

Proofs for the paper “Flexible distribution-free conditional predictive bands using density estimators”

Definition 5.1. Whenever \hat{F} is a cdf, \hat{F}^{-1} refers to the generalized inverse of \hat{F} .

Definition 5.2. $U_{[\alpha]}$ and $U_{[\alpha]}$ are the $\lfloor n^{-1}(n\alpha) \rfloor$ empirical quantiles of U_1, \dots, U_n ,

Related to Dist-split

Proof of Theorem 2.2. Let $U_i = \hat{F}(Y_i|\mathbf{X}_i)$. Since (\mathbf{X}_i, Y_i) are i.i.d. continuous random variables and \hat{F} is continuous, obtain that U_i are i.i.d. continuous random variables.

$$1 - \alpha \leq \mathbb{P}(U_{n+1} \in [U_{[0.5\alpha]}; U_{[1-0.5\alpha]}]) \leq 1 - \alpha + (n+1)^{-1}.$$

The conclusion follows from noticing that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}(U_{n+1} \in [U_{[0.5\alpha]}; U_{[1-0.5\alpha]}]) \\ &= \mathbb{P}(Y_{n+1} \in [\hat{F}^{-1}(U_{[0.5\alpha]}|\mathbf{X}_{n+1}); \hat{F}^{-1}(U_{[1-0.5\alpha]}|\mathbf{X}_{n+1})]) \\ &= \mathbb{P}(Y_{n+1} \in C(\mathbf{X}_{n+1})) \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 5.3. Let $I_1 = \{i \leq n : |\hat{F}(Y_i|\mathbf{X}_i) - F(Y_i|\mathbf{X}_i)| < \eta_n^{1/3}\}$ and $I_2 = \{1, \dots, n\} - I_1$. Under Assumption 2.3, $|I_2| = o_P(n)$ and $|I_1| = n + o_P(n)$.

Proof. Let $A_n = \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} (\hat{F}(y|\mathbf{X}) - F(y|\mathbf{X}))^2 \mid \hat{F} \right] \geq \eta_n \right\}$ and $B_n = \{|\hat{F}(Y|\mathbf{X}) - F(Y|\mathbf{X})| \geq \eta_n^{1/3}\}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(B_n) &= \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{P}(B_n|\hat{F})\mathbb{1}(A_n)] + \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{P}(B_n|\hat{F})\mathbb{1}(A_n^c)] \\ &\leq \mathbb{P}(A_n) + \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{\mathbb{E}[(\hat{F}(Y|\mathbf{X}) - F(Y|\mathbf{X}))^2|\hat{F}]}{\eta_n^{2/3}} \mathbb{1}(A_n^c) \right] \\ &\leq \rho_n + \eta_n^{1/3} = o(1) \end{aligned}$$

Note that $|I_2| \sim \text{Binomial}(n, \mathbb{P}(B_n))$. Since $\mathbb{P}(B_n) = o(1)$, conclude that $|I_2| = o_P(n)$. That is, $|I_1| = n + o_P(n)$. □

Lemma 5.4. Under Assumption 2.3, If $U_i = \hat{F}(Y_i|\mathbf{X}_i)$, then for every $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, $U_{[\alpha]} = \alpha + o_P(1) = U_{[\alpha]}$.

Proof. Let I_1 and I_2 be such as in Lemma 5.3. Also, let \hat{G}_1, G_1 and G_0 be, the empirical quantiles of, respectively, $\{U_i : i \in I_1\}$, $\{F(Y_i|\mathbf{X}_i) : i \in I_1\}$, and $\{F(Y_i|\mathbf{X}_i) : i \leq n\}$. By definition of I_1 , for every $\alpha^* \in [0, 1]$, $\hat{G}_1^{-1}(\alpha^*) = G_1^{-1}(\alpha^*) + o(1)$. Also, $G_0^{-1}(\alpha^*) = \alpha^* + o_P(1)$. Therefore, since

$$G_0^{-1} \left(\frac{|I_1|\alpha^*}{n} \right) \leq G_1^{-1}(\alpha^*) \leq G_0^{-1} \left(\frac{|I_1|\alpha^* + |I_2|}{n} \right),$$

conclude that $\hat{G}_1^{-1}(\alpha^*) = \alpha^* + o_P(1)$. Finally, since

$$\hat{G}^{-1} \left(\frac{n\alpha - |I_2|}{|I_1|} \right) \leq U_{[\alpha]} \leq U_{[\alpha]} \leq \hat{G}^{-1} \left(\frac{n\alpha}{|I_1|} \right),$$

Conclude that $U_{[\alpha]} = \alpha + o_P(1) = U_{[\alpha]}$. □

Lemma 5.5. Let $U_i = \hat{F}(Y_i|\mathbf{X}_i)$. Under Assumptions 2.3 and 2.4,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{F}^{-1}(U_{[0.5\alpha]}|\mathbf{X}_{n+1}) &= F^{-1}(0.5\alpha|\mathbf{X}_{n+1}) + o_P(1) \\ \hat{F}^{-1}(U_{[1-0.5\alpha]}|\mathbf{X}_{n+1}) &= F^{-1}(1 - 0.5\alpha|\mathbf{X}_{n+1}) + o_P(1) \end{aligned}$$

Proof. In order to prove the first equality, it is enough to show that $F^{-1}(U_{[0.5\alpha]}|\mathbf{X}_{n+1}) = F^{-1}(0.5\alpha|\mathbf{X}_{n+1}) + o_P(1)$ and that $\hat{F}^{-1}(U_{[0.5\alpha]}|\mathbf{X}_{n+1}) = F^{-1}(U_{[0.5\alpha]}|\mathbf{X}_{n+1}) + o_P(1)$. The first part follows from Lemma 5.4 and the continuity of $F(y|\mathbf{x})$ (Assumption 2.4). For the second part, note that, if $\sup_y |\hat{F}(y|\mathbf{x}) - F(y|\mathbf{x})| < \eta_n$, then, for every α^* , $|\hat{F}^{-1}(\alpha^*) - F^{-1}(\alpha^*)| \leq \eta_n \left(\inf_y \frac{dF(y|\mathbf{x})}{dy} \right)^{-1}$. Using this observation, the proof of the second part follows from Assumption 2.4, and observing that $U_{[0.5\alpha]} = 0.5\alpha + o_P(1)$ (Lemma 5.4) and $\mathbb{P}(\sup_y |\hat{F}(y|\mathbf{x}) - F(y|\mathbf{x})| \geq \eta_n) = o(1)$ (Assumption 2.3).

The proof for the $1 - .5\alpha$ quantile is analogous to the one for the $.5\alpha$ quantile. □

Proof of Theorem 2.5. Follows directly from Lemma 5.5. □

Related to CD-split

Proof Theorem 3.3. Let $\{i_1, \dots, i_{n_j}\} = \{i : \mathbf{X}_i \in A(\mathbf{x}_{n+1})\}$, $U_l = \hat{f}(Y_l|\mathbf{X}_{i_l})$, for $l = 1, \dots, n_j$, and $U_{n_j+1} = \hat{f}(Y_{n+1}|\mathbf{X}_{n+1})$. Since $(\mathbf{X}_1, Y_1), \dots, (\mathbf{X}_{n_j}, Y_{n_j}), (\mathbf{X}_{n+1}, Y_{n+1})$ are i.i.d. random variables, obtain that U_i are i.i.d. random variables conditional on the event $\mathbf{X}_{n+1} \in A(\mathbf{x}_{n+1})$ and on i_1, \dots, i_{n_j} . Therefore,

$$1 - \alpha \leq \mathbb{P}(U_{m+1} \geq U_{[\alpha]}|\mathbf{X}_{n+1} \in A(\mathbf{x}_{n+1}), i_1, \dots, i_{n_j})$$

The conclusion follows from the fact that $Y_{n+1} \in C(\mathbf{X}_{n+1}) \iff U_{m+1} \geq U_{[1-\alpha]}$ and because this holds for every sequence i_1, \dots, i_{n_j} . □

Proof of Theorem 3.8. Let $U_i := f(Y_i|\mathbf{x}_i)$, $i = 1, \dots, m$, $U_{n+1} := f(Y_{n+1}|\mathbf{x}_{n+1})$, and $W := (\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_m, \mathbf{x}_{n+1})$. If $\mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{x}_i} = \mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{x}_{n+1}}$ for every $i = 1, \dots, m$, then U_1, \dots, U_m, U_{n+1} are i.i.d. conditional on W . Indeed, for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(U_i \geq t|W) &= \mathbb{P}(f(Y_i|\mathbf{x}_i) \geq t|\mathbf{x}_i) \\ &= \mathbb{P}(f(Y_{n+1}|\mathbf{x}_{n+1}) \geq t|\mathbf{x}_{n+1}) \\ &= \mathbb{P}(U_{n+1} \geq t|\mathbf{x}_{n+1}), \end{aligned}$$

where the next-to-last equality follows from the definition of the profile of the density.

For every $K \in \mathbb{R}$, let $Q(K) := \{i : f(Y_i|\mathbf{x}_i) \geq K\}$. Because U_i 's are conditionally independent and identically distributed, then $Q(K)|W \sim \text{Binomial}(m, \mathbb{P}(f(Y_1|\mathbf{x}_1) \geq K))$. It follows that $Q(K)/m \xrightarrow[m \rightarrow \infty]{a.s.} \mathbb{P}(f(Y_1|\mathbf{x}_1) \geq K)$. In

particular, $Q(t^*)/m \xrightarrow[a.s.]{m \rightarrow \infty} 1 - \alpha$. Now, by definition $Q(T_m)/m \xrightarrow[a.s.]{m \rightarrow \infty} 1 - \alpha$. Conclude that $T_m \xrightarrow[a.s.]{m \rightarrow \infty} t^*$.

□

Proof of Theorem 3.9. Item (i) was already shown as part of the proof of Theorem 3.8. To show (ii), assume that $t^*(\mathbf{x}_a, \alpha) = t^*(\mathbf{x}_b, \alpha)$ for every $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Now, notice that $t^*(\mathbf{x}_a, \alpha)$ is such that $g_{\mathbf{x}_a}(t^*(\mathbf{x}_a, \alpha)) = 1 - \alpha$. Conclude that $g_{\mathbf{x}_a}(t^*(\mathbf{x}_a, \alpha)) = g_{\mathbf{x}_b}(t^*(\mathbf{x}_b, \alpha))$ for every $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Now, because \hat{f} is continuous, $\{t^*(\mathbf{x}_a, \alpha) : \alpha \in (0, 1)\} = \text{Im}(\hat{f}(\cdot | \mathbf{x}_a))$. Thus, $g_{\mathbf{x}_a} = g_{\mathbf{x}_b}$, and therefore $\mathbf{x}_a \sim \mathbf{x}_b$. □