $\tilde{\theta}_n = \tilde{\theta}_n^{(1)} + \tilde{\theta}_n^{(2)} + \tilde{\theta}_n^{(3)}$, with $\tilde{\theta}_n^{(1)}, \tilde{\theta}_n^{(2)}$ evolving as

$$\tilde{\theta}_{n+1}^{(1)} = \tilde{\theta}_n^{(1)} + \alpha_{n+1} [A \tilde{\theta}_n^{(1)} + \Delta_{n+2}^m], \quad \tilde{\theta}_0^{(1)} = \tilde{\theta}_0 \quad (46a)$$

$$\tilde{\theta}_{n+1}^{(2)} = \tilde{\theta}_n^{(2)} + \alpha_{n+1} [A \tilde{\theta}_n^{(2)} - \alpha_n [I + A] Z_{n+1}], \quad \tilde{\theta}_1^{(2)} = Z_1 \quad (46b)$$

For fixed $\varrho < \varrho_0$ and $\varrho \leq \frac{1}{2}$, Let $T > 0$ solve the Lyapunov equation $[A + \varrho I]T + T[A + \varrho I]^\top + I = 0$, which exists since $A + \varrho I$ is Hurwitz. Define the norm of $\tilde{\theta}_n$ by $\|\tilde{\theta}_n\|_T := \sqrt{\mathbb{E}[\tilde{\theta}_n^\top T \tilde{\theta}_n]}$.

First consider $\tilde{\theta}_n^{(1)}$. Since the martingale difference Δ_{n+2}^m is uncorrelated with $\tilde{\theta}_n^{(1)}$, denoting $e_n = \|\tilde{\theta}_n^{(1)}\|_T^2, b_{n+2} = \|\Delta_{n+2}^m\|_T^2$, we obtain the following from (46a):

$$e_{n+1} = \|[I + \alpha_{n+1} A] \tilde{\theta}_n^{(1)}\|_T^2 + b_{n+2} \quad (47)$$

Letting $\lambda_0 > 0$ denote the largest eigenvalue of T , we arrive at the following simplification of the first term in (47)

$$\begin{aligned} \|[I + \alpha_{n+1} A] \tilde{\theta}_n^{(1)}\|_T^2 &= \mathbb{E}[(\tilde{\theta}_n^{(1)})^\top [T - 2\alpha_{n+1} \varrho T - \alpha_{n+1} I + \alpha_{n+1}^2 A T A^\top] \tilde{\theta}_n^{(1)}] \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}[(\tilde{\theta}_n^{(1)})^\top [T - 2\alpha_{n+1} \varrho T - \frac{1}{\lambda_0} \alpha_{n+1} T + \alpha_{n+1}^2 A T A^\top] \tilde{\theta}_n^{(1)}] \\ &\leq [1 - 2\alpha_{n+1} \varrho - \alpha_{n+1} / \lambda_0 + \alpha_{n+1}^2 L^2] \|\tilde{\theta}_n^{(1)}\|_T^2 \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

where L denotes the induced operator norm of A with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_T$. We then obtain the following recursive bound from (47) and (48)

$$e_{n+1} \leq [1 - (2\varrho + 1/\lambda_0) \alpha_{n+1} + L^2 \alpha_{n+1}^2] e_n + \alpha_{n+1}^2 K$$

where $K = \sup_{n \geq 1} b_n$. K is finite since b_n converges to $\mathbb{E}_\pi[(\Delta_n^m)^\top T \Delta_n^m]$ geometrically fast.

Consequently, for each $n \geq 1$,

$$e_{n+1} \leq e_0 \prod_{k=1}^{n+1} [1 - (2\varrho + 1/\lambda_\circ)\alpha_k + L^2\alpha_k^2] + K \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \alpha_k^2 \prod_{l=k+1}^{n+1} [1 - (2\varrho + 1/\lambda_\circ)\alpha_l + L^2\alpha_l^2]$$

By Lemma A.1,

$$e_{n+1} \leq e_1 K_{A.1} \frac{1}{(n+2)^{2\varrho+1/\lambda_\circ}} + \frac{K K_{A.1}}{(n+2)^{2\varrho+1/\lambda_\circ}} \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \alpha_k^{2-2\varrho-1/\lambda_\circ}$$

Therefore, $e_{n+1} \rightarrow 0$ at rate at least $n^{-2\varrho}$.

For $\tilde{\theta}_n^{(2)}$, we use similar arguments. We obtain the following from (46b) by the triangle inequality.

$$\|\tilde{\theta}_{n+1}^{(2)}\|_T \leq \|[I + \alpha_{n+1}A]\tilde{\theta}_n^{(2)}\|_T + \alpha_n \alpha_{n+1} \|[I + A]Z_{n+1}\|_T$$

Using the same argument as in (48), along with the inequality $\sqrt{1+x} \leq 1 + \frac{1}{2}x$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|[I + \alpha_{n+1}A]\tilde{\theta}_n^{(2)}\|_T &\leq \|\tilde{\theta}_n^{(2)}\|_T \sqrt{1 - 2\alpha_{n+1}\varrho - \alpha_{n+1}/\lambda_\circ + \alpha_{n+1}^2 L^2} \\ &\leq \|\tilde{\theta}_n^{(2)}\|_T (1 - \alpha_{n+1}\varrho - \alpha_{n+1}/(2\lambda_\circ) + \frac{1}{2}\alpha_{n+1}^2 L^2) \end{aligned}$$

Denote $K' = \sup_{n \geq 1} \|[I + A]Z_{n+1}\|_T$.

$$\|\tilde{\theta}_{n+1}^{(2)}\|_T \leq [1 - (\varrho + 1/(2\lambda_\circ))\alpha_{n+1} + \frac{1}{2}\alpha_{n+1}^2 L^2] \|\tilde{\theta}_n^{(2)}\|_T + \alpha_n \alpha_{n+1} K'$$

Then by the same argument for the martingale difference term, we can show that $\|\tilde{\theta}_n^{(2)}\|_T \rightarrow 0$ at rate at least $n^{-\varrho}$.

Given $\|\tilde{\theta}_n^{(3)}\|_T = \alpha_n \|Z_{n+1}\|_T$ converges to zero at rate $1/n$, the proof is completed by the triangle inequality. \square

A.2 Proof of Thm. 2.4

Denote $\text{Cov}(\theta_n^{(i)}) = \mathbb{E}[\tilde{\theta}_n^{(i)}(\tilde{\theta}_n^{(i)})^\top]$ and $\Sigma_n^{\varrho,(i)} = \mathbb{E}[\tilde{\theta}^{\varrho,(i)}(\tilde{\theta}^{\varrho,(i)})^\top] = n^{2\varrho} \text{Cov}(\theta_n^{(i)})$ for each i in (33). The proof proceeds by establishing the convergence rate for each $\text{Cov}(\theta_n^{(i)})$. The main challenges are the first two: $\text{Cov}(\theta_n^{(1)})$ and $\text{Cov}(\theta_n^{(2)})$, for which explicit bounds are obtained by studying recursions of the scaled sequences. Bounding $\tilde{\theta}_n^{(3)} = -\alpha_n Z_{n+1}$ is trivial.

The martingale difference term

Proposition A.3. *Under (A1)-(A3),*

(i) *If $\text{Real}(\lambda) < -\frac{1}{2}$ for every eigenvalue λ of A , then*

$$\text{Cov}(\theta_n^{(1)}) = n^{-1}\Sigma_\theta + O(n^{-1-\delta})$$

where $\delta = \delta(\frac{1}{2}I + A, \Sigma_\Delta) > 0$, and Σ_θ is the solution to the Lyapunov equation (4).

(ii) *Suppose there is an eigenvalue λ of A , that satisfies $-\varrho_0 = \text{Real}(\lambda) > -\frac{1}{2}$. Let $v \neq 0$ denote the corresponding left eigenvector, and suppose moreover that $\Sigma_\Delta v \neq 0$. Then, $\mathbb{E}[|v^\top \tilde{\theta}_n^{(1)}|^2]$ converges to 0 at rate $n^{-2\varrho_0}$. \square*

Proof of Prop. A.3 (i) Recall that $\{\Delta_n^m\}$ is a martingale difference sequence. It is thus an uncorrelated sequence for which $\tilde{\theta}_n^{(1)}$ and Δ_{n+k}^m are uncorrelated for $k \geq 2$. The following recursion is obtained from these facts and (29a)

$$\text{Cov}(\theta_{n+1}^{(1)}) = \text{Cov}(\theta_n^{(1)}) + \alpha_{n+1} \left[\text{Cov}(\theta_n^{(1)})A^\top + A\text{Cov}(\theta_n^{(1)}) + \alpha_{n+1}[A\text{Cov}(\theta_n^{(1)})A^\top + \Sigma_{\Delta_{n+2}}] \right]$$

Multiplying each side by $n+1$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} (n+1)\text{Cov}(\theta_{n+1}^{(1)}) &= n\text{Cov}(\theta_n^{(1)}) + \text{Cov}(\theta_n^{(1)}) + \text{Cov}(\theta_n^{(1)})A^\top + A\text{Cov}(\theta_n^{(1)}) \\ &\quad + \alpha_{n+1}[A\text{Cov}(\theta_n^{(1)})A^\top + \Sigma_{\Delta_{n+2}}] \\ &= n\text{Cov}(\theta_n^{(1)}) + \alpha_{n+1} \left[\left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)[n\text{Cov}(\theta_n^{(1)}) + n\text{Cov}(\theta_n^{(1)})A^\top + An\text{Cov}(\theta_n^{(1)})] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + A\text{Cov}(\theta_n^{(1)})A^\top + \Sigma_{\Delta_{n+2}} \right] \end{aligned}$$

The following argument will be used repeatedly through this Appendix: the recursion for $n\text{Cov}(\theta_n^{(1)})$ is a *deterministic* SA recursion for $n\text{Cov}(\theta_n^{(1)})$, and is regarded as an Euler approximation to the stable linear system

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{X}(t) = (1 + e^{-t})[\mathcal{X}(t) + A\mathcal{X}(t) + \mathcal{X}(t)A^\top] + \Sigma_\Delta + e^{-t}A\mathcal{X}(t)A^\top \quad (49)$$

Stability follows from the assumption that $\frac{1}{2}I + A$ is Hurwitz. The standard justification of the Euler approximation is through the choice of timescale: let $t_n = \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k$ and let $\mathcal{X}^n(t)$ denote the solution to this ODE on $[t_n, \infty)$ with $\mathcal{X}^n(t_n) = n\text{Cov}(\theta_n^{(1)})$, $t \geq t_n$, for any $n \geq 1$. Using standard ODE arguments (Borkar, 2008),

$$\sup_{k \geq n} \|\mathcal{X}^n(t_k) - k\Sigma_k^{(1)}\| = O(1/n)$$

Exponential convergence of \mathcal{X} to Σ_θ implies convergence of $\{n\text{Cov}(\theta_n^{(1)})\}$ to zero at rate $1/n^\delta$ for some $\delta = \delta(\frac{1}{2}I + A, \Sigma_\Delta) > 0$. \square

Proof of Prop. A.3 (ii) Denote $e_n^{\varrho_0} = \mathbb{E}[|v^\top \tilde{\theta}_n^{\varrho_0}|^2]$ and $\lambda = -\varrho_0 + ui$. We begin with the proof that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} e_n^{\varrho_0} > 0 \quad (50)$$

With $v^\top[I\lambda - A] = 0$, we have $v^\top[I\varrho_n + A(n, \varrho)] = [\varepsilon_v(n, \varrho_0) + ui]v^\top$, with $\varepsilon_v(n, \varrho_0) = O(n^{-1})$. Applying (34a) gives

$$v^\top \tilde{\theta}_{n+1}^{\varrho_0, (1)} = v^\top \tilde{\theta}_n^{\varrho_0, (1)} + \alpha_{n+1} [[\varepsilon_v(n, \varrho_0) + ui]v^\top \tilde{\theta}_n^{\varrho_0, (1)} + (n+1)^{\varrho_0} v^\top \Delta_{n+2}^m]$$

Let \bar{v} denote the conjugate of v . Consequently, with $\sigma_n^2(v) = v^\top \Sigma_{\Delta_n} \bar{v}$,

$$e_{n+1}^{\varrho_0} = \left[[1 + \varepsilon_v(n, \varrho_0)/(n+1)]^2 + u^2/(n+1)^2 \right] e_n^{\varrho_0} + (n+1)^{2\varrho_0-2} \sigma_{n+2}^2(v)$$

V -uniform ergodicity implies that $\sigma_n^2(v) \rightarrow v^\top \Sigma_\Delta \bar{v} > 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ at a geometric rate. Fix $n_0 > 0$ so that $\sigma_{n_0}^2(v) > 0$, and hence also $e_{n_0+1}^{\varrho_0} > 0$. We also assume that $1 + \varepsilon_v(n, \varrho_0)/(n+1) > 0$ for $n \geq n_0$, which is possible since $\varepsilon_v(n, \varrho_0) = O(n^{-1})$.

For $N > n_0$ we obtain the uniform bound

$$\log(e_N^{\varrho_0}) \geq \log(e_{n_0+1}^{\varrho_0}) + 2 \sum_{n=n_0+2}^{\infty} \log[1 - |\varepsilon_v(n, \varrho_0)|/(n+1)] > -\infty$$

which proves that $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} e_n^{\varrho_0} = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} v^\top \Sigma_n^{\varrho_0, (1)} \bar{v} > 0$.

The proof of an upper bound for $\varrho_0 < 1/2$: by concavity of the logarithm,

$$\log(e_{n+1}^{\varrho_0}) \leq \log\left(\left[1 + \varepsilon_v(n, \varrho_0)/(n+1)\right]^2 + u^2/(n+1)^2\right) e_n^{\varrho_0} + K(n+1)^{2\varrho_0-2}$$

where $K = \sup_{n > n_0} \left[1 + \varepsilon_v(n, \varrho_0)/(n+1)\right]^2 + u^2/(n+1)^2 \left[e_n^{\varrho_0}\right]^{-1} \sigma_{n+2}^2(v)$. Using concavity of the logarithm once more gives

$$\log(e_{n+1}^{\varrho_0}) \leq \log(e_n^{\varrho_0}) + 2\varepsilon_v(n, \varrho_0)/(n+1) + \frac{\varepsilon_v(n, \varrho_0)^2}{(n+1)^2} + \frac{u^2}{(n+1)^2} + K(n+1)^{2\varrho_0-2}$$

which gives the uniform upper bound

$$\log(e_N^{\varrho_0}) \leq \log(e_{n_0+1}^{\varrho_0}) + \sum_{n=n_0+2}^{\infty} \left(2 \frac{|\varepsilon_v(n, \varrho_0)|}{n+1} + \frac{\varepsilon_v(n, \varrho_0)^2}{(n+1)^2} + \frac{u^2}{(n+1)^2} + K(n+1)^{2\varrho_0-2}\right) < \infty$$

This proves that $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} e_n^{\varrho_0} = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} v^\top \Sigma_n^{\varrho_0, (1)} \bar{v} < \infty$. \square

The telescoping sequence term

Proposition A.4. *Under (A1)-(A3),*

(i) *If $\text{Real}(\lambda) < -\frac{1}{2}$ for every eigenvalue λ of A , then, $\text{Cov}(\theta_n^{(2)}) = O(n^{-1-\delta})$ for some $\delta = \delta(\frac{1}{2}I + A, \Sigma_\Delta) > 0$.*

(ii) *Suppose there is an eigenvalue λ of A that satisfies $-\varrho_0 = \text{Real}(\lambda) > -\frac{1}{2}$. Let $v \neq 0$ denote the corresponding left eigenvector, and suppose moreover that $\Sigma_\Delta v \neq 0$. Then,*

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{2\varrho_0} \mathbb{E}[|v^\top \tilde{\theta}_n^{(2)}|^2] < \infty$$

\square

Proof for Prop. A.4 (i) Denote $\mathcal{D}_n = \varepsilon(n, \varrho)I + A(n, \varrho) - A$. We can rewrite (34b) as

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\theta}_{n+1}^{\varrho, (2)} &= \tilde{\theta}_n^{\varrho, (2)} + \alpha_{n+1} \left[\left[\frac{1}{2}I + A \right] \tilde{\theta}_n^{\varrho, (2)} + \mathcal{D}_n \tilde{\theta}_n^{\varrho, (2)} - \alpha_n (n+1)^\varrho [I + A] Z_{n+1} \right] \\ &= \left[I + \alpha_{n+1} \left[\frac{1}{2}I + A \right] \right] \tilde{\theta}_n^{\varrho, (2)} + \alpha_{n+1} \mathcal{D}_n \tilde{\theta}_n^{\varrho, (2)} - \alpha_{n+1} \alpha_n (n+1)^\varrho [I + A] Z_{n+1} \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

Let $T > 0$ solve the Lyapunov equation

$$\left[\frac{1}{2}I + A \right]^\top T + T \left[\frac{1}{2}I + A \right] + I = 0$$

As in the proof of Lemma A.2, a solution exists because $\frac{1}{2}I + A$ is Hurwitz. Adopting the familiar notation $\|\tilde{\theta}_n^{\varrho, (2)}\|_T := \sqrt{\mathbb{E}[(\tilde{\theta}_n^{\varrho, (2)})^\top T \tilde{\theta}_n^{\varrho, (2)}]}$, the triangle inequality applied to (51) gives

$$\|\tilde{\theta}_{n+1}^{\varrho, (2)}\|_T \leq \left\| \left[I + \alpha_{n+1} \left[\frac{1}{2}I + A \right] \right] \tilde{\theta}_n^{\varrho, (2)} \right\|_T + \alpha_{n+1} \|\mathcal{D}_n\|_T \|\tilde{\theta}_n^{\varrho, (2)}\|_T + \alpha_{n+1} \alpha_n (n+1)^\varrho \|[I + A] Z_{n+1}\|_T \quad (52)$$

The first term can be simplified by the Lyapunov equation.

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \left[I + \alpha_{n+1} \left[\frac{1}{2}I + A \right] \right] \tilde{\theta}_n^{\varrho, (2)} \right\|_T^2 &= \mathbb{E} \left[(\tilde{\theta}_n^{\varrho, (2)})^\top \left[T - \alpha_{n+1} I + \alpha_{n+1}^2 \left[\frac{1}{2}I + A \right]^\top T \left[\frac{1}{2}I + A \right] \right] \tilde{\theta}_n^{\varrho, (2)} \right] \\ &\leq \mathbb{E} \left[(\tilde{\theta}_n^{\varrho, (2)})^\top \left[T - \frac{\alpha_{n+1}}{\lambda_o} T + \alpha_{n+1}^2 \left[\frac{1}{2}I + A \right]^\top T \left[\frac{1}{2}I + A \right] \right] \tilde{\theta}_n^{\varrho, (2)} \right] \\ &\leq \|\tilde{\theta}_n^{\varrho, (2)}\|_T^2 - \frac{\alpha_{n+1}}{\lambda_o} \|\tilde{\theta}_n^{\varrho, (2)}\|_T^2 + \alpha_{n+1}^2 L^2 \|\tilde{\theta}_n^{\varrho, (2)}\|_T^2 \end{aligned}$$

where L is the induced operator norm of $\frac{1}{2}I + A$, and $\lambda_o > 0$ denotes its largest eigenvalue.

Consequently, by the inequality $\sqrt{1+x} \leq 1 + \frac{1}{2}x$,

$$\|[I + \alpha_{n+1}[\frac{1}{2}I + A]]\tilde{\theta}_n^{e,(2)}\|_T \leq \|\tilde{\theta}_n^{e,(2)}\|_T \sqrt{1 - \frac{\alpha_{n+1}}{\lambda_o} + \alpha_{n+1}^2 L^2} \leq \|\tilde{\theta}_n^{e,(2)}\|_T (1 - \frac{\alpha_{n+1}}{2\lambda_o} + \frac{1}{2}\alpha_{n+1}^2 L^2)$$

Fix $n_0 > 0$ such that for $n \geq n_0$,

$$1 - \frac{\alpha_{n+1}}{2\lambda_o} + \frac{1}{2}\alpha_{n+1}^2 L^2 + \alpha_{n+1}\|\mathcal{D}_n\|_T \leq 1 - \frac{\alpha_{n+1}}{4\lambda_o}$$

This is possible since $\|\mathcal{D}_n\|_T = O(n^{-1})$.

Denote $\delta = \min(\frac{1}{4\lambda_o}, \frac{1}{4})$ and $K = \sup_{n \geq n_0} \|[I + A]Z_{n+1}\|_T$, which is finite because $\|Z_{n+1}\|_T$ converges. We obtain the following from (52)

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{\theta}_{n+1}^{e,(2)}\|_T &\leq \|\tilde{\theta}_n^{e,(2)}\|_T (1 - \delta\alpha_{n+1}) + \alpha_{n+1}^{1/2}\alpha_n K \\ &\leq \|\tilde{\theta}_n^{e,(2)}\|_T (1 - \delta\alpha_{n+1}) + \alpha_n^{3/2} K \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

Apply (53) repeatedly for $n \geq n_0$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{\theta}_{n+1}^{e,(2)}\|_T &\leq \|\tilde{\theta}_{n_0}^{e,(2)}\|_T \prod_{k=n_0+1}^{n+1} (1 - \delta\alpha_k) + K \sum_{k=n_0}^n \alpha_k^{3/2} \prod_{l=k+1}^n (1 - \delta\alpha_l) \\ &\leq \|\tilde{\theta}_{n_0}^{e,(2)}\|_T \exp(\delta) \frac{n_0^\delta}{(n+2)^\delta} + \frac{K \exp(\delta)}{(n+1)^\delta} \sum_{k=n_0}^n k^{-\frac{3}{2}+\delta} \end{aligned}$$

where $\sum_{k=1}^\infty k^{-\frac{3}{2}+\delta} < \infty$ for $\delta \leq 1/4$. Therefore, $\|\tilde{\theta}_n^{e,(2)}\|_T \rightarrow 0$ at rate at least $n^{-\delta}$.

The desired conclusion follows: letting $\lambda_\bullet > 0$ denote the smallest eigenvalue of T ,

$$\Sigma_n^{e,(2)} \leq \mathbb{E}[(\tilde{\theta}_n^{e,(2)})^\top \tilde{\theta}_n^{e,(2)}] I \leq \frac{1}{\lambda_\bullet} \|\tilde{\theta}_n^{e,(2)}\|_T^2 I$$

□

Proof for Prop. A.4 (ii) Multiplying both sides of (34b) by v^\top gives

$$\begin{aligned} v^\top \tilde{\theta}_{n+1}^{e_0,(2)} &= v^\top \tilde{\theta}_n^{e_0,(2)} + \alpha_{n+1} [\varepsilon_v(n, \varrho_0) + ui] v^\top \tilde{\theta}_n^{e_0,(2)} - (1 - \varrho_0 + ui) \alpha_n (n+1)^{e_0} v^\top Z_{n+1} \\ &= [1 + \alpha_{n+1} [\varepsilon_v(n, \varrho_0) + ui]] v^\top \tilde{\theta}_n^{e_0,(2)} - (1 - \varrho_0 + ui) \alpha_n \alpha_{n+1} (n+1)^{e_0} v^\top Z_{n+1} \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

With $\|v^\top \tilde{\theta}_n^{e_0,(2)}\|_2 := \sqrt{\mathbb{E}[|v^\top \tilde{\theta}_n^{e_0,(2)}|^2]}$, we obtain the following from (54) by the triangle inequality

$$\|v^\top \tilde{\theta}_{n+1}^{e_0,(2)}\|_2 \leq |1 + \alpha_{n+1} [\varepsilon_v(n, \varrho_0) + ui]| \|v^\top \tilde{\theta}_n^{e_0,(2)}\|_2 + |1 - \varrho_0 + ui| \alpha_n \alpha_{n+1} (n+1)^{e_0} \|v^\top Z_{n+1}\|_2 \quad (55)$$

By the inequality $\sqrt{1+x} \leq 1 + \frac{1}{2}x$, we have

$$|1 + \alpha_{n+1} \varepsilon_v(n, \varrho_0) + \alpha_{n+1} ui| \leq 1 + \alpha_{n+1} \varepsilon_v(n, \varrho_0) + \frac{1}{2} \alpha_{n+1}^2 \varepsilon_v(n, \varrho_0)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \alpha_{n+1}^2 u^2$$

Fix $n_0 > 0$ such that for $n \geq n_0$,

$$1 + \alpha_{n+1} \varepsilon_v(n, \varrho_0) + \frac{1}{2} \alpha_{n+1}^2 \varepsilon_v(n, \varrho_0)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \alpha_{n+1}^2 u^2 \leq 1 + \alpha_{n+1}^{3/2}$$

which is possible since $\varepsilon_v(n, \varrho_0) = O(n^{-1})$. With $K = \sup_{n \geq n_0} |1 - \varrho_0 + ui| \|v^\top Z_{n+1}\|_2$, we obtain the following bound from (55):

$$\|v^\top \tilde{\theta}_{n+1}^{\varrho_0, (2)}\|_2 \leq (1 + \alpha_{n+1}^{3/2}) \|v^\top \tilde{\theta}_n^{\varrho_0, (2)}\|_2 + \alpha_n^{2-\varrho_0} K \quad (56)$$

Iterating (56) gives,

$$\begin{aligned} \|v^\top \tilde{\theta}_{n+1}^{\varrho_0, (2)}\|_2 &\leq \|v^\top \tilde{\theta}_{n_0}^{\varrho_0, (2)}\|_2 \prod_{k=n_0+1}^{n+1} (1 + \alpha_k^{3/2}) + K \sum_{k=n_0}^n \alpha_k^{2-\varrho_0} \prod_{l=k+1}^n (1 + \alpha_l^{3/2}) \\ &\leq \|v^\top \tilde{\theta}_{n_0}^{\varrho_0, (2)}\|_2 \exp\left(\sum_{k=n_0+1}^{n+1} k^{-2/3}\right) + K \sum_{k=n_0}^n k^{-2+\varrho_0} \exp\left(\sum_{l=k+1}^n l^{-3/2}\right) \end{aligned}$$

$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|v^\top \tilde{\theta}_n^{\varrho_0, (2)}\|_2 < \infty$, since it is assumed that $\varrho_0 < \frac{1}{2}$. \square

Proof of Thm. 2.4 We obtain the convergence rate of $\text{Cov}(\theta_n)$ based on

$$\text{Cov}(\theta_n) = \sum_{i=1}^3 \text{Cov}(\theta_n^{(i)}) + \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^3 \mathbb{E}[\tilde{\theta}_n^{(i)} (\tilde{\theta}_n^{(j)})^\top]$$

For case (i), by Prop. A.3 (i) and Prop. A.4 (i), there exists $\delta = \delta(\frac{1}{2}I + A, \Sigma_\Delta) > 0$ such that

$$\text{Cov}(\theta_n^{(1)}) = n^{-1} \Sigma_\theta + O(n^{-1-\delta})$$

$$\text{Cov}(\theta_n^{(2)}) = O(n^{-1-\delta})$$

$$\text{Cov}(\theta_n^{(3)}) = n^{-2} \Sigma_{Z_{n+1}}$$

The cross terms between $\tilde{\theta}_n^{(i)}$ and $\tilde{\theta}_n^{(j)}$ for $i \neq j$ are of smaller orders than $O(1/n)$ by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. Therefore, for a possibly smaller $\delta > 0$,

$$\text{Cov}(\theta_n) = n^{-1} \Sigma_\theta + O(n^{-1-\delta})$$

For case (ii), $\lim_{n \rightarrow 0} n^{2\varrho} \mathbb{E}[|v^\top \tilde{\theta}_n|^2] = 0$ for each $\varrho < \varrho_0$ can be obtained from Prop. A.3 (ii) and Prop. A.4 (ii) directly by the triangle inequality. For $\varrho > \varrho_0$, the result $\lim_{n \rightarrow 0} n^{2\varrho} \mathbb{E}[|v^\top \tilde{\theta}_n|^2] = \infty$ is established independently in Lemma A.13. \square

A.3 Proof of Thm. 2.8

Denote the correlation between $\tilde{\theta}_n^{(a)}$ and $\tilde{\theta}_n^{(b)}$ as $R_n^{(a),(b)} = \mathbb{E}[\tilde{\theta}_n^{(a)} (\tilde{\theta}_n^{(b)})^\top]$, where $\tilde{\theta}_n^{(a)}, \tilde{\theta}_n^{(b)}$ are different terms in (42). The key results that help establish Thm. 2.8 are summarized in the following proposition.

Proposition A.5. *Under Assumptions (A1)-(A3), if $\text{Real}(\lambda) < -1$ for every eigenvalue of A , then there is $\delta > 0$ such that*

(i) $\text{Cov}(\theta_n^{(1)}) = n^{-1} \Sigma_\theta + n^{-2} \Sigma_\#^{(1)} + O(n^{-2-\delta})$, where $\delta = \delta(I + A, \Sigma_\Delta) > 0$, $\Sigma_\theta \geq 0$ is the unique solution to the Lyapunov equation (4), and $\Sigma_\#^{(1)} \geq 0$ solves the Lyapunov equation,

$$[I + A]\Sigma + \Sigma[I + A]^\top + A\Sigma_\theta A^\top - \Sigma_\Delta = 0 \quad (57)$$

(ii) $R_n^{(2,1),(1)} + R_n^{(1),(2,1)} = n^{-2} \Sigma_\#^{(2)} + O(n^{-2-\delta})$, where $\Sigma_\#^{(2)}$ solves the Lyapunov equation:

$$[I + A]\Sigma + \Sigma[I + A]^\top - [I + A]\text{Cov}_\pi(\widehat{\Delta}_n^m, \Delta_n^m) - \text{Cov}_\pi(\Delta_n^m, \widehat{\Delta}_n^m)[I + A]^\top = 0 \quad (58)$$

(iii) $R_n^{(1),(3)} = -n^{-2} \mathbb{E}_\pi[\Delta_n^m \widehat{Z}_n^\top] + O(n^{-3})$.

\square

Proof of Prop. A.5 (i) Since Δ_{n+2}^m is uncorrelated with $\tilde{\theta}_n^{(1)}$, the following recursion follows from (29a):

$$\text{Cov}(\theta_{n+1}^{(1)}) = \text{Cov}(\theta_n^{(1)}) + \alpha_{n+1} \left[\text{Cov}(\theta_n^{(1)})A^\top + A\text{Cov}(\theta_n^{(1)}) + \alpha_{n+1} [A\text{Cov}(\theta_n^{(1)})A^\top + \Sigma_{\Delta_{n+2}}] \right]$$

Take $\varrho = 1/2$ in the definition of $\tilde{\theta}^{\varrho, (1)}$ and $\Sigma_n^{\varrho, (1)} = \mathbb{E}[\tilde{\theta}^{\varrho, (1)}(\tilde{\theta}^{\varrho, (1)})^\top] = n\text{Cov}(\theta_n^{(1)})$. Multiplying each side of the equation by $n+1$ gives

$$\Sigma_{n+1}^{\varrho, (1)} = \Sigma_n^{\varrho, (1)} + \alpha_{n+1} \left[\left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right) [\Sigma_n^{\varrho, (1)} + \Sigma_n^{\varrho, (1)}A^\top + A\Sigma_n^{\varrho, (1)}] + \frac{1}{n} A\Sigma_n^{\varrho, (1)}A^\top + \Sigma_{\Delta_{n+2}} \right] \quad (59)$$

Recall that Σ_θ solves the Laypunov equation $\Sigma + \Sigma A^\top + A\Sigma + \Sigma_\Delta = 0$. Denoting $E_n = \Sigma_n^{\varrho, (1)} - \Sigma_\theta$, the following identity holds

$$\Sigma_n^{\varrho, (1)} + \Sigma_n^{\varrho, (1)}A^\top + A\Sigma_n^{\varrho, (1)} = E_n + E_nA^\top + AE_n - \Sigma_\Delta$$

Subtracting Σ_θ from both sides of (59) gives the recursion

$$\begin{aligned} E_{n+1} = E_n + \alpha_{n+1} \left[\left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right) [E_n + E_nA^\top + AE_n] + \frac{1}{n} AE_nA^\top \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1}{n} A\Sigma_\theta A^\top - \frac{1}{n} \Sigma_\Delta - \Sigma_\Delta + \Sigma_{\Delta_{n+2}} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (60)$$

Similar to the decomposition in (29), we have $E_n = E_n^{(1)} + E_n^{(2)}$, each evolving as

$$E_{n+1}^{(1)} = E_n^{(1)} + \alpha_{n+1} \left[\left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right) [E_n^{(1)} + E_n^{(1)}A^\top + AE_n^{(1)}] + \frac{1}{n} AE_n^{(1)}A^\top + \frac{1}{n} [A\Sigma_\theta A^\top - \Sigma_\Delta] \right] \quad (61a)$$

$$E_{n+1}^{(2)} = E_n^{(2)} + \alpha_{n+1} \left[\left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right) [E_n^{(2)} + E_n^{(2)}A^\top + AE_n^{(2)}] + \frac{1}{n} AE_n^{(2)}A^\top + \Sigma_{\Delta_{n+2}} - \Sigma_\Delta \right] \quad (61b)$$

Since $\Sigma_{\Delta_{n+2}} - \Sigma_\Delta$ converges to zero geometrically fast, $\{E_n^{(1)}\}$ converges to zero faster than $\{E_n^{(2)}\}$.

Multiplying each side of (61a) by $n+1$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} (n+1)E_{n+1}^{(1)} &= (n+1)E_n^{(1)} + \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right) [E_n^{(1)} + E_n^{(1)}A^\top + AE_n^{(1)}] + \frac{1}{n} [AE_n^{(1)}A^\top + A\Sigma_\theta A^\top - \Sigma_\Delta] \\ &= nE_n^{(1)} + \frac{1}{n} \left[\left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right) [2nE_n^{(1)} + nE_n^{(1)}A^\top + AnE_n^{(1)}] + A\Sigma_\theta A^\top - \Sigma_\Delta + \mathcal{E}_n^{\bullet, (1)} \right] \end{aligned}$$

with the error term $\mathcal{E}_n^{\bullet, (1)} = AE_n^{(1)}A^\top - E_n$. Note that $A\Sigma_\theta A^\top - \Sigma_\Delta = [A + I]\Sigma_\theta[A + I]^\top$ is positive definite.

The recursion for $\{nE_n^{(1)}\}$ is treated as in the proof of Prop. A.3 (i). Consider the matrix ODE,

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{X}(t) = (1 + e^{-t})[2\mathcal{X}(t) + \mathcal{X}(t)A^\top + A\mathcal{X}(t)] + A\Sigma_\theta A^\top - \Sigma_\Delta + e^{-t}[A\mathcal{X}(t)A^\top - \mathcal{X}(t)] \quad (62)$$

Let $t_n = \sum_{k=1}^n 1/k$ and let $\mathcal{X}^n(t)$ denote the solution to this ODE on $[t_n, \infty)$ with $\mathcal{X}^n(t_n) = nE_n^{(1)}$, $t \geq t_n$, for any $n \geq 1$. We then obtain as previously,

$$\sup_{k \geq n} \|\mathcal{X}^n(t_k) - kE_k^{(1)}\| = O(1/n)$$

Recall that $\Sigma_\#^{(1)} \geq 0$ is the solution to the Lyapunov equation (57). Exponential convergence of \mathcal{X} to $\Sigma_\#^{(1)}$ implies convergence of $\{nE_n^{(1)}\}$ at rate $1/n^\delta$ for $\delta = \delta(A + I, \Sigma_\Delta) > 0$. Therefore, $nE_n = \Sigma_\#^{(1)} + O(n^{-\delta})$.

Given $\text{Cov}(\theta_n^{(1)}) = n^{-1}\Sigma_\theta + n^{-1}E_n$, we have

$$\text{Cov}(\theta_n^{(1)}) = n^{-1}\Sigma_\theta + n^{-2}\Sigma_\#^{(1)} + O(n^{-2-\delta})$$

□

Proof of Prop. A.5 (ii) We focus on $R_n^{(2,1),(1)}$ since $R_n^{(1),(2,1)} = [R_n^{(2,1),(1)}]^\top$. Recall the update forms of $\tilde{\theta}_n^{(1)}$ and $\tilde{\theta}_n^{(2,1)}$ in (29a) and (41a) respectively, where $\tilde{\theta}_n^{(1)}$ is uncorrelated with the martingale difference sequence $\{\widehat{\Delta}_{n+k}^m\}$ for $k \geq 2$ and $\tilde{\theta}_n^{(2,1)}$ is uncorrelated with $\{\Delta_{n+k}^m\}$ for $k \geq 2$. With $R_n^{(2,1),(1)} = \mathbb{E}[\tilde{\theta}_n^{(2,1)}(\tilde{\theta}_n^{(1)})^\top]$, the following is obtained from these facts:

$$R_{n+1}^{(2,1),(1)} = R_n^{(2,1),(1)} + \alpha_{n+1} [R_n^{(2,1),(1)} A^\top + A R_n^{(2,1),(1)} + \alpha_{n+1} A R_n^{(2,1),(1)} A^\top - \alpha_n \alpha_{n+1} [I + A] \text{Cov}(\widehat{\Delta}_{n+2}^m, \Delta_{n+2}^m)]$$

Denote $C_n = n R_n^{(2,1),(1)}$. Multiplying both sides of the previous equation by $n+1$ gives

$$C_{n+1} = C_n + \alpha_{n+1} [(1+n^{-1})[C_n + C_n A^\top + A C_n] + \alpha_n A C_n A^\top - \alpha_n [I + A] \text{Cov}(\widehat{\Delta}_{n+2}^m, \Delta_{n+2}^m)]$$

Multiplying each side of this equation by $n+1$ once more results in

$$\begin{aligned} (n+1)C_{n+1} &= (n+1)C_n + (1+n^{-1})[C_n + C_n A^\top + A C_n] + \alpha_n A C_n A^\top - \alpha_n [I + A] \text{Cov}(\widehat{\Delta}_{n+2}^m, \Delta_{n+2}^m) \\ &= nC_n + \alpha_n [(1+n^{-1})[2nC_n + nC_n A^\top + A nC_n] - [I + A] \text{Cov}_\pi(\widehat{\Delta}_{n+2}^m, \Delta_{n+2}^m) + \mathcal{D}_{n+1}^{(2)}] \end{aligned}$$

where the error term $\mathcal{D}_{n+1}^{(2)}$ consists of two components: $[I + A][\text{Cov}_\pi(\widehat{\Delta}_{n+2}^m, \Delta_{n+2}^m) - \text{Cov}(\widehat{\Delta}_{n+2}^m, \Delta_{n+2}^m)]$ that converges to zero at a geometric rate and $A C_n A^\top - C_n$.

As previously, this is approximated by the linear system

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{X}(t) &= (1 + e^{-t})[2\mathcal{X}(t) + \mathcal{X}(t)A^\top + A\mathcal{X}(t)] + e^{-t}[A\mathcal{X}(t)A^\top - \mathcal{X}(t)] \\ &\quad - [I + A] \text{Cov}_\pi(\widehat{\Delta}_{n+2}^m, \Delta_{n+2}^m) \end{aligned} \quad (63)$$

With the same argument used in (i), $\{nC_n + nC_n^\top\}$ converges to $\Sigma_\#^{(2)}$ in (58) at rate $1/n^\delta$ for $\delta = \delta(A+I) > 0$. Therefore, $nC_n + nC_n^\top = \Sigma_\#^{(2)} + O(n^{-\delta})$ and $R_n^{(2,1),(1)} = n^{-2}C_n = n^{-2}\Sigma_{\infty,C} + O(n^{-2-\delta})$. \square

Proof of Prop. A.5 (iii) The third claim in Prop. A.5 is established through a sequence of lemmas. Start with the representation of $\tilde{\theta}_{n+1}^{(3)}$ based on (39):

$$\tilde{\theta}_{n+1}^{(3)} = -\frac{1}{n+1}Z_{n+2} = -\frac{1}{n+1}\widehat{\Delta}_{n+3}^m + \frac{1}{n+1}(\widehat{Z}_{n+3} - \widehat{Z}_{n+2})$$

Since $\widehat{\Delta}_{n+3}^m$ is uncorrelated with the sequence $\{\tilde{\theta}_k^{(1)}\}$ for $k \leq n+1$, we have

$$\mathbb{E}[\tilde{\theta}_{n+1}^{(1)}(\widehat{\Delta}_{n+3}^m)^\top] = 0 \quad (64)$$

Hence it suffices to consider the correlation between $\tilde{\theta}_{n+1}^{(1)}$ and $\widehat{Z}_{n+3} - \widehat{Z}_{n+2}$. The formula for $\tilde{\theta}_{n+1}^{(1)}$ for $n \geq 1$ is

$$\tilde{\theta}_{n+1}^{(1)} = \prod_{k=1}^{n+1} [I + \alpha_k A] \tilde{\theta}_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \alpha_k \prod_{l=k+1}^{n+1} [I + \alpha_l A] \Delta_{k+1}^m \quad (65)$$

$\tilde{\theta}_0 \mathbb{E}[\widehat{Z}_{n+3}^\top - \widehat{Z}_{n+2}^\top]$ converges to zero geometrically fast under V -uniform ergodicity of Φ . Then we consider the expectation of the following:

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \alpha_k \prod_{l=k+1}^{n+1} [I + \alpha_l A] \Delta_{k+1}^m [\widehat{Z}_{n+3}^\top - \widehat{Z}_{n+2}^\top] \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \alpha_k \prod_{l=k+1}^{n+1} [I + \alpha_l A] [\Delta_{k+2}^m \widehat{Z}_{n+3}^\top - \Delta_{k+1}^m \widehat{Z}_{n+2}^\top] + \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \alpha_k \prod_{l=k+1}^{n+1} [I + \alpha_l A] [\Delta_{k+1}^m - \Delta_{k+2}^m] \widehat{Z}_{n+3}^\top \end{aligned} \quad (66)$$

The definition of T is now based on the assumption that $I + A$ is Hurwitz: $T > 0$ is the unique solution to the Lyapunov equation:

$$[A + I]T + T[A + I]^\top + I = 0$$

As previously, we denote $\|W\|_T^2 = \mathbb{E}[W^\top T W]$ for a random vector W , and denote by $\|M\|_T$ the induced operator norm of a matrix $M \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$. In the following result the vector W is taken to be deterministic.

Lemma A.6. *Suppose the matrix $I + A$ is Hurwitz. Then there exists constant K such that the following holds for any $k \geq 1$ and all $n \geq k$*

$$\left\| \prod_{l=k+1}^{n+1} [I + \alpha_l A] \right\|_T \leq K \frac{k}{n+2}$$

Proof. For any vector $W \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $l \geq 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|[I + \alpha_l A]W\|_T^2 &= W^\top [T - 2\alpha_l T - \alpha_l I + \alpha_l^2 A^\top T A] W \\ &\leq W^\top [T - 2\alpha_l T + \alpha_l^2 A^\top T A] W \\ &\leq (1 - 2\alpha_l + \alpha_l^2 L^2) \|W\|_T^2 \end{aligned}$$

where $L = \|A\|_T$. Hence

$$\|I + \alpha_l A\|_T \leq \sqrt{1 - 2\alpha_l + \alpha_l^2 L^2} \leq 1 - \alpha_l + \frac{1}{2}\alpha_l^2 L^2$$

Lemma A.1 completes the proof:

$$\left\| \prod_{l=k+1}^{n+1} [I + \alpha_l A] \right\|_T \leq \prod_{l=k+1}^{n+1} \|I + \alpha_l A\|_T \leq \prod_{l=k+1}^{n+1} [1 - \alpha_l + \frac{1}{2}L^2\alpha_l^2] \leq K_{A.1} \frac{k}{n+2}$$

□

To analyze $\mathbb{E}[\Delta_{k+2}^m \widehat{Z}_{n+3}^\top]$, consider the bivariate Markov chain $\Phi_n^* = (\Phi_n, \Phi_{n+1})$, $n \geq 0$, with state space $\mathbf{Z}^* = \mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z}$. An associated *weighting function* $V^* : \mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ is defined as $V^*(z, z') = V(z) + V(z')$.

Denote function $h^{k+1, n+2} : \mathbf{Z}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ as $h^{k+1, n+2}(z', z'') = (\hat{f}(z'') - \mathbb{E}[\hat{f}(\Phi_{k+1}) \mid \Phi_k = z']) \mathbb{E}[\hat{f}(\Phi_{n+2})^\top \mid \Phi_{k+1} = z'']$ and $h_{i,j}^{k+1, n+2} : \mathbf{Z}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as the (i, j) -th entry of $h^{k+1, n+2}$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq d$. Note that $h^{k+1, n+2}(\Phi_k, \Phi_{k+1}) = \mathbb{E}[\Delta_{k+1}^m \widehat{Z}_{n+2} \mid \mathcal{F}_{k+1}]$

Lemma A.7. *Suppose Assumptions (A1) and (A3) hold. For each $1 \leq i, j \leq d$,*

(i) $h_{i,j}^{k+1, n+2} \in L_\infty^{V^*}$, moreover there exists constant B such that

$$\|h_{i,j}^{k+1, n+2}\|_{V^*} \leq B \|\hat{f}_i\|_{\sqrt{V}} \|\hat{f}_j\|_{\sqrt{V}} \rho^{n-k+1}$$

(ii) *Consequently, there exists constant B' such that*

$$|\mathbb{E}[h_{i,j}^{k+1, n+2}(\Phi_k, \Phi_{k+1}) \mid \Phi_0 = z] - \pi(h_{i,j}^{k+1, n+2})| \leq B' \|\hat{f}_i\|_{\sqrt{V}} \|\hat{f}_j\|_{\sqrt{V}} V(z) \rho^{n+1}$$

Proof. By the definition of V^* -norm,

$$\begin{aligned} \|h_{i,j}^{k+1,n+2}\|_{V^*} &= \sup_{z', z'' \in \mathcal{Z}} \frac{|[\hat{f}_i(z'') + \mathbb{E}[\hat{f}_i(\Phi_{k+1}) \mid \Phi_k = z']]\mathbb{E}[\hat{f}_j(\Phi_{n+2}) \mid \Phi_{k+1} = z'']|}{V(z') + V(z'')} \\ &\leq \sup_{z'' \in \mathcal{Z}} \frac{|\hat{f}_i(z'')\mathbb{E}[\hat{f}_j(\Phi_{n+2}) \mid \Phi_{k+1} = z'']|}{V(z'')} \\ &\quad + \sup_{z', z'' \in \mathcal{Z}} \frac{|\mathbb{E}[\hat{f}_i(\Phi_{k+1}) \mid \Phi_k = z']\mathbb{E}[\hat{f}_j(\Phi_{n+2}) \mid \Phi_{k+1} = z'']|}{V(z') + V(z'')} \end{aligned}$$

Given $\hat{f}_j^2 \in L_\infty^V$ and the \sqrt{V} -uniform ergodicity of Φ (Meyn and Tweedie, 2009, Lemma 15.2.9), there exists constant $B_{\sqrt{V}} < \infty$ such that

$$|\mathbb{E}[\hat{f}_j(\Phi_{n+2}) \mid \Phi_{k+1} = z'']| \leq B_{\sqrt{V}} \|\hat{f}_j\|_{\sqrt{V}} \sqrt{V(z'')} \rho^{n+1-k}$$

Consequently,

$$\sup_{z'' \in \mathcal{Z}} \frac{|\hat{f}_i(z'')\mathbb{E}[\hat{f}_j(\Phi_{n+2}) \mid \Phi_{k+1} = z'']|}{V(z'')} \leq \|\hat{f}_i\|_{\sqrt{V}} B_{\sqrt{V}} \|\hat{f}_j\|_{\sqrt{V}} \rho^{n+1-k} \quad (67)$$

By the inequality $V(z') + V(z'') \geq \sqrt{V(z')V(z'')}$ and the \sqrt{V} -uniform ergodicity of Φ once more, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_{z', z'' \in \mathcal{Z}} \frac{|\mathbb{E}[\hat{f}_i(\Phi_{k+1}) \mid \Phi_k = z']\mathbb{E}[\hat{f}_j(\Phi_{n+2}) \mid \Phi_{k+1} = z'']|}{V(z') + V(z'')} \\ &\leq \sup_{z' \in \mathcal{Z}} \frac{|\mathbb{E}[\hat{f}_i(\Phi_{k+1}) \mid \Phi_k = z']|}{\sqrt{V(z')}} \sup_{z'' \in \mathcal{Z}} \frac{|\mathbb{E}[\hat{f}_j(\Phi_{n+2}) \mid \Phi_{k+1} = z'']|}{\sqrt{V(z'')}} \leq B_{\sqrt{V}}^2 \|\hat{f}_i\|_{\sqrt{V}} \|\hat{f}_j\|_{\sqrt{V}} \rho^{n+2-k} \end{aligned} \quad (68)$$

Combining (67) and (68) gives

$$\|h_{i,j}^{k+1,n+2}\|_{V^*} \leq B \|\hat{f}_i\|_{\sqrt{V}} \|\hat{f}_j\|_{\sqrt{V}} \rho^{n+1-k} \quad (69)$$

with $B = B_{\sqrt{V}} + B_{\sqrt{V}}^2$.

For (ii), denote $g_{i,j}^{k,n+2} : \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by the conditional expectation:

$$g_{i,j}^{k,n+2}(z) = \mathbb{E}[h_{i,j}^{k+1,n+2}(\Phi_k, \Phi_{k+1}) \mid \Phi_k = z]$$

This is bounded by a constant times V^* :

$$\begin{aligned} |g_{i,j}^{k,n+2}(z)| &= \left| \int h_{i,j}^{k+1,n+2}(z, z') P(z, dz') \right| \leq \left| \int \frac{h_{i,j}^{k+1,n+2}(z, z')}{V^*(z, z')} V^*(z, z') P(z, dz') \right| \\ &\leq \|h_{i,j}^{k+1,n+2}\|_{V^*} [V(z) + PV(z)] \end{aligned}$$

V -uniform ergodicity of Φ is equivalent to the following drift condition (Meyn and Tweedie, 2009, Theorem 16.0.2): for some $\beta > 0, b < \infty$, and some ‘‘petite set’’ C ,

$$PV(z) - V(z) \leq -\beta V(z) + b \mathbb{1}_C(z), \quad z \in \mathcal{Z}$$

Consequently,

$$[V(z) + PV(z)] \leq [2V(z) + b] \leq [2 + |b|]V(z)$$

Therefore,

$$\|g_{i,j}^{k,n+2}\|_V \leq [2 + |b|] \|h_{i,j}^{k+1,n+2}\|_{V^*} \leq [2 + |b|] B \|\hat{f}_i\|_{\sqrt{V}} \|\hat{f}_j\|_{\sqrt{V}} \rho^{n+1-k} \quad (70)$$

Thus $g_{i,j}^{k,n+2} \in L_\infty^V$. By V -uniform ergodicity of Φ again,

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbb{E}[g_{i,j}^{k,n+2}(\Phi_k) \mid \Phi_0 = z] - \pi(g_{i,j}^{k,n+2})| &\leq B_V \|g_{i,j}^{k,n+2}\|_V V(z) \rho^k \\ &\leq B' \|\hat{f}_i\|_{\sqrt{V}} \|\hat{f}_j\|_{\sqrt{V}} V(z) \rho^{n+1} \end{aligned}$$

with $B' = [2 + |b|] B_V B$. The proof is then completed by applying the smoothing property of conditional expectation. \square

Lemma A.8. *Under Assumptions (A1) and (A3), there exists $K < \infty$ such that the following hold*

$$\|\mathbb{E}[\Delta_{k+1}^m \widehat{Z}_{n+3}^\top]\|_T \leq K \rho^{n+1-k} \quad (71a)$$

$$\|\mathbb{E}[\Delta_{k+1}^m \widehat{Z}_{n+2}^\top] - \mathbb{E}[\Delta_{k+2}^m \widehat{Z}_{n+3}^\top]\|_T \leq K(1 + \rho) \rho^{n+1} \quad (71b)$$

Proof. By the triangle inequality,

$$\|\mathbb{E}[\Delta_{k+1}^m \widehat{Z}_{n+2}^\top]\|_T \leq \|\mathbb{E}[Z_{k+1} \widehat{Z}_{n+2}^\top]\|_T + \|\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[Z_{k+1} \mid \mathcal{F}_k] \widehat{Z}_{n+2}^\top]\|_T$$

where both terms admit the geometric bound in (71a) following directly from the V -geometric mixing of Φ (Meyn and Tweedie, 2009, Theorem 16.1.5).

For (71b), first notice that

$$\mathbb{E}[\Delta_{k+1}^m \widehat{Z}_{n+2}^\top] = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[\Delta_{k+1}^m \widehat{Z}_{n+2}^\top \mid \mathcal{F}_{k+1}]] = \mathbb{E}[h^{k+1,n+2}(\Phi_k, \Phi_{k+1})]$$

With Lemma A.7, we have for each (i, j) -th entry,

$$\left| \mathbb{E}[h_{i,j}^{k+1,n+2}(\Phi_k, \Phi_{k+1}) \mid \Phi_0 = z] - \pi(h_{i,j}^{k+1,n+2}) \right| \leq B' \|\hat{f}_i\|_{\sqrt{V}} \|\hat{f}_j\|_{\sqrt{V}} V(z) \rho^{n+1}$$

With fixed initial condition $\Phi_0 = z$, by equivalence of matrix norms, there exists a constant K such that

$$\left\| \mathbb{E}[h^{k+1,n+2}(\Phi_k, \Phi_{k+1})] - \pi(h^{k+1,n+2}) \right\|_T \leq K \rho^{n+1}$$

(71b) then follows from the triangle inequality:

$$\|\mathbb{E}[\Delta_{k+1}^m \widehat{Z}_{n+2}^\top] - \mathbb{E}[\Delta_{k+2}^m \widehat{Z}_{n+3}^\top]\|_T \leq K \rho^{n+1} + K \rho^{n+2} = K(1 + \rho) \rho^{n+1}$$

\square

Lemma A.9. *For fixed $\rho \in (0, 1)$, there exists $K < \infty$ such that for all $n \geq 2$,*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{k} \rho^{-k} \leq K \frac{\rho^{-n}}{n}$$

Proof. Denote $\gamma = -\log \rho > 0$ and observe that the function $t^{-1} \exp(\gamma t)$ is increasing over $[1, \infty)$. The following holds for $n \geq 2$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{k} \rho^{-k} = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{k} \exp(\gamma k) \leq \int_1^n t^{-1} \exp(\gamma t) dt$$

Now consider the integral: for any $t_0 \in (1, n)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_1^n t^{-1} \exp(\gamma t) dt &\leq \int_1^{t_0} \exp(\gamma t) dt + \int_{t_0}^n t_0^{-1} \exp(\gamma t) dt \\ &\leq \gamma^{-1} \left[\exp(\gamma t_0) - \exp(\gamma) + \frac{\exp(\gamma n) - \exp(\gamma t_0)}{t_0} \right] \end{aligned}$$

Take $t_0 = n - \sqrt{n}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \exp(\gamma t_0) - \exp(\gamma) + \frac{\exp(\gamma n) - \exp(\gamma t_0)}{t_0} &= \exp(\gamma(n - \sqrt{n})) - \exp(\gamma) + \frac{\exp(\gamma n) - \exp(\gamma(n - \sqrt{n}))}{n - \sqrt{n}} \\ &\leq K' n^{-1} \exp(\gamma n) \end{aligned}$$

where $K' = \sup_{t \geq 2} t \exp(-\gamma\sqrt{t}) - t \exp(\gamma - \gamma t) + [1 - \exp(-\gamma\sqrt{t})]/[1 - 1/\sqrt{t}]$. The proof is completed by setting $K = \gamma^{-1} K'$. \square

Proof of Prop. A.5 (iii). Following (64), we have

$$R_{n+1}^{(1),(3)} = \mathbb{E}[\tilde{\theta}_{n+1}^{(1)}(\tilde{\theta}_{n+1}^{(3)})^\top] = \frac{1}{n+1} \mathbb{E}[\tilde{\theta}_{n+1}^{(1)}[\widehat{Z}_{n+3} - \widehat{Z}_{n+2}]^\top] \quad (72)$$

This is bounded based on (66): Lemma A.6 and (71b) indicate that there exists some constant K such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \alpha_k \left\| \prod_{l=k+1}^{n+1} [I + \alpha_l A] \right\|_T \left\| \mathbb{E}[\Delta_{k+2}^m \widehat{Z}_{n+3}^\top - \Delta_{k+1}^m \widehat{Z}_{n+2}^\top] \right\|_T \leq K \rho^{n+1} \quad (73)$$

For the second term in (66), it admits a simpler form

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \alpha_k \prod_{l=k+1}^{n+1} [I + \alpha_l A] [\Delta_{k+1}^m - \Delta_{k+2}^m] \widehat{Z}_{n+3}^\top &= \prod_{l=2}^{n+1} [I + \alpha_l A] \Delta_2^m \widehat{Z}_{n+3}^\top - \frac{1}{n+1} \Delta_{n+3}^m \widehat{Z}_{n+3}^\top \\ &\quad - \sum_{k=2}^{n+1} \alpha_{k-1} \alpha_k \prod_{l=k+1}^{n+1} [I + \alpha_l A] [I + A] \Delta_{k+1}^m \widehat{Z}_{n+3}^\top \end{aligned}$$

where $\prod_{l=2}^{n+1} [I + \alpha_l A] \mathbb{E}[\Delta_2^m \widehat{Z}_{n+3}^\top] = O(\rho^n)$ and $\mathbb{E}[\Delta_{n+3}^m \widehat{Z}_{n+3}^\top]$ converges to its steady-state mean. For the remaining part, Lemma A.6 and (71a) together imply that

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| \sum_{k=2}^{n+1} \alpha_{k-1} \alpha_k \prod_{l=k+1}^{n+1} [I + \alpha_l A] [I + A] \mathbb{E}[\Delta_{k+1}^m \widehat{Z}_{n+3}^\top] \right\|_T \\ &\leq \sum_{k=2}^{n+1} \alpha_{k-1} \alpha_k \prod_{l=k+1}^{n+1} \|I + \alpha_l A\|_T \|I + A\|_T \left\| \mathbb{E}[\Delta_{k+1}^m \widehat{Z}_{n+3}^\top] \right\|_T \\ &\leq \frac{K'}{n+2} \sum_{k=2}^{n+1} \alpha_{k-1} \rho^{n+1-k} \end{aligned}$$

for some constant K' . By Lemma A.9, there exists another constant K'' such that

$$\frac{K'}{n+2} \sum_{k=2}^{n+1} \alpha_{k-1} \rho^{n-k} = \frac{K' \rho^n}{n+2} \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k \rho^{-k} \leq \frac{K' K'' \rho}{(n+1)(n+2)}$$

This combined with (73) shows that

$$\mathbb{E}[\tilde{\theta}_{n+1}^{(1)}[\widehat{Z}_{n+3} - \widehat{Z}_{n+2}]^\top] = -(n+1)^{-1}\mathbb{E}_\pi[\Delta_n^m \widehat{Z}_n^\top] + O(\rho^{n+1})$$

Following (72), we obtain the desired result:

$$\mathbb{E}[\tilde{\theta}_{n+1}^{(1)}(\tilde{\theta}_{n+1}^{(3)})^\top] = -\frac{1}{(n+1)^2}\mathbb{E}_\pi[\Delta_n^m \widehat{Z}_n^\top] + O((n+1)^{-3})$$

□

Proof of Thm. 2.8 With the decomposition in (42), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cov}(\theta_n) &= \text{Cov}(\theta_n^{(1)}) + \sum_{j=1}^3 \text{Cov}(\theta_n^{(2,j)}) + \text{Cov}(\theta_n^{(3)}) + R_n^{(1),(3)} + R_n^{(3),(1)} \\ &\quad + \sum_{i \in \{1,3\}} \sum_{j=1}^3 [R_n^{(2,j),(i)} + R_n^{(i),(2,j)}] + \sum_{j=1}^3 \sum_{k=1, k \neq j}^3 [R_n^{(2,j),(2,k)} + R_n^{(2,k),(2,j)}] \end{aligned}$$

$\text{Cov}(\theta_n^{(2,1)}) = O(n^{-3})$, $\text{Cov}(\theta_n^{(2,2)}) = O(n^{-5})$ and $\text{Cov}(\theta_n^{(2,3)}) = O(n^{-4})$ by Thm. 2.4 (i). By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, the correlation terms involving $\tilde{\theta}_n^{(2,2)}$ and $\tilde{\theta}_n^{(2,3)}$ are $O(n^{-2.5})$, and $R_n^{(2,1),(3)} = O(n^{-2.5})$ is also $O(n^{-2.5})$. Prop. A.5 (ii) shows that $R_n^{(2,1),(3)} = O(n^{-3})$. Hence the covariance can be approximated as follows:

$$\text{Cov}(\theta_n) = \text{Cov}(\theta_n^{(1)}) + \text{Cov}(\theta_n^{(3)}) + R_n^{(1),(3)} + R_n^{(3),(1)} + R_n^{(2,1),(1)} + R_n^{(1),(2,1)} + O(n^{-2.5})$$

By Prop. A.5, there exist $\delta(I+A, \Sigma_\Delta) > 0$ and $\delta(I+A) > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cov}(\theta_n^{(1)}) &= n^{-1}\Sigma_\theta + n^{-2}\Sigma_\#^{(1)} + O(n^{-2-\delta}) \\ \text{Cov}(\theta_n^{(3)}) &= n^{-2}\Sigma_Z + O(\rho^n) \\ R_n^{(1),(3)} &= -n^{-2}\mathbb{E}_\pi[\Delta_n^m \widehat{Z}_n^\top] + O(n^{-3}) \\ R_n^{(2,1),(1)} + R_n^{(1),(2,1)} &= n^{-2}\Sigma_\#^{(2)} + O(n^{-2-\delta}) \end{aligned}$$

Putting those results together gives

$$\text{Cov}(\theta_n) = n^{-1}\Sigma_\theta + n^{-2}(\Sigma_\#^{(1)} + \Sigma_\#^{(2)} + \Sigma_Z - \mathbb{E}_\pi[\Delta_n^m \widehat{Z}_n^\top] - \mathbb{E}_\pi[\widehat{Z}_n(\Delta_n^m)^\top]) + O(n^{-2-\delta})$$

for some $\delta > 0$, where $\Sigma_\# := \Sigma_\#^{(1)} + \Sigma_\#^{(2)}$ solves the Lyapunov equation (43). □

A.4 Unbounded moments

This section is devoted to the proof that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[|v^\top \tilde{\theta}_n^\varrho|^2] = \infty$ for $\varrho > \varrho_0$ (see Thm. 2.4 (ii)). Since it suffices to show the result holds for $\varrho_0 < \varrho < \frac{1}{2}$, we assume $\varrho < \frac{1}{2}$ throughout. Recall that $\lambda = -\varrho_0 + ui$.

Consider the update of $\tilde{\theta}_n^\varrho$ in (32). With $v^\top[\lambda I - A] = 0$, we have $v^\top[\varrho_n I + A_n] = v^\top[\varrho - \varrho_0 + \varepsilon_v(n, \varrho) + ui]$. Multiplying each side of (32) by v^\top gives

$$\begin{aligned} v^\top \tilde{\theta}_{n+1}^\varrho &= v^\top \tilde{\theta}_n^\varrho + \alpha_{n+1} [[\varrho - \varrho_0 + \varepsilon_v(n, \varrho) + ui] v^\top \tilde{\theta}_n^\varrho + (n+1)e v^\top \Delta_{n+1}] \\ &= [1 + \alpha_{n+1} \tilde{\varrho}_{n+1} + \alpha_{n+1} ui] v^\top \tilde{\theta}_n^\varrho + (n+1)e^{-1} v^\top \Delta_{n+1} \end{aligned}$$

with $\tilde{\varrho}_{n+1} = \varrho - \varrho_0 + \varepsilon_v(n, \varrho)$. Note that $\tilde{\varrho}_{n+1}$ is strictly positive for sufficiently large n .

For a fixed but arbitrary n_0 and each $n \geq n_0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 v^\top \tilde{\theta}_{n+1}^e &= v^\top \tilde{\theta}_{n_0}^e \prod_{k=n_0+1}^{n+1} [1 + \alpha_k \tilde{\varrho}_k + \alpha_k u i] + \sum_{k=n_0+1}^{n+1} k^{\varrho-1} v^\top \Delta_k \prod_{l=k+1}^{n+1} [1 + \alpha_l \tilde{\varrho}_l + \alpha_l u i] \\
 &= \left[\prod_{k=n_0+1}^{n+1} [1 + \alpha_k \tilde{\varrho}_k + \alpha_k u i] \right] \cdot \left[v^\top \tilde{\theta}_{n_0}^e + \sum_{k=n_0+1}^{n+1} \frac{k^{\varrho-1}}{\prod_{l=n_0+1}^k [1 + \alpha_l \tilde{\varrho}_l + \alpha_l u i]} v^\top \Delta_k \right] \\
 &= \left[\prod_{k=n_0+1}^{n+1} [1 + \alpha_k \tilde{\varrho}_k + \alpha_k u i] \right] \cdot \left[v^\top \tilde{\theta}_{n_0}^e + \sum_{k=n_0+1}^{n+1} \beta_k v^\top \Delta_k \right]
 \end{aligned} \tag{74}$$

with $\beta_n = n^{\varrho-1} / \prod_{l=n_0+1}^n [1 + \alpha_l \tilde{\varrho}_l + \alpha_l u i]$.

The analysis of $\{v^\top \tilde{\theta}_n^e\}$ is mainly based on the random series appearing in (74), which requires the following three preliminary results:

Lemma A.10. *There exists some n_0 such that for each $n > n_0$,*

$$|\beta_n - \beta_{n+1}|^2 \leq 4|\beta_{n+1}|^2 \alpha_n^2 (1 + u^2)$$

Proof. Note that $|\beta_n - \beta_{n+1}|^2 = |\beta_{n+1}|^2 |\beta_n / \beta_{n+1} - 1|^2$, so it is sufficient to bound the second factor:

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\beta_n / \beta_{n+1} - 1|^2 &= |(1 + n^{-1})^{1-\varrho} [1 + \alpha_{n+1} \tilde{\varrho}_{n+1} + \alpha_{n+1} u i] - 1|^2 \\
 &= |(1 + n^{-1})^{1-\varrho} [1 + \alpha_{n+1} \tilde{\varrho}_{n+1}] - 1 + (1 + n^{-1})^{1-\varrho} \alpha_{n+1} u i|^2
 \end{aligned} \tag{75}$$

Consider the real part in (75): since $\varepsilon_v(n, \varrho) = O(n^{-1})$, there exists n_0 such that $|\varepsilon_v(n, \varrho)| \leq \varrho - \varrho_0$ and $\tilde{\varrho}_{n+1} = \varrho - \varrho_0 + \varepsilon_v(n, \varrho) > 0$ for $n \geq n_0$. Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &\leq (1 + n^{-1})^{1-\varrho} [1 + \alpha_{n+1} \tilde{\varrho}_{n+1}] - 1 < (1 + n^{-1}) [1 + \alpha_{n+1} \tilde{\varrho}_{n+1}] - 1 \\
 &\leq n^{-1} (1 + \tilde{\varrho}_{n+1} + \alpha_{n+1} \tilde{\varrho}_{n+1})
 \end{aligned}$$

Given $0 < \varrho - \varrho_0 < \frac{1}{2}$, we can increase n_0 if necessary, such that $1 + \tilde{\varrho}_{n+1} + \alpha_{n+1} \tilde{\varrho}_{n+1} \leq 2$ for $n \geq n_0$. Then we have

$$(1 + n^{-1})^{1-\varrho} [1 + \alpha_{n+1} \tilde{\varrho}_{n+1}] - 1 \leq 2\alpha_n$$

For the imaginary part, observe that

$$(1 + n^{-1})^{1-\varrho} \alpha_{n+1} u = \alpha_n \frac{n^\varrho}{(n+1)^\varrho} u \leq 2u\alpha_n$$

The proof is completed by summing the bounds for the real and imaginary parts. \square

Lemma A.11. *Suppose Assumptions A1 and A3 hold. With each $n_0 \geq 1$, the random series $\sum_{k=n_0+1}^{\infty} \beta_k v^\top \Delta_k$ converges a.s..*

Proof. Decompose the series into the sum of a martingale difference and telescoping sequence. The martingale difference sequence converges *almost surely* given $\{\beta_n\} \in \ell_2$; the telescoping series is absolutely convergent by Lemma A.10. \square

Lemma A.12. *Suppose Assumptions A1 and A3 hold. Denote $Z_n^v = v^\top Z_n = v^\top \hat{f}(\Phi_n)$. There exists a deterministic constant $K > 0$, such that for all n_0 and each sequence $\gamma \in \ell_1 \subseteq \ell_2$,*

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\text{Var} \left(\sum_{k=n_0+2}^{\infty} \gamma_{k-n_0-1} Z_k^v \mid \mathcal{F}_{n_0+1} \right) \right] \leq K \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\gamma_k|^2 \tag{76}$$

Proof. First recall that $\text{Var}\left(\sum_{k=n_0+2}^{\infty} \gamma_{k-n_0-1} Z_k^v \mid \mathcal{F}_{n_0+1}\right) \leq \mathbb{E}\left[\left|\sum_{k=n_0+2}^{\infty} \gamma_{k-n_0-1} Z_k^v\right|^2 \mid \mathcal{F}_{n_0+1}\right]$, and hence by the Markov property,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left|\sum_{k=n_0+2}^{\infty} \gamma_{k-n_0-1} Z_k^v\right|^2 \mid \mathcal{F}_{n_0+1}\right] = \mathbb{E}_{z'}\left[\left|\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \gamma_k Z_k^v\right|^2\right] = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{z'}\left[\left|\sum_{k=1}^n \gamma_k Z_k^v\right|^2\right]$$

where $z' = \Phi_n$, and the last equality holds by the assumption $\gamma \in \ell_1$ and dominated convergence. For each n , letting $[\gamma]^n = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n)$ denote γ truncated at index n , we have

$$\mathbb{E}_{z'}\left[\left|\sum_{k=1}^n \gamma_k Z_k^v\right|^2\right] = \sum_{k=1}^n |\gamma_k|^2 \mathbb{E}_{z'}\left[|Z_k^v|^2\right] + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j \neq i}^n \gamma_i^\dagger \gamma_j \mathbb{E}_{z'}\left[(Z_i^v)^\dagger Z_j^v\right] = ([\gamma]^n)^\dagger [R]_n [\gamma]^n \quad (77)$$

where $[R]_n \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ is the covariance matrix with each entry defined as $R(i, j) = \mathbb{E}_{z'}\left[(Z_i^v)^\dagger Z_j^v\right]$, $1 \leq i, j \leq n$; $[R]_n$ is Hermitian and positive semi-definite. With $\lambda_n \geq 0$ denoting the largest eigenvalue of $[R]_n$, we have

$$([\gamma]^n)^\dagger [R]_n [\gamma]^n \leq \lambda_n \sum_{k=1}^n |\gamma_k|^2 \leq \lambda_n \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\gamma_k|^2 \quad (78)$$

By the Gershgorin circle theorem (Golub and Van Loan, 1996), the maximal eigenvalue is upper bounded by the maximum row sum of absolute values of entries:

$$\lambda_n \leq \max_{i \in \{1, \dots, n\}} \sum_{j=1}^n |R(i, j)| \leq \sup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |R(i, j)|$$

For any i , observe that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |R(i, j)| = \mathbb{E}_{z'}\left[|Z_i^v|^2\right] + \sum_{i < j} |R(i, j)| + \sum_{i > j} |R(i, j)|$$

Since V -uniform ergodicity of the Markov chain Φ implies V -geometric mixing (Meyn and Tweedie, 2009, Theorem 16.1.5) and $|v^\top \hat{f}|^2 \in L_\infty^V$, there exist $B < \infty$ and $r \in (0, 1)$ such that for each $i, k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$,

$$\left|R(i, i+k) - \mathbb{E}_{z'}\left[(Z_i^v)^\dagger\right] \mathbb{E}_{z'}\left[Z_{i+k}^v\right]\right| \leq B r^k [1 + r^i V(z')]$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |R(i, j)| &\leq \mathbb{E}_{z'}\left[|Z_i^v|^2\right] + \left|\mathbb{E}_{z'}\left[(Z_i^v)^\dagger\right]\right| \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left|\mathbb{E}_{z'}\left[Z_j^v\right]\right| \\ &\quad + \sum_{i < j} B r^{j-i} [1 + r^i V(z')] + \sum_{i > j} B r^{i-j} [1 + r^j V(z')] \end{aligned} \quad (79)$$

Given $|v^\top \hat{f}|^2 \in L_\infty^V$, by (23),

$$\mathbb{E}_{z'}\left[|Z_n^v|^2\right] \leq \mathbb{E}_\pi\left[|Z_n^v|^2\right] + B_V \| |v^\top \hat{f}|^2 \|_V V(z')$$

The Markov chain Φ is also \sqrt{V} -uniformly ergodic. By (23) for \sqrt{V} and $|v^\top \hat{f}|^2 \in L_\infty^V$ once more,

$$\left|\mathbb{E}_{z'}\left[(Z_i^v)^\dagger\right]\right| \leq B_{\sqrt{V}} \| |v^\top \hat{f}|^2 \|_{\sqrt{V}} \sqrt{V(z')} \rho^j$$

Hence

$$|\mathbf{E}_{z'}[(Z_i^v)^\dagger]| \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\mathbf{E}_{z'}[Z_j^v]| \leq B_{\sqrt{V}}^2 \|v^\top \hat{f}\|_{\sqrt{V}}^2 V(z') \rho^i \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \rho^j \leq B_{\sqrt{V}}^2 \|v^\top \hat{f}\|_{\sqrt{V}}^2 \frac{\rho}{1-\rho} V(z')$$

The other two terms on the right hand side of (79) are bounded as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j>i} B r^{j-i} [1 + r^i V(z')] &= \sum_{j>i} B [r^{j-i} + r^j V(z')] \leq \frac{B r}{1-r} (1 + V(z')) \\ \sum_{j<i} B r^{i-j} [1 + r^j V(z')] &= \left[\sum_{j<i} B [r^{i-j}] \right] + B V(z') (i-1) r^i \leq \frac{B r}{1-r} + B V(z') \sup_i i r^i \end{aligned}$$

where $\sup_i i r^i$ exists since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n r^n = 0$.

Consequently, there exists some deterministic constant K' independent of z' such that, the largest eigenvalues $\{\lambda_n\}$ are uniformly bounded

$$\sup_n \lambda_n \leq K' V(z')$$

Combining this with (77) and (78) gives

$$\mathbf{E}_{z'} \left[\left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} Z_k^v \right|^2 \right] \leq K' V(z') \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\gamma_k|^2$$

Therefore,

$$\mathbf{E} \left[\mathbf{E} \left[\left| \sum_{k=n_0+2}^{\infty} \gamma_{k-n_0-1} Z_k^v \right|^2 \mid \mathcal{F}_{n_0+1} \right] \mid \Phi_0 = z \right] \leq K' \mathbf{E} [V(\Phi_{n_0+1}) \mid \Phi_0 = z] \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\gamma_k|^2$$

By $V \in L_\infty^V$ and (23) again, $\mathbf{E}[V(\Phi_{n_0+1}) \mid \Phi_0 = z] \leq \pi(V) + B_V V(z)$. The desired conclusion then follows by setting $K = K'(B_V V(z) + \pi(V))$. \square

Lemma A.13. *Suppose Assumptions A1-A3 hold and $\Sigma_{\Delta} v \neq 0$. With $\{\tilde{\theta}_n^\varrho\}$ updated via (32),*

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{E} [|v^\top \tilde{\theta}_n^\varrho|^2] = \infty, \quad \varrho > \varrho_0$$

Proof. With fixed n_0 , equation (74) gives a representation for $v^\top \tilde{\theta}_{n+1}^\varrho$ for each $n \geq n_0$. It is obvious that $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{k=n_0+1}^n |1 + \tilde{\varrho}_k \alpha_k + \alpha_k u_i|^2 = \infty$. Hence it suffices to show that $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{E} [|v^\top \tilde{\theta}_{n_0}^\varrho + \sum_{k=n_0+1}^{n+1} \beta_k v^\top \Delta_k|^2]$ is strictly greater than zero.

By Fatou's lemma,

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{E} \left[\left| v^\top \tilde{\theta}_{n_0}^\varrho + \sum_{k=n_0+1}^{n+1} \beta_k v^\top \Delta_k \right|^2 \right] &\geq \mathbf{E} \left[\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| v^\top \tilde{\theta}_{n_0}^\varrho + \sum_{k=n_0+1}^{n+1} \beta_k v^\top \Delta_k \right|^2 \right] \\ &= \mathbf{E} \left[\left| v^\top \tilde{\theta}_{n_0}^\varrho + \sum_{k=n_0+1}^{\infty} \beta_k v^\top \Delta_k \right|^2 \right] \\ &\geq \text{Var} \left(v^\top \tilde{\theta}_{n_0}^\varrho + \sum_{k=n_0+1}^{\infty} \beta_k v^\top \Delta_k \right) \end{aligned}$$

where the equality holds by Lemma A.11. By the law of total variance,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var} \left(v^\top \tilde{\theta}_{n_0}^e + \sum_{k=n_0+1}^{\infty} \beta_k v^\top \Delta_k \right) &\geq \mathbb{E} \left[\text{Var} \left(v^\top \tilde{\theta}_{n_0}^e + \sum_{k=n_0+1}^{\infty} \beta_k v^\top \Delta_k \mid \mathcal{F}_{n_0+1} \right) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[\text{Var} \left(\sum_{k=n_0+1}^{\infty} \beta_k v^\top \Delta_k \mid \mathcal{F}_{n_0+1} \right) \right] \end{aligned}$$

Apply once more the decomposition based on Poisson's equation:

$$v^\top \Delta_n = \Delta_{n+1}^{vm} + Z_n^v - Z_{n+1}^v, \quad n \geq 1,$$

where $Z_n^v = v^\top \hat{f}(\Phi_n)$ and $\Delta_{n+1}^{vm} = Z_{n+1}^v - \mathbb{E}[Z_{n+1}^v \mid \mathcal{F}_n]$ is a martingale difference. By the variance inequality $\text{Var}(X + Y \mid \mathcal{F}_{n_0+1}) \leq 2\text{Var}(X \mid \mathcal{F}_{n_0+1}) + 2\text{Var}(Y \mid \mathcal{F}_{n_0+1})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E} \left[\text{Var} \left(\sum_{k=n_0+1}^{\infty} \beta_k v^\top \Delta_k \mid \mathcal{F}_{n_0+1} \right) \right] \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \left[\text{Var} \left(\sum_{k=n_0+1}^{\infty} \beta_k \Delta_{k+1}^{vm} \mid \mathcal{F}_{n_0+1} \right) \right] - \mathbb{E} \left[\text{Var} \left(\sum_{k=n_0+1}^{\infty} \beta_k (Z_k^v - Z_{k+1}^v) \mid \mathcal{F}_{n_0+1} \right) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (80)$$

By the law of total variance once more,

$$\text{Var} \left(\sum_{k=n_0+1}^{\infty} \beta_k \Delta_{k+1}^{vm} \right) = \mathbb{E} \left[\text{Var} \left(\sum_{k=n_0+1}^{\infty} \beta_k \Delta_{k+1}^{vm} \mid \mathcal{F}_{n_0+1} \right) \right] + \text{Var} \left(\mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{k=n_0+1}^{\infty} \beta_k \Delta_{k+1}^{vm} \mid \mathcal{F}_{n_0+1} \right] \right)$$

Note that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{k=n_0+1}^n \beta_k \Delta_{k+1}^{vm} \mid \mathcal{F}_{n_0+1} \right]$ converges to zero *almost surely*. With $\{\beta_n\} \in \ell_2$ and the Jensen's inequality, we have for all n ,

$$\left| \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{k=n_0+1}^n \beta_k \Delta_{k+1}^{vm} \mid \mathcal{F}_{n_0+1} \right] \right|^2 \leq \sum_{k=n_0+1}^{\infty} |\beta_k|^2 \mathbb{E} \left[|\Delta_{k+1}^{vm}|^2 \mid \mathcal{F}_{n_0+1} \right] < \infty$$

Then by the dominated convergence theorem, $\mathbb{E} \left[\left| \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{k=n_0+1}^{\infty} \beta_k \Delta_{k+1}^{vm} \mid \mathcal{F}_{n_0+1} \right] \right|^2 \right] = 0$. Therefore,

$$\text{Var} \left(\mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{k=n_0+1}^{\infty} \beta_k \Delta_{k+1}^{vm} \mid \mathcal{F}_{n_0+1} \right] \right) \leq \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{k=n_0+1}^{\infty} \beta_k \Delta_{k+1}^{vm} \mid \mathcal{F}_{n_0+1} \right] \right|^2 \right] = 0$$

Hence,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\text{Var} \left(\sum_{k=n_0+1}^{\infty} \beta_k \Delta_{k+1}^{vm} \mid \mathcal{F}_{n_0+1} \right) \right] = \text{Var} \left(\sum_{k=n_0+1}^{\infty} \beta_k \Delta_{k+1}^{vm} \right) = \sum_{k=n_0+1}^{\infty} |\beta_k|^2 \sigma_{k+1}^2 \quad (81)$$

where $\sigma_n^2 = \text{Var}(\Delta_n^{vm})$.

For the telescoping term on the right hand side of (80), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[\text{Var} \left(\sum_{k=n_0+1}^{\infty} \beta_k (Z_k^v - Z_{k+1}^v) \mid \mathcal{F}_{n_0+1} \right) \right] &= \mathbb{E} \left[\text{Var} \left(\beta_{n_0+1} Z_{n_0+1}^v - \sum_{k=n_0+2}^{\infty} (\beta_k - \beta_{k+1}) Z_k^v \mid \mathcal{F}_{n_0+1} \right) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[\text{Var} \left(\sum_{k=n_0+2}^{\infty} (\beta_k - \beta_{k+1}) Z_k^v \mid \mathcal{F}_{n_0+1} \right) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (82)$$

Given $\{\beta_n - \beta_{n+1}\} \in \ell_1$ by Lemma A.10, Lemma A.12 indicates that there exists some constant K independent of n_0 such that,

$$\mathbb{E}[\text{Var}(\sum_{k=n_0+2}^{\infty} (\beta_k - \beta_{k+1}) \hat{Z}_k \mid \mathcal{F}_{n_0+1})] \leq K \sum_{k=n_0+2}^{\infty} |\beta_k - \beta_{k+1}|^2$$

Combining (81) and (82) gives

$$\mathbb{E}[\text{Var}(\sum_{k=n_0+1}^{\infty} \beta_k v^\top \Delta_k \mid \mathcal{F}_{n_0+1})] \geq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=n_0+1}^{\infty} |\beta_k|^2 \sigma_{k+1}^2 - K \sum_{k=n_0+2}^{\infty} |\beta_k - \beta_{k+1}|^2$$

Since $|v^\top \hat{f}|^2 \in L_\infty^V$ and $\sigma_n^2 \rightarrow \sigma^2 = v^\top \Sigma_\Delta \bar{v} > 0$ at a geometric rate, we set n_0 sufficiently large such that Lemma A.10 holds and moreover for all $n \geq n_0$,

$$\sigma_n^2 \geq \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2, \quad \frac{1}{4} \sigma^2 - 4K \alpha_n^2 (1 + u^2) \geq \frac{1}{8} \sigma^2,$$

Then,

$$\mathbb{E}[\text{Var}(\sum_{k=n_0+1}^{\infty} \beta_k v^\top \Delta_k \mid \mathcal{F}_{n_0+1})] \geq \frac{1}{8} \sigma^2 \sum_{k=n_0+1}^{\infty} |\beta_k|^2$$

Therefore,

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[|v^\top \tilde{\theta}_{n_0}^g + \sum_{k=n_0+1}^n \beta_k v^\top \Delta_k|^2] \geq \frac{1}{8} \sigma^2 \sum_{k=n_0+1}^{\infty} |\beta_k|^2 > 0$$

The desired conclusion then follows from (74):

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[|v^\top \tilde{\theta}_{n+1}^g|^2] \geq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{k=n_0+1}^n |1 + \tilde{\varrho}_k \alpha_k + \alpha_k u i|^2 \cdot \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[|v^\top \tilde{\theta}_{n_0}^g + \sum_{k=n_0+1}^n \beta_k v^\top \Delta_k|^2] = \infty$$

□

A.5 Coupling of Deterministic and Random Linear SA

Let $\hat{\mathcal{A}}: Z \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ denote the zero-mean solution to the following Poisson equation:

$$\mathbb{E}[\hat{\mathcal{A}}(\Phi_{n+1}) \mid \Phi_n = z] = \hat{\mathcal{A}}(z) - \mathcal{A}(z) + A, \quad z \in Z$$

which is a matrix version of (25). Denote $\Delta_{n+1}^{\mathcal{A}} = \hat{\mathcal{A}}(\Phi_{n+1}) - \mathbb{E}[\hat{\mathcal{A}}(\Phi_{n+1}) \mid \mathcal{F}_n]$ (a martingale difference sequence), and $\mathcal{A}_n = \hat{\mathcal{A}}(\Phi_n)$. Then, from (35),

$$\begin{aligned} (A_{n+1} - A) \tilde{\theta}_n^\circ &= [\Delta_{n+2}^{\mathcal{A}} + \mathcal{A}_{n+1} - \mathcal{A}_{n+2}] \tilde{\theta}_n^\circ \\ &= \Delta_{n+2}^{\mathcal{A}} \tilde{\theta}_n^\circ + \mathcal{A}_{n+1} \tilde{\theta}_n^\circ - \mathcal{A}_{n+2} \tilde{\theta}_{n+1}^\circ + \mathcal{A}_{n+2} (\tilde{\theta}_{n+1}^\circ - \tilde{\theta}_n^\circ) \\ &= \Delta_{n+2}^{\mathcal{A}} \tilde{\theta}_n^\circ + [\mathcal{A}_{n+1} \tilde{\theta}_n^\circ - \mathcal{A}_{n+2} \tilde{\theta}_{n+1}^\circ] + \alpha_{n+1} \mathcal{A}_{n+2} (A_{n+1} \tilde{\theta}_n^\circ + \Delta_{n+1}) \end{aligned}$$

The sequence $\{\mathcal{E}_n\}$ from (37) can be expressed as the sum

$$\mathcal{E}_n = \mathcal{E}_n^{(1)} + \mathcal{E}_n^{(2)} + \mathcal{E}_n^{(3)} + \mathcal{E}_n^{(4)}$$

where $\mathcal{E}_n^{(4)} = -\alpha_n \mathcal{A}_{n+1} \tilde{\theta}_n^\circ$, and the first three sequences are solutions to the following linear systems:

$$\mathcal{E}_{n+1}^{(1)} = \mathcal{E}_n^{(1)} + \alpha_{n+1} [A \mathcal{E}_n^{(1)} + \Delta_{n+2}^A \tilde{\theta}_n^\circ], \quad \mathcal{E}_0^{(1)} = 0 \quad (83a)$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{n+1}^{(2)} = \mathcal{E}_n^{(2)} + \alpha_{n+1} [A \mathcal{E}_n^{(2)} - \alpha_n [I + A] \mathcal{A}_{n+1} \tilde{\theta}_n^\circ], \quad \mathcal{E}_1^{(2)} = \mathcal{A}_1 \tilde{\theta}_0^\circ \quad (83b)$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{n+1}^{(3)} = \mathcal{E}_n^{(3)} + \alpha_{n+1} [A \mathcal{E}_n^{(3)} + \alpha_{n+1} \mathcal{A}_{n+2} (A_{n+1} \tilde{\theta}_n^\circ + \Delta_{n+1})], \quad \mathcal{E}_0^{(3)} = 0 \quad (83c)$$

The second recursion arises through the arguments used in the proof of Lemma 2.2.

Recall that $\lambda = -\varrho_0 + ui$ is an eigenvalue of the matrix A with largest real part. For fixed $0 < \varrho < \varrho_0$, let $T \geq 0$ denote the unique solution to the Lyapunov equation

$$[\varrho I + A]T + T[\varrho I + A]^\top + I = 0 \quad (84)$$

As previously, the norm of random vector $E \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is defined as: $\|E\|_T = \sqrt{\mathbb{E}[E^\top T E]}$.

Lemma A.14. *Under Assumptions (A1)-(A4), there exist constants $L_{A.14}$ and $K_{A.14}$ such that, for all $n \geq 1$,*

(i) *The following holds for each $1 \leq i \leq 3$,*

$$\|\mathcal{E}_{n+1}^{(i)}\|_T^2 \leq (1 - 2\varrho\alpha_{n+1} + L_{A.14}^2 \alpha_{n+1}^2) \|\mathcal{E}_n^{(i)}\|_T^2 + K_{A.14} \alpha_{n+1}^2 (\|\mathcal{E}_n\|_T^2 + \|\tilde{\theta}_n^\bullet\|_T^2 + 1)$$

(ii) *The following holds for $\mathcal{E}_n^{(4)}$,*

$$\|\mathcal{E}_{n+1}^{(4)}\|_T^2 \leq K_{A.14} \alpha_{n+1}^2 (\|\mathcal{E}_n\|_T^2 + \|\tilde{\theta}_n^\bullet\|_T^2 + 1)$$

The inequality below will be useful in proving Lemma A.14.

Lemma A.15. *For any real numbers a, b and all $c > 0$,*

$$(a + b)^2 \leq (1 + c^{-1})a^2 + (1 + c)b^2$$

Proof. With $(a + b)^2 = a^2 + b^2 + 2ab$, the result follows directly from the inequality

$$2ab = 2(a/\sqrt{c})(\sqrt{c}b) \leq a^2/c + cb^2$$

□

Proof of Lemma A.14. First consider $\{\mathcal{E}_n^{(1)}\}$ updated via (83a). Since the martingale difference sequence Δ_{n+2}^A is uncorrelated with $\tilde{\theta}_n^\circ$ or $\mathcal{E}_n^{(1)}$, we have

$$\|\mathcal{E}_{n+1}^{(1)}\|_T^2 = \|[I + \alpha_{n+1}A]\mathcal{E}_n^{(1)}\|_T^2 + \alpha_{n+1}^2 \|\Delta_{n+2}^A \tilde{\theta}_n^\circ\|_T^2$$

Using the fact that $T \geq 0$ solves the Lyapunov equation (84) gives

$$\|\mathcal{E}_{n+1}^{(1)}\|_T^2 \leq (1 - 2\varrho\alpha_{n+1} + L_1^2 \alpha_{n+1}^2) \|\mathcal{E}_n^{(1)}\|_T^2 + \alpha_{n+1}^2 \|\Delta_{n+2}^A \tilde{\theta}_n^\circ\|_T^2$$

where $L_1 = \|A\|_T$ (the induced operator norm). With $\tilde{\theta}_n^\bullet = \mathcal{E}_n + \tilde{\theta}_n^\circ$,

$$\|\Delta_{n+2}^A \tilde{\theta}_n^\circ\|_T^2 \leq 2\|\Delta_{n+2}^A\|_T^2 (\|\mathcal{E}_n\|_T^2 + \|\tilde{\theta}_n^\bullet\|_T^2)$$

Consequently,

$$\|\mathcal{E}_{n+1}^{(1)}\|_T^2 \leq (1 - 2\varrho\alpha_{n+1} + L_1^2 \alpha_{n+1}^2) \|\mathcal{E}_n^{(1)}\|_T^2 + K_1 \alpha_{n+1}^2 (\|\mathcal{E}_n\|_T^2 + \|\tilde{\theta}_n^\bullet\|_T^2) \quad (85)$$

where $K_1 = \sup_n 2\|\Delta_{n+2}^A\|_T^2$ is finite by the V -uniform ergodicity of Φ applied to $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_{i,j}^2$ (recall Thm. 2.1).

For $\{\mathcal{E}_n^{(2)}\}$ updated by (83b), using Lemma A.15 with $c = n(n+1)$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{E}_{n+1}^{(2)}\|_T^2 &\leq (1 + \alpha_n \alpha_{n+1})(1 - 2\rho\alpha_{n+1} + L_1^2 \alpha_{n+1}^2) \|\mathcal{E}_n^{(2)}\|_T^2 \\ &\quad + 2(\alpha_n \alpha_{n+1} + \alpha_n^2 \alpha_{n+1}^2) \|[I + A]\mathcal{A}_{n+1}\|_T^2 (\|\mathcal{E}_n\|_T^2 + \|\tilde{\theta}_n^\bullet\|_T^2) \end{aligned}$$

We can find L_2 and K_2 such that for all $n \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{n+1}^2 L_1^2 + \alpha_n \alpha_{n+1} (1 - 2\rho\alpha_{n+1} + L_1^2 \alpha_{n+1}^2) &\leq L_2^2 \alpha_{n+1}^2 \\ 2(\alpha_n \alpha_{n+1} + \alpha_n^2 \alpha_{n+1}^2) \|[I + A]\mathcal{A}_{n+1}\|_T^2 &\leq K_2 \alpha_{n+1}^2 \end{aligned}$$

We then obtain the desired form for the sequence $\{\mathcal{E}_n^{(2)}\}$

$$\|\mathcal{E}_{n+1}^{(2)}\|_T^2 \leq (1 - 2\rho\alpha_{n+1} + L_2^2 \alpha_{n+1}^2) \|\mathcal{E}_n^{(2)}\|_T^2 + K_2 \alpha_{n+1}^2 (\|\mathcal{E}_n\|_T^2 + \|\tilde{\theta}_n^\bullet\|_T^2) \quad (86)$$

The same argument applies to $\{\mathcal{E}_n^{(3)}\}$ in (83c). Therefore, for some constants L_3 and K_3 ,

$$\|\mathcal{E}_{n+1}^{(3)}\|_T^2 \leq (1 - 2\rho\alpha_{n+1} + L_3^2 \alpha_{n+1}^2) \|\mathcal{E}_n^{(3)}\|_T^2 + K_3 \alpha_{n+1}^2 (\|\mathcal{E}_n\|_T^2 + \|\tilde{\theta}_n^\bullet\|_T^2 + 1) \quad (87)$$

A bound on the final term $\mathcal{E}_{n+1}^{(4)} = -\alpha_{n+1} \mathcal{A}_{n+2} \tilde{\theta}_{n+1}^\circ$ is relatively easy.

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{E}_{n+1}^{(4)}\|_T^2 &= \|\alpha_{n+1} \mathcal{A}_{n+2} [\tilde{\theta}_n^\circ + \alpha_{n+1} (A_{n+1} \tilde{\theta}_n^\circ + \Delta_{n+1})]\|_T^2 \\ &\leq 2\alpha_{n+1}^2 \|\mathcal{A}_{n+2}\|_T^2 (\|I + \alpha_{n+1} A_{n+1}\|_T^2 \|\tilde{\theta}_n^\circ\|_T^2 + \alpha_{n+1}^2 \|\Delta_{n+1}\|_T^2) \end{aligned}$$

Hence there exists some constant K_4 such that

$$\|\mathcal{E}_{n+1}^{(4)}\|_T^2 \leq K_4 \alpha_{n+1}^2 (\|\mathcal{E}_n\|_T^2 + \|\tilde{\theta}_n^\bullet\|_T^2 + 1)$$

□

The results in Lemma A.14 lead to a rough bound on $\|\tilde{\theta}_n^\circ\|_T^2$ presented in the following. This intermediate result will be used later to establish the refined bound in Thm. 2.6.

Lemma A.16. *Under Assumptions (A1)-(A4),*

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^\varrho \|\tilde{\theta}_n^\circ\|_T^2 < \infty, \quad \text{for } \varrho < \varrho_0 \text{ and } \varrho \leq 1$$

Proof. Denote $\mathcal{E}_n^{\text{tot}} = \sum_{i=1}^4 \|\mathcal{E}_n^{(i)}\|_T^2$. By Lemma A.14, we can find $n_0 \geq 1$ such that $1 - 2\rho\alpha_{n+1} + L_{A.14}^2 \alpha_{n+1}^2 > 0$ for $n \geq n_0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_{n+1}^{\text{tot}} &\leq (1 - 2\rho\alpha_{n+1} + L_{A.14}^2 \alpha_{n+1}^2) \mathcal{E}_n^{\text{tot}} + 4K_{A.14} \alpha_{n+1}^2 (\|\mathcal{E}_n\|_T^2 + \|\tilde{\theta}_n^\bullet\|_T^2 + 1) \\ &\leq (1 - 2\rho\alpha_{n+1} + L_{A.14}^2 \alpha_{n+1}^2) \mathcal{E}_n^{\text{tot}} + 4K_{A.14} \alpha_{n+1}^2 (4\mathcal{E}_n^{\text{tot}} + \|\tilde{\theta}_n^\bullet\|_T^2 + 1) \\ &\leq (1 - 2\rho\alpha_{n+1} + L_{\text{tot}}^2 \alpha_{n+1}^2) \mathcal{E}_n^{\text{tot}} + K_{\text{tot}} \alpha_{n+1}^2 \end{aligned}$$

with $L_{\text{tot}}^2 = L_{A.14}^2 + 16K_{A.14}$ and $K_{\text{tot}} = \sup_n 4K_{A.14} (\|\tilde{\theta}_n^\bullet\|_T^2 + 1)$, which are finite by Lemma A.2 combined with Lemma A.14. Iterating this inequality gives, for $n \geq n_0$,

$$\mathcal{E}_{n+1}^{\text{tot}} \leq \mathcal{E}_{n_0}^{\text{tot}} \prod_{k=n_0+1}^{n+1} (1 - 2\rho\alpha_k + L_{\text{tot}}^2 \alpha_k^2) + K_{\text{tot}} \sum_{k=n_0+1}^{n+1} \alpha_k^2 \prod_{l=k+1}^{n+1} (1 - 2\rho\alpha_l + L_{\text{tot}}^2 \alpha_l^2)$$

By Lemma A.1,

$$\mathcal{E}_{n+1}^{\text{tot}} \leq \mathcal{E}_{n_0}^{\text{tot}} \frac{K_{A.1} n_0^{2\varrho}}{(n+2)^{2\varrho}} + \frac{K_{A.1} K_{\text{tot}}}{(n+2)^{2\varrho}} \sum_{k=n_0+1}^{n+1} k^{2\varrho-2}$$

The partial sum can be estimated by an integral: with $2\varrho - 2 \leq 0$,

$$\sum_{k=n_0}^{n+1} k^{2\varrho-2} \leq 1 + \int_{n_0}^{n+1} r^{2\varrho-2} dr = \begin{cases} 1 + [(n+1)^{2\varrho-1} - n_0^{2\varrho-1}]/(2\varrho-1), & \text{if } \varrho \neq \frac{1}{2} \\ 1 + \ln(n+1) - \ln(n_0), & \text{if } \varrho = \frac{1}{2} \end{cases} \quad (88)$$

Given $\varrho \leq 1$,

$$n^\varrho \mathcal{E}_n^{\text{tot}} \leq \mathcal{E}_{n_0}^{\text{tot}} \frac{K_{A.1} n_0^{2\varrho}}{(n+2)^\varrho} + \frac{K_{A.1} K_{\text{tot}}}{(n+2)^\varrho} \sum_{k=n_0+1}^{n+1} k^{2\varrho-2} < \infty$$

Consequently, $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^\varrho \|\mathcal{E}_n\|_T^2 < \infty$ by the inequality $n^\varrho \|\mathcal{E}_n\|_T^2 \leq 4n^\varrho \mathcal{E}_n^{\text{tot}}$. Then we have

$$n^\varrho \|\tilde{\theta}_n^\circ\|_T^2 \leq 2n^\varrho \|\mathcal{E}_n\|_T^2 + 2n^\varrho \|\tilde{\theta}_n^\bullet\|_T^2$$

where $n^\varrho \|\tilde{\theta}_n^\bullet\|_T^2 \rightarrow 0$ as n goes to infinity by Lemma A.2. Hence $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^\varrho \|\tilde{\theta}_n^\circ\|_T^2 < \infty$. \square

Proof of Thm. 2.6. First consider $\{\mathcal{E}_n^{(2)}\}$ updated via (83b). By the triangle inequality and the inequality $\sqrt{1-x} \leq \frac{1}{2}x$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{E}_{n+1}^{(2)}\|_T &\leq \|[I + \alpha_{n+1}A]\mathcal{E}_n^{(2)}\|_T + \alpha_n \alpha_{n+1} \|[I + A]\mathcal{A}_{n+1}\tilde{\theta}_n^\circ\|_T \\ &\leq (1 - \varrho\alpha_{n+1} + \frac{1}{2}L^2\alpha_{n+1}^2)\|\mathcal{E}_n^{(2)}\|_T + \alpha_{n+1}^{2+\varrho/2}K \end{aligned}$$

where $L = \|A\|_T$ and $K = \sup_n 2\|[I + A]\mathcal{A}_{n+1}\|_T \|\tilde{\theta}_n^\circ\| / (n+1)^{\varrho/2}$, which is finite thanks to Lemma A.16. Hence, by Lemma A.1 once more,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{E}_{n+1}^{(2)}\|_T &\leq \|\mathcal{E}_1^{(2)}\|_T \prod_{k=2}^{n+1} [1 - \varrho\alpha_k + \frac{1}{2}L^2\alpha_k^2] + K \sum_{k=2}^{n+1} \alpha_k^{2+\varrho/2} \prod_{l=k+1}^{n+1} [1 - \varrho\alpha_l + \frac{1}{2}L^2\alpha_l^2] \\ &\leq \|\mathcal{E}_1^{(2)}\|_T \frac{K_{A.1}}{(n+2)^\varrho} + \frac{KK_{A.1}}{(n+2)^\varrho} \sum_{k=2}^{n+1} k^{\varrho/2-2} \end{aligned}$$

With $\varrho \leq 1$, we have $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{\varrho/2-2} \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{-3/2} < \infty$. Hence $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^\varrho \|\mathcal{E}_n^{(2)}\|_T < \infty$. Replacing $A_{n+1}\tilde{\theta}_n^\circ + \Delta_{n+1}$ with $\tilde{\theta}_{n+1}^\circ - \tilde{\theta}_n^\circ$ in (83c), the same argument applies to $\{\mathcal{E}_n^{(3)}\}$ and we get $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^\varrho \|\mathcal{E}_n^{(3)}\|_T < \infty$. The fact that $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \|\mathcal{E}_{n+1}^{(4)}\|_T < \infty$ follows directly from definition $\mathcal{E}_n^{(4)} = -\alpha_n \mathcal{A}_{n+1} \tilde{\theta}_n^\circ$ and Lemma A.16. Then we have, for each $2 \leq i \leq 4$,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^\varrho \|\mathcal{E}_n^{(i)}\|_T < \infty, \quad \text{for } \varrho < \varrho_0 \text{ and } \varrho \leq 1 \quad (89)$$

Now consider the martingale difference part $\{\mathcal{E}_n^{(1)}\}$. The following is directly obtained from (83a):

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{E}_{n+1}^{(1)}\|_T^2 &\leq (1 - 2\varrho\alpha_{n+1} + L^2\alpha_{n+1}^2)\|\mathcal{E}_n^{(1)}\|_T^2 + \alpha_{n+1}^2 \|\Delta_{n+2}^A\|_T^2 \|\tilde{\theta}_n^\circ\|_T^2 \\ &\leq (1 - 2\varrho\alpha_{n+1} + L^2\alpha_{n+1}^2)\|\mathcal{E}_n^{(1)}\|_T^2 + \alpha_{n+1}^2 \|\Delta_{n+2}^A\|_T^2 \left[8 \sum_{i=1}^4 \|\mathcal{E}_n^{(i)}\|_T^2 + 2\|\tilde{\theta}_n^\bullet\|_T^2 \right] \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma A.2 we have $\sup_n n^\delta \|\tilde{\theta}_n^\bullet\|_T^2 < \infty$ for $\delta = \min(1, 2\varrho)$. Combining this with (89) implies that there exists some constant $K_{\mathcal{M}}$ such that for $\delta = \min(1, 2\varrho)$,

$$\|\Delta_{n+2}^A\|_T^2 \left[8 \sum_{i=2}^4 \|\mathcal{E}_n^{(i)}\|_T^2 + 2\|\tilde{\theta}_n^\bullet\|_T^2 \right] \leq K_{\mathcal{M}} \frac{1}{(n+1)^\delta}$$

Consequently,

$$\|\mathcal{E}_{n+1}^{(1)}\|_T^2 \leq (1 - 2\varrho\alpha_{n+1} + L_{\mathcal{M}}^2\alpha_{n+1}^2) \|\mathcal{E}_n^{(1)}\|_T^2 + K_{\mathcal{M}}\alpha_{n+1}^{2+\delta}$$

where $L_{\mathcal{M}}^2 = \sup_n L^2 + 8\|\Delta_{n+2}^A\|_T^2$. With initial condition $\mathcal{E}_0 = 0$, iterating this inequality gives

$$\|\mathcal{E}_{n+1}^{(1)}\|_T^2 \leq K_{\mathcal{M}} \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \alpha_k^{2+\delta} \prod_{l=k+1}^{n+1} [1 - 2\varrho\alpha_l + L_{\mathcal{M}}^2\alpha_l^2] \leq \frac{K_{\mathcal{M}}K_{A.1}}{(n+2)^{2\varrho}} \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} k^{-(2+\delta-2\varrho)}$$

With $2 + \delta - 2\varrho > 0$, the partial sum is bounded by an integral similar as (88):

$$\frac{1}{(n+2)^{2\varrho}} \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} k^{-(2+\delta-2\varrho)} = \begin{cases} O((n+1)^{-2\varrho}), & \text{if } \varrho \leq \frac{1}{2} \text{ and } \delta = 2\varrho \\ O((n+1)^{-2\varrho}), & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} < \varrho < 1 \text{ and } \delta = 1 \\ O((n+1)^{-2}), & \text{if } \varrho > 1 \text{ and } \delta = 1 \end{cases}$$

Therefore,

- (i) If $\varrho_0 \leq 1$, then $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (n+1)^{2\varrho} \|\mathcal{E}_{n+1}^{(1)}\|_T^2 < \infty$ for $\varrho < \varrho_0$.
- (ii) If $\varrho_0 > 1$, then $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (n+1)^2 \|\mathcal{E}_{n+1}^{(1)}\|_T^2 < \infty$.

Given that the same convergence rates hold for the other components in (89), the conclusion then follows. \square