

A. Additional details about the original formulation of the Bayesian Online Change Point Detection (Adams & MacKay, 2007)

Notion of runlength. In order to deal with the non-stationary behavior of the environment, the notion of runlength has been introduced by (Adams & MacKay, 2007). It represents the overall number of time steps since the last change-point. We denote the length of the current run at time $t \geq 1$ by R_t . Since R_t is unknown, we can consider the runlength as a random variable taking values $r_t \in \mathcal{R}_t = [0, t - 1]$. Thereby, let $p(r_t | \mathbf{x}_{1:t}) = \mathbb{P}\{R_t = r_t | \mathbf{X}_{1:t} = \mathbf{x}_{1:t}\}$ denotes the distribution of R_t given the sequence of observations $\mathbf{x}_{1:t}$. ($p(r_t | \mathbf{x}_{1:t})$ is a short hand notation).

Computation of $p(r_t | \mathbf{x}_{1:t})$ based on a message passing algorithm. (Adams & MacKay, 2007) have proposed an online recursive runlength estimation in order to calculate the runlength distribution $p(r_t | \mathbf{x}_{1:t})$. More specifically to find:

$$\mathbb{P}\{R_t = r_t | \mathbf{X}_{1:t} = \mathbf{x}_{1:t}\} = \frac{\mathbb{P}\{R_t = r_t, \mathbf{X}_{1:t} = \mathbf{x}_{1:t}\}}{\mathbb{P}\{\mathbf{X}_{1:t} = \mathbf{x}_{1:t}\}}. \quad (11)$$

We seek the joint distribution over the past estimated runlengths R_{t-1} as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}\{R_t = r_t, \mathbf{X}_{1:t} = \mathbf{x}_{1:t}\} \stackrel{(a)}{=} \sum_{r_{t-1} \in \mathcal{R}_{t-1}} \mathbb{P}\{R_t = r_t, \mathbf{X}_{1:t} = \mathbf{x}_{1:t}, R_{t-1} = r_{t-1}\} \\ & \stackrel{(b)}{=} \sum_{r_{t-1} \in \mathcal{R}_{t-1}} \mathbb{P}\{R_t = r_t, X_t = x_t | R_{t-1} = r_{t-1}, \mathbf{X}_{1:t-1} = \mathbf{x}_{1:t-1}\} \mathbb{P}\{R_{t-1} = r_{t-1}, \mathbf{X}_{1:t-1} = \mathbf{x}_{1:t-1}\} \\ & \stackrel{(c)}{=} \sum_{r_{t-1} \in \mathcal{R}_{t-1}} \mathbb{P}\{X_t = x_t | R_t = r_t, R_{t-1} = r_{t-1}, \mathbf{X}_{1:t-1} = \mathbf{x}_{1:t-1}\} \mathbb{P}\{R_t = r_t | R_{t-1} = r_{t-1}, \mathbf{X}_{1:t-1} = \mathbf{x}_{1:t-1}\} \\ & \quad \times \mathbb{P}\{R_{t-1} = r_{t-1}, \mathbf{X}_{1:t-1} = \mathbf{x}_{1:t-1}\} \\ & \stackrel{(d)}{=} \sum_{r_{t-1} \in \mathcal{R}_{t-1}} \underbrace{\mathbb{P}\{R_t = r_t | R_{t-1} = r_{t-1}\}}_{p(r_t | r_{t-1})} \underbrace{\mathbb{P}\{X_t = x_t | R_{t-1} = r_{t-1}, \mathbf{X}_{1:t-1} = \mathbf{x}_{1:t-1}\}}_{p(x_t | r_{t-1}, \mathbf{x}_{1:t-1})} \mathbb{P}\{R_{t-1} = r_{t-1}, \mathbf{X}_{1:t-1} = \mathbf{x}_{1:t-1}\}. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

where (a) holds true using a marginalization, (b) and (c) hold true using two chain rules, (d) holds true thanks to the fact that R_t do not depend on $\mathbf{X}_{1:t-1}$ and X_t do not depend on R_t .

Thus, combining Equation (11) and Equation (12) we get (using the short-hand notations):

$$p(r_t | \mathbf{x}_{1:t}) \propto \sum_{r_{t-1} \in \mathcal{R}_{t-1}} p(r_t | r_{t-1}) p(x_t | r_{t-1}, \mathbf{x}_{1:t-1}) p(r_{t-1} | \mathbf{x}_{1:t-1}). \quad (13)$$

So, given the previous runlength distribution $p(r_{t-1} | \mathbf{x}_{1:t-1})$, one can thus build a *message-passing algorithm* for the current run-length distribution $p(r_t | \mathbf{x}_{1:t})$ by calculating:

1. the underlying predictive model (UPM) $p(x_t | r_{t-1}, \mathbf{x}_{1:t-1})$,
2. the hazard function $p(r_t | r_{t-1})$.

It should be noted that at each time t , the runlength R_t either continues to grow (which corresponds to the event $\{R_t = R_{t-1} + 1\}$) or a change occurs which corresponds to $\{R_t = 0\}$. Thus, from equation (13), we get the following recursive runlength distribution estimation:

- Growth probability:

$$p(r_t = r_{t-1} + 1 | \mathbf{x}_{1:t}) \propto p(r_t | r_{t-1}) p(x_t | r_{t-1}, \mathbf{x}_{1:t-1}) p(r_{t-1} | \mathbf{x}_{1:t-1}). \quad (14)$$

- Change-point probability:

$$p(r_t = 0 | \mathbf{x}_{1:t}) \propto \sum_{r_{t-1} \in \mathcal{R}_{t-1}} p(r_t | r_{t-1}) p(x_t | r_{t-1}, \mathbf{x}_{1:t-1}) p(r_{t-1} | \mathbf{x}_{1:t-1}). \quad (15)$$

Hazard function. According to Equation (14) and Equation (15), the runlength distribution estimation need to compute the change-point prior $\mathbb{P}(R_t | R_{t-1})$, which is done following the assumption that hazard function is a constant $h \in (0, 1)$ in the sense that $\mathbb{P}(R_t | R_{t-1})$ is independent of r_{t-1} and is constant, giving rise, a priori, to geometric inter-arrival times for change points.

$$\mathbb{P}\{R_t | R_{t-1}\} = h \mathbb{I}\{R_t = 0\} + (1 - h) \mathbb{I}\{R_t = R_{t-1} + 1\}. \quad (16)$$

Then, injecting Equation (16) into Equation (14) and Equation (15) we get:

$$p(r_t = r_{t-1} + 1 | \mathbf{x}_{1:t}) \propto (1 - h) p(x_t | r_{t-1}, \mathbf{x}_{1:t-1}) \times p(r_{t-1} | \mathbf{x}_{1:t-1}), \quad (17)$$

$$p(r_t = 0 | \mathbf{x}_{1:t}) \propto h \sum_{r_{t-1} \in \mathcal{R}_{t-1}} p(x_t | r_{t-1}, \mathbf{x}_{1:t-1}) \times p(r_{t-1} | \mathbf{x}_{1:t-1}). \quad (18)$$

B. Proofs of Lemmas

Notation 2 (Useful short-hand notations). *In the following, for some element $x \in [0, 1]$, we denote by \bar{x} its complementary such that: $\bar{x} = 1 - x$. Then, we denote by $\Sigma_{s:t}$, and $\bar{\Sigma}_{s:t}$ the two following cumulative sums:*

$$\Sigma_{s:t} = \sum_{s=s}^t x_s \text{ and } \bar{\Sigma}_{s:t} = \sum_{s=s}^t \bar{x}_s.$$

Proof of Lemma 1:

You only need to see that:

$$\begin{aligned} V_t &= \sum_{s=1}^t v_{s,t} \\ &= \sum_{s=1}^{t-1} v_{s,t} + v_{t,t} \\ &= (1 - h) \sum_{s=1}^{t-1} \exp(-l_{s,t}) v_{s,t-1} + h \sum_{s=1}^{t-1} \exp(-l_{s,t}) v_{s,t-1} \\ &= \sum_{s=1}^{t-1} \exp(-l_{s,t}) v_{s,t-1}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of Lemma 2:

First, for all $t \geq 2$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
V_t &= \sum_{i=1}^t v_{i,t} \\
V_t &= v_{1,t} + \sum_{i=2}^{t-1} v_{i,t} + v_{t,t} \\
V_t &= (1-h)^{t-1} \exp(-\widehat{L}_{1:t}) V_1 + \sum_{i=2}^{t-1} (1-h)^{t-i} \exp(-\widehat{L}_{i:t}) h V_i + h V_t. \\
\Leftrightarrow V_t &= \sum_{i=1}^t \underbrace{(1-h)^{t-i} \exp(-\widehat{L}_{i:t}) h^{1(i \neq 1)}}_{\alpha_{t,i}} V_i \text{ with convention: } L_{i,j} = 0 \Leftrightarrow i > j. \\
\Leftrightarrow V_t &= \sum_{i=1}^t \alpha_{t,i} V_i. \\
\Leftrightarrow (1 - \alpha_{t,t}) V_t &= \sum_{i=1}^{t-1} \alpha_{t,i} V_i.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, by letting:

$$\beta_{t,i} = \frac{\alpha_{t,i}}{1-h},$$

we obtain the following expression of V_t (using the classical induction procedure and using $V_1 = 1$):

$$\begin{aligned}
\forall t \geq 4, \\
V_t &= \left(\beta_{t,1} + \sum_{i_1=1}^{t-2} \beta_{t,t-i_1} \beta_{t-i_1,1} + \sum_{k=3}^{t-1} \sum_{i_1=1}^{t-k} \sum_{i_2=i_1+1}^{t-(k-1)} \cdots \sum_{i_{k-1}=i_{k-2}+1}^{t-2} \beta_{t,t-i_1} \beta_{t-i_1,t-i_2} \cdots \beta_{t-i_{k-1},1} \right) V_1 \\
&= \beta_{t,1} + \sum_{i_1=1}^{t-2} \beta_{t,t-i_1} \beta_{t-i_1,1} + \sum_{k=3}^{t-1} \sum_{i_1=1}^{t-k} \sum_{i_2=i_1+1}^{t-(k-1)} \cdots \sum_{i_{k-1}=i_{k-2}+1}^{t-2} \beta_{t,t-i_1} \beta_{t-i_1,t-i_2} \cdots \beta_{t-i_{k-1},1}. \\
V_3 &= \beta_{3,1} + \beta_{3,2} \beta_{2,1}. \\
V_2 &= \beta_{2,1}.
\end{aligned}$$

which can be concatenated in the following form:

$$\begin{aligned}
V_t &= (1-h)^{t-2} \sum_{k=1}^{t-1} \left(\frac{h}{1-h} \right)^{k-1} \tilde{V}_{k:t}, \quad \text{where:} \\
\tilde{V}_{k:t} &= \overbrace{\sum_{i_1=1}^{t-k} \sum_{i_2=i_1+1}^{t-(k-1)} \cdots \sum_{i_{k-1}=i_{k-2}+1}^{t-2}}^{(t-2)} \exp(-\widehat{L}_{1:i_1}) \times \prod_{j=1}^{k-2} \exp(-\widehat{L}_{i_j+1:i_{j+1}}) \exp(-\widehat{L}_{i_{k-1}+1:t-1}), \\
\text{and } (1-h)^{t-2} \sum_{k=1}^{t-1} \left(\frac{h}{1-h} \right)^{k-1} \binom{t-2}{k-1} &= 1.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of Lemma 3:

First, notice that the cumulative loss $\widehat{L}_{s:t}$ can be written as follows:

$$\widehat{L}_{s,t} = -\log \prod_{s'=s}^t \text{Lp}(x_{s'} | \mathbf{x}_{s':s-1})$$

Then, we only need to show by induction that:

$$\forall \mathbf{x}_{1:n} \in \{0, 1\}^n \quad \prod_{s=1}^n \text{Lp}(x_s | \mathbf{x}_{1:s-1}) = \frac{1}{(n+1) \binom{n}{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}}.$$

Step 1: For $n = 1$, we have to deal with two cases, $x_1 = 1$ and $x_1 = 0$. Using the definition of the predictor $\text{Lp}(\cdot | \cdot)$, we obtain:

$$\begin{cases} \text{Lp}(1 | \emptyset) = 1/2 = \frac{1}{(1+1) \binom{1}{1}}, \\ \text{Lp}(0 | \emptyset) = 1/2 = \frac{1}{(1+1) \binom{1}{0}}. \end{cases}$$

Step 2: Assume that for some $\mathbf{x}_{1:n} \in \{0, 1\}^n$, we have:

$$\prod_{s=1}^n \text{Lp}(x_s | \mathbf{x}_{1:s-1}) = \frac{1}{(n+1) \binom{n}{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}}. \quad (19)$$

Then, let us verify that:

$$\forall \mathbf{x}_{n+1} \in \{0, 1\} \quad \prod_{s=1}^{n+1} \text{Lp}(x_s | \mathbf{x}_{1:s-1}) = \frac{1}{(n+2) \binom{n+1}{\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} x_i}}.$$

To this end, we need to deal with two cases, depending on the values taken by x_{n+1} .

Case 1: $x_{n+1} = 1$ Observe that:

$$\prod_{s=1}^{n+1} \text{Lp}(x_s | \mathbf{x}_{1:s-1}) = \prod_{s=1}^n \text{Lp}(x_s | \mathbf{x}_{1:s-1}) \text{Lp}(1 | \mathbf{x}_{1:n}).$$

Using the definition of the predictor and the assumption (19), we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{s=1}^{n+1} \text{Lp}(x_s | \mathbf{x}_{1:s-1}) &= \frac{1}{(n+1) \binom{n}{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}} \times \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i + 1}{n+2} \\ &\stackrel{(a)}{=} \frac{(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i + 1) \times (\sum_{i=1}^n x_i)! \times (\sum_{i=1}^n \bar{x}_i)!}{(n+2)(n+1)n!} \\ &= \frac{(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i + 1)! \times (\sum_{i=1}^n \bar{x}_i + 0)!}{(n+2)(n+1)!} \\ &= \frac{(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} x_i)! \times (\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \bar{x}_i)!}{(n+2)(n+1)!} \\ &= \frac{1}{(n+2) \binom{n+1}{\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} x_i}}. \end{aligned}$$

where (a) holds using the definition of the Binomial operator.

Case 2: $x_{n+1} = 0$ Observe that:

$$\prod_{s=1}^{n+1} \text{Lp}(x_s | \mathbf{x}_{1:s-1}) = \prod_{s=1}^n \text{Lp}(x_s | \mathbf{x}_{1:s-1}) \text{Lp}(0 | \mathbf{x}_{1:n}).$$

Using the definition of the predictor and the assumption (19), we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{s=1}^{n+1} \text{Lp}(x_s | \mathbf{x}_{1:s-1}) &= \frac{1}{(n+1) \binom{n}{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}} \times \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \bar{x}_i + 1}{n+2} \\ &\stackrel{(b)}{=} \frac{(\sum_{i=1}^n \bar{x}_i + 1) \times (\sum_{i=1}^n x_i)! \times (\sum_{i=1}^n \bar{x}_i)!}{(n+2)(n+1)n!} \\ &= \frac{(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i + 0)! \times (\sum_{i=1}^n \bar{x}_i + 1)!}{(n+2)(n+1)!} \\ &= \frac{(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} x_i)! \times (\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \bar{x}_i)!}{(n+2)(n+1)!} \\ &= \frac{1}{(n+2) \binom{n+1}{\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} x_i}}. \end{aligned}$$

where (b) holds using the definition of the Binomial operator. □

Proof of Lemma 4:

The proof follows three main steps:

Step 1: Controlling the binomial $\binom{n}{k}$ Using the Stirling formula:

$$\forall n \geq 1 \quad \sqrt{2\pi n} \left(\frac{n}{e}\right)^n \leq n! \leq \sqrt{2\pi n} \left(\frac{n}{e}\right)^n \exp\left(\frac{1}{12}\right),$$

the control of the binomial $\binom{n}{k}$ takes the following form:

$$\forall n \geq 1, \forall k \in [0, n] \quad \frac{n^n}{k^k (n-k)^{n-k}} \frac{\exp(b_1)}{\sqrt{n}} \leq \binom{n}{k} \leq \frac{n^n}{k^k (n-k)^{n-k}} \text{ with } b_1 = -\frac{1}{6} - \frac{1}{2} \log(2\pi). \quad (20)$$

Step 2: First bounds for the cumulative loss $\widehat{L}_{s:t}$ Following Lemma 3, we can rewrite the cumulative loss $\widehat{L}_{s:t}$ as follows:

$$\widehat{L}_{s:t} = \log(n_{s:t} + 1) + \log\left(\frac{n_{s:t}}{\Sigma_{s:t}}\right).$$

Then by letting $\Phi(x) = x \log x$ and by following Equation (20), we obtain the following two bounds:

$$\begin{cases} \widehat{L}_{s:t} \leq \log(n_{s:t} + 1) + \Phi(n_{s:t}) - \Phi(\Sigma_{s:t}) - \Phi(\bar{\Sigma}_{s:t}), \\ \widehat{L}_{s:t} \geq \log(n_{s:t} + 1) + \Phi(n_{s:t}) - \Phi(\Sigma_{s:t}) - \Phi(\bar{\Sigma}_{s:t}) - \frac{9}{8} - \frac{1}{2} \log n_{s:t}. \end{cases} \quad (21)$$

Step 3: Controlling the cumulative loss First, notice that:

$$\Sigma_{s:t} \log \Sigma_{s:t} + \bar{\Sigma}_{s:t} \log \bar{\Sigma}_{s:t} = \Sigma_{s:t} \log \theta + \bar{\Sigma}_{s:t} \log \bar{\theta} + n_{s:t} \log n_{s:t} + n_{s:t} \mathbf{kl}\left(\frac{\Sigma_{s:t}}{n_{s:t}}, \theta\right). \quad (22)$$

Then, using Equations (21) with Equation (22), we obtain:

for the upper bound of the loss $\widehat{L}_{s:t}$

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{L}_{s:t} &\leq \log(n_{s:t} + 1) - \Sigma_{s:t} \log \frac{\Sigma_{s:t}}{n_{s:t}} - \bar{\Sigma}_{s:t} \log \frac{\bar{\Sigma}_{s:t}}{n_{s:t}} \\
&\leq \log(n_{s:t} + 1) - \Sigma_{s:t} \log \Sigma_{s:t} - \bar{\Sigma}_{s:t} \log \bar{\Sigma}_{s:t} + n_{s:t} \log n_{s:t} \\
&\stackrel{(a)}{\leq} \log(n_{s:t} + 1) - \Sigma_{s:t} \log \theta - \bar{\Sigma}_{s:t} \log \bar{\theta} - n_{s:t} \mathbf{kl} \left(\frac{\Sigma_{s:t}}{n_{s:t}}, \theta \right) \\
&\stackrel{(b)}{\leq} \log(n_{s:t} + 1) - \Sigma_{s:t} \log \theta - \bar{\Sigma}_{s:t} \log \bar{\theta},
\end{aligned}$$

where (a) holds by using Equation (22) and (b) holds using the positiveness of the Kullback Leibler divergence ($\mathbf{kl}(\bullet, \bullet) \geq 0$),

for the lower bound of the loss $\widehat{L}_{s:t}$

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{L}_{s:t} &\geq \log(n_{s:t} + 1) - \frac{1}{2} \log n_{s:t} - \Sigma_{s:t} \log \theta - \bar{\Sigma}_{s:t} \log \bar{\theta} - n_{s:t} \mathbf{kl} \left(\frac{\Sigma_{s:t}}{n_{s:t}}, \theta \right) + b_1. \\
&\geq \log(n_{s:t} + 1) - \frac{1}{2} \log n_{s:t} - \Sigma_{s:t} \log \theta - \bar{\Sigma}_{s:t} \log \bar{\theta} - n_{s:t} \mathbf{kl}(\widehat{\mu}_{s:t}, \theta) - \frac{9}{8}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of Lemma 5 and Lemma 6:

The interested reader can refer for more details on the proofs of Lemma 5 and Lemma 6 to the manuscript entitled "Mathematics of Statistical Sequential Decision Making" <https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/9099/c0f71185adce7705beb78d595abc817c33d6.pdf> □

Proof of Lemma 7:

Step 1 Without a loss of generality, we consider that $r = 1$ and we consider that the sequence $(x_t)_t$ has σ -sub Gaussian noise meaning that:

$$\forall t, \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \log \mathbb{E}[\exp(\lambda(x_t - \mathbb{E}[x_t]))] \leq \frac{\lambda^2 \sigma^2}{2} \tag{23}$$

Note that the Bernoulli case is a σ sub-Gaussianity case where $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$. Indeed:

$$\forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \log \mathbb{E}_{X \sim B(p)} \exp(\lambda(X - p)) \leq \frac{\lambda^2}{8}$$

Let $\bar{z}_{s+1:t} = \widehat{\mu}_{s+1:t} - \mathbb{E}[\widehat{\mu}_{s+1:t}]$ be the centered empirical mean using observations from $s + 1$ to t . We first introduce for each $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and each $s \leq t$ the following quantity:

$$B_{s,t}^\lambda = \exp \left(\lambda(t-s) \bar{z}_{s+1:t} - \frac{\lambda^2 \sigma^2 (t-s)}{2} \right)$$

Note that $(B_{s,t}^\lambda)_{t \in [s,\infty] \cap \mathbb{N}}$ is a non-negative supermartingale. Let us introduce $B_{s,t} = \mathbb{E}[B_{s,t}^\lambda]$, where $\Lambda \sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, \frac{1}{\sigma^2(t-s)c}\right)$, for some $c > 0$. We note that by simple algebra,

$$|\bar{z}_{s+1:t}| = \sqrt{\frac{2\sigma^2(1+c)}{t-s} \ln\left(B_{s,t}\sqrt{1+1/c}\right)}$$

In particular, choosing $c = 1/(t-s)$, it comes for all deterministic $g(t) > 0$, that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}\left(\exists t, \exists s < t, |\bar{z}_{s+1:t}| \geq \sqrt{\frac{2\sigma^2\left(\frac{t-s+1}{t-s}\right)}{t-s} \ln\left(\frac{g(t)\sqrt{1+t-s}}{\delta}\right)}\right) &= \mathbb{P}\left\{\exists t, \exists s < t, B_{s,t} \geq \frac{g(t)}{\delta}\right\} \\ &\leq \mathbb{P}\left(\exists t, \max_{s < t} B_{s,t} \geq \frac{g(t)}{\delta}\right) \\ &\leq \delta \mathbb{E}\left[\max_t \frac{\max_{s < t} B_{s,t}}{g(t)}\right] \end{aligned}$$

Step 2 This leads to study the quantity $\frac{\max_{s < t} B_{s,t}}{g(t)}$. To this end, it is convenient to introduce $\bar{B}_t = \frac{\sum_{s < t} B_{s,t}}{g(t)}$ for $t > 1$. Indeed, for every random stopping time $\tau > 1$,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{\max_{s < \tau} B_{s,\tau}}{g(\tau)}\right] \leq \mathbb{E}[\bar{B}_\tau] = \mathbb{E}\left[\bar{B}_2 + \sum_{t=2}^{\infty} (\bar{B}_{t+1} - \bar{B}_t) \mathbb{I}\{\tau > t\}\right]$$

Further, we note that, conveniently

$$\bar{B}_{t+1} - \bar{B}_t = \frac{B_{t,t+1}}{g(t+1)} + \sum_{s < t} \left(\frac{B_{s,t+1}}{g(t+1)} - \frac{B_{s,t}}{g(t)}\right)$$

Next, by construction, we note that

$$\mathbb{E}[B_{s,t+1} | \mathcal{F}_t] = \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E}[B_{s,t+1}^\lambda | \mathcal{F}_t] e^{-\frac{\lambda^2 \alpha^2}{2}} d\lambda \leq \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} B_{s,t}^\lambda e^{-\frac{\lambda^2 \alpha^2}{2}} d\lambda = B_{s,t}$$

Thus, since $\mathbb{I}\{\tau > t\} \in \mathcal{F}_t$, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{\max_{s < \tau} B_{s,\tau}}{g(\tau)}\right] &\leq \mathbb{E}[\bar{B}_2] + \sum_{t=2}^{\infty} \frac{\mathbb{E}[B_{t,t+1}]}{g(t+1)} + \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \sum_{s < t} \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\frac{1}{g(t+1)} - \frac{1}{g(t)}\right) B_{s,t} \mathbb{I}\{\tau > t\}\right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[\bar{B}_2] + \sum_{t=2}^{\infty} \frac{\mathbb{E}[B_{t,t+1}]}{g(t+1)} + \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \sum_{s < t} \left(\frac{1}{g(t+1)} - \frac{1}{g(t)}\right) \underbrace{\mathbb{E}[B_{s,t} \mathbb{I}\{\tau > t\}]}_{\geq 0} \end{aligned}$$

Hence, choosing g as an increasing function of t ensures that the last sum is upper bounded by 0. since on the other hand $\mathbb{E}[B_{t,t+1}] \leq 1$ and $\mathbb{E}[\bar{B}_2] \leq 1/g(2)$, we deduce that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{\max_{s < \tau} B_{s,\tau}}{g(\tau)}\right] \leq \frac{1}{g(2)} + \sum_{t=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{g(t+1)} = \sum_{t=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{g(t)}$$

Choosing $g(t) = Ct \ln^2(t)$ for $t > 1$ yields

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{\max_{s < \tau} B_{s,\tau}}{g(\tau)}\right] \leq \frac{1}{C \ln(2)}$$

Plugging-in this in the control of the deviation and choosing $C = 1/\ln(2)$ thus gives

$$P \left(\exists t, \exists s < t \quad |\bar{z}_{s+1:t}| \geq \sqrt{\frac{2\sigma^2 \left(1 + \frac{1}{t-s}\right)}{t-s} \ln \left(\frac{t \ln^2(t) \sqrt{t+1-s}}{\ln(2)\delta} \right)} \right) \leq \delta$$

since on the other hand, by the classical Laplace method (see Lemma 8),

$$\mathbb{P} \left(\exists s, \quad |\bar{z}_{1:s}| \geq \sqrt{\frac{2\sigma^2 \left(1 + \frac{1}{s}\right)}{s} \ln \left(\frac{\sqrt{s+1}}{\delta} \right)} \right) \leq \delta$$

we conclude by using the triangular inequality $\sqrt{z_{1:s}} - \bar{z}_{s+1:t} \leq |z_{1:s}| + |\bar{z}_{s+1:t}|$ together with a union bound argument. \square

Lemma 8 (Uniform confidence intervals). *Let Y_1, \dots, Y_t be a sequence of t i.i.d. real-valued random variables with mean μ , such that $Y_t - \mu$ is σ -sub-Gaussian. Let $\hat{\mu}_t = \frac{1}{t} \sum_{s=1}^t Y_s$ be the empirical mean estimate. Then, for all $\delta \in (0, 1)$, it holds*

$$\mathbb{P} \left(\exists t \in \mathbb{N}, \quad |\hat{\mu}_t - \mu| \geq \sigma \sqrt{\left(1 + \frac{1}{t}\right) \frac{2 \ln(\sqrt{t+1}/\delta)}{t}} \right) \leq \delta$$

(The "Laplace" method refers to using the Laplace method of integration for optimization)

Proof of Lemma 8:

We introduce for a fixed $\delta \in [0, 1]$ the random variable

$$\tau = \min \left\{ t \in \mathbb{N} : \hat{\mu}_t - \mu \geq \sigma \sqrt{\left(1 + \frac{1}{t}\right) \frac{2 \ln(\sqrt{1+t}/\delta)}{t}} \right\}$$

This quantity is a random stopping time for the filtration $\mathcal{F} = (\mathcal{F}_t)_t$, where $\mathcal{F}_t = \sigma(Y_1, \dots, Y_t)$, since $\{\tau \leq m\}$ is \mathcal{F}_m -measurable for all m . We want to show that $\mathbb{P}(\tau < \infty) \leq \delta$. To this end, for any λ , and t , we introduce the following quantity

$$M_t^\lambda = \exp \left(\sum_{s=1}^t \left(\lambda (Y_s - \mu) - \frac{\lambda^2 \sigma^2}{2} \right) \right)$$

By assumption, the centered random variables are σ -sub-Gaussian and it is immediate to show that $\{M_t^\lambda\}_{t \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a non-negative super-martingale that satisfies $\ln \mathbb{E}[M_t^\lambda] \leq 0$ for all t . It then follows that $M_\infty^\lambda = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} M_t^\lambda$ is almost surely well-defined and so, M_τ^λ as well. Further, using the fact that M_t^λ and $\{\tau > t\}$ are \mathcal{F}_t measurable, it comes

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[M_\tau^\lambda] &= \mathbb{E}[M_1^\lambda] + \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{t=1}^{\tau-1} M_{t+1}^\lambda - M_t^\lambda \right] \\ &= 1 + \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E} \left[(M_{t+1}^\lambda - M_t^\lambda) \mathbb{I}\{\tau > t\} \right] \\ &= 1 + \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E} \left[(\mathbb{E}[M_{t+1}^\lambda | \mathcal{F}_t] - M_t^\lambda) \mathbb{I}\{\tau > t\} \right] \\ &\leq 1 \end{aligned}$$

The next step is to introduce the auxiliary variable $\Lambda = \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^{-2})$, independent of all other variables, and study the quantity $M_t = \mathbb{E}[M_t^\wedge | \mathcal{F}_\infty]$. Note that the standard deviation of Λ is σ^{-1} due to the fact we consider σ -sub-Gaussian random variables. We immediately get $\mathbb{E}[M_\tau] = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[M_\tau^\wedge | \Lambda]] \leq 1$. For convenience, let $S_t = t(\mu_t - \mu)$. By construction of M_t , we have

$$\begin{aligned} M_t &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^{-2}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp\left(\lambda S_t - \frac{\lambda^2 \sigma^2 t}{2} - \frac{\lambda^2 \sigma^2}{2}\right) d\lambda \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^{-2}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp\left(-\left[\lambda\sigma\sqrt{\frac{t+1}{2}} - \frac{S_t}{\sigma\sqrt{2(t+1)}}\right]^2 + \frac{S_t^2}{2\sigma^2(t+1)}\right) d\lambda \\ &= \exp\left(\frac{S_t^2}{2\sigma^2(t+1)}\right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^{-2}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp\left(-\lambda^2 \sigma^2 \frac{t+1}{2}\right) d\lambda \\ &= \exp\left(\frac{S_t^2}{2\sigma^2(t+1)}\right) \frac{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^{-2}/(t+1)}}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^{-2}}} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we deduce that

$$|S_t| = \sigma \sqrt{2(t+1) \ln(\sqrt{t+1} M_t)}$$

We conclude by applying a simple Markov inequality:

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\tau |\hat{\mu}_\tau - \mu| \geq \sigma \sqrt{2(\tau+1) \ln(\sqrt{\tau+1}/\delta)}\right) = \mathbb{P}(M_\tau \geq 1/\delta) \leq \mathbb{E}[M_\tau] \delta$$

□

C. Proofs of Theorems

Proof of Theorem 2:

Assume that: $\forall t \in [r, \tau_c] \ x_{r:t} \sim \mathcal{B}(\theta)$. The proof follows four main steps:

Step 1: Rewriting Lemma 5 and Lemma 6

- Let: $\hat{\mu}_t$ denotes the empirical mean over the sequence $x_1, \dots, x_t \sim \mathcal{B}(\theta)$, then:

$$\forall \delta \in (0, 1), \forall \alpha > 1 \quad \mathbb{P}_\theta \left\{ \underbrace{\forall t \in \mathbb{N}^* : \mathbf{kl}(\hat{\mu}_t, \theta) < \frac{\alpha}{t} \log \frac{\log(\alpha t) \log(t)}{\log^2(\alpha) \delta}}_{E_{\theta, \delta, \alpha}^{(1)}} \right\} \geq 1 - \delta \quad (24)$$

- Let: $\hat{\mu}_{s:t}$ denotes the empirical mean over the sequence $x_s, \dots, x_t \sim \mathcal{B}(\theta)$, then:

$$\forall \delta \in (0, 1), \forall \alpha > 1 \quad \mathbb{P}_\theta \left\{ \underbrace{\forall t \in \mathbb{N}^*, \forall s \in (r, t] : \mathbf{kl}(\hat{\mu}_{s:t}, \theta) < \frac{\alpha}{n_{s:t}} \log \frac{n_{r:t} \log^2(n_{r:t}) \log(\alpha n_{s:t}) \log(n_{s:t})}{\log(2) \log^2(\alpha) \delta}}_{E_{\theta, \delta, \alpha}^{(2)}} \right\} \geq 1 - \delta \quad (25)$$

Let us build a suitable value of $\eta_{r,s,t}$ in order to ensure the control of the false alarm on the period $[r, \tau_c]$. To this end, let us control the event: $\{\exists t > r, \mathbf{Restart}_{r:t} = 1\}$ which is equivalent to the event $\{\exists t > r, s \in (r, t] : \vartheta_{r,s,t} \geq \vartheta_{r,r,t}\}$.

Step 2: Equivalent events. First, notice that:

$$\begin{aligned} \{\exists t > r, s \in (r, t] : \vartheta_{r,s,t} \geq \vartheta_{r,r,t}\} &\Leftrightarrow \{\exists t > r, s \in (r, t] : \log \vartheta_{r,s,t} \geq \log \vartheta_{r,r,t}\} \\ &\stackrel{(a)}{\Leftrightarrow} \{\exists t > r, s \in (r, t] : -\log \eta_{r,s,t} \leq \widehat{L}_{r:t} - \widehat{L}_{s:t} - \widehat{L}_{r:s-1}\}, \end{aligned}$$

where (a) comes directly from Equation (10).

Step 3: Using the cumulative loss controls. Then, note that $\forall \delta \in (0, 1), \forall \alpha > 1$ we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}_\theta \left\{ \exists t > r, s \in (r, t] : \vartheta_{r,s,t} \geq \vartheta_{r,r,t} \right\} &= \mathbb{P} \left\{ \exists t > r, s \in (r, t] : \log \vartheta_{r,s,t} \geq \log \vartheta_{r,r,t} \right\} \\ &= \mathbb{P}_\theta \left\{ \exists t > r, s \in (r, t] : -\log \eta_{r,s,t} \leq \widehat{L}_{r:t} - \widehat{L}_{r:s-1} - \widehat{L}_{s:t} \right\} \\ &\stackrel{(b)}{\leq} \mathbb{P}_\theta \left\{ \exists t > r, s \in (r, t] : -\log \eta_{r,s,t} \leq \log \frac{\sqrt{n_{r:s-1} \times n_{s:t}} \times (n_{r:t} + 1)}{(n_{r:s-1} + 1) \times (n_{s:t} + 1)} + n_{r:s-1} \mathbf{kl}(\widehat{\mu}_{r:s-1}, \theta) + n_{s:t} \mathbf{kl}(\widehat{\mu}_{s:t}, \theta) + \frac{9}{4} \right\} \\ &\stackrel{(c)}{\leq} \mathbb{P}_\theta \left\{ \exists t > r, s \in (r, t] : -\log \eta_{r,s,t} \leq \log \frac{n_{r:t} + 1}{\sqrt{n_{r:s-1} \times n_{s:t}}} + n_{r:s-1} \mathbf{kl}(\widehat{\mu}_{r:s-1}, \theta) + n_{s:t} \mathbf{kl}(\widehat{\mu}_{s:t}, \theta) + \frac{9}{4} \right\} \\ &\leq \frac{\delta}{2} + \mathbb{P}_\theta \left\{ \exists t > r, s \in (r, t] : -\log \eta_{r,s,t} \leq \log \frac{n_{r:t} + 1}{\sqrt{n_{r:s-1} \times n_{s:t}}} + n_{r:s-1} \mathbf{kl}(\widehat{\mu}_{r:s-1}, \theta) + n_{s:t} \mathbf{kl}(\widehat{\mu}_{s:t}, \theta) + \frac{9}{4} \cap E_{\theta, \delta/2, \alpha}^{(1)} \right\} \\ &\stackrel{(d)}{\leq} \frac{\delta}{2} + \mathbb{P}_\theta \left\{ \exists t > r, s \in (r, t] : \log \frac{1}{\eta_{r,s,t}} \leq \log \frac{n_{r:t} + 1}{\sqrt{n_{r:s-1} \times n_{s:t}}} + \alpha \log \frac{2 \log(\alpha n_{r:s-1}) \log(n_{r:s-1})}{\log^2(\alpha) \delta} + n_{s:t} \mathbf{kl}(\widehat{\mu}_{s:t}, \theta) + \frac{9}{4} \right\} \\ &\leq \delta + \mathbb{P}_\theta \left\{ \exists t > r, s \in (r, t] : \log \frac{1}{\eta_{r,s,t}} \leq \log \frac{n_{r:t} + 1}{\sqrt{n_{r:s-1} n_{s:t}}} + \alpha \log \frac{2 \log(\alpha n_{r:s-1}) \log n_{r:s-1}}{\log^2(\alpha) \delta} + n_{s:t} \mathbf{kl}(\widehat{\mu}_{s:t}, \theta) + \frac{9}{4} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \cap E_{\theta, \delta/2, \alpha}^{(2)} \right\} \\ &\stackrel{(e)}{\leq} \delta + \mathbb{P}_\theta \left\{ \exists t > r, s \in (r, t] : -\log \eta_{r,s,t} \leq \log \frac{n_{r:t} + 1}{\sqrt{n_{r:s-1} \times n_{s:t}}} + \frac{9}{4} + \alpha \log \frac{2 \log(\alpha n_{r:s-1}) \log(n_{r:s-1})}{\log^2(\alpha) \delta} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \alpha \log \frac{2 n_{r:t} \log^2(n_{r:t}) \log(\alpha n_{s:t}) \log(n_{s:t})}{\log(2) \log^2(\alpha) \delta} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

(b) holds by using Lemma 4, (c) holds thanks to $(n_{r:s-1} + 1) \times (n_{s:t} + 1) > n_{r:s-1} \times n_{s:t}$, (d) holds true thanks to Equation 24 and (e) holds true thanks to Equation 25.

Step 4: Building the sufficient condition on $\eta_{r,s,t}$ Thus, by using $\exp(-\frac{9}{4}) > \frac{1}{10}$, we get the following condition on $\eta_{r,s,t}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_{r,s,t} &< \frac{\sqrt{n_{r:s-1} \times n_{s:t}}}{10(n_{r:t} + 1)} \times \left(\frac{\log^2(\alpha) \delta}{2 \log(\alpha n_{r:s-1}) \log(n_{r:s-1})} \times \frac{\log(2) \log^2(\alpha) \delta}{2 n_{r:t} \log^2(n_{r:t}) \log(\alpha n_{s:t}) \log(n_{s:t})} \right)^\alpha \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{n_{r:s-1} \times n_{s:t}}}{10(n_{r:t} + 1)} \times \left(\frac{\log(4\alpha) \log(2) \delta^2}{4 n_{r:t} \log(\alpha n_{r:t}) \log^2(n_{r:t}) \log(n_{r:t})} \right)^\alpha \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{n_{r:s-1} \times n_{s:t}}}{10(n_{r:t} + 1)} \times \left(\frac{\log(4\alpha + 2) \delta^2}{4 n_{r:t} \log((\alpha + 3) n_{r:t})} \right)^\alpha, \end{aligned}$$

which allows us to get the following control:

$$\mathbb{P}_\theta \left\{ \exists t > r, s \in (r, t] : \vartheta_{r,s,t} \geq \vartheta_{r,r,t} \right\} \leq \delta.$$

□

Proof of Theorem 3:

The proof follows three main steps:

Step 1: Some preliminaries Before building the detection delay, we need to introduce three intermediate results.

The first result is to link the quantity $\Phi(\Sigma_{s:t})$ to $\Phi(\hat{\mu}_{s:t})$ such that:

$$\forall (s, t) : \Phi(\Sigma_{s:t}) + \Phi(\bar{\Sigma}_{s:t}) - \Phi(n_{s:t}) = n_{s:t} (\Phi(\hat{\mu}_{s:t}) + \Phi(1 - \hat{\mu}_{s:t})).$$

Then, observe that :

$$\begin{aligned} & n_{r:s-1} (\Phi(\hat{\mu}_{r:s-1}) + \Phi(1 - \hat{\mu}_{r:s-1})) + n_{s:t} (\Phi(\hat{\mu}_{s:t}) + \Phi(1 - \hat{\mu}_{s:t})) \\ & - n_{r:t} (\Phi(\hat{\mu}_{r:t}) + \Phi(1 - \hat{\mu}_{r:t})) = n_{r:s-1} \mathbf{kl}(\hat{\mu}_{r:s-1}, \hat{\mu}_{r:t}) + n_{s:t} \mathbf{kl}(\hat{\mu}_{s:t}, \hat{\mu}_{r:t}). \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

Finally, observe that:

$$n_{r:s-1} (\hat{\mu}_{r:s-1} - \hat{\mu}_{r:t})^2 + n_{s:t} (\hat{\mu}_{s:t} - \hat{\mu}_{r:t})^2 = \frac{n_{r:s-1} n_{s:t}}{n_{r:t}} (\hat{\mu}_{r:s-1} - \hat{\mu}_{s:t})^2. \quad (27)$$

Then, we will also need a useful notation as $f_{r,s,t}$ (which comes directly from Lemma ??):

$$f_{r,s,t} = \log(n_{r:s-1} + 1) + \log(n_{s:t} + 1) - \frac{1}{2} \log n_{r:t} + \frac{9}{8}.$$

Finally, following Lemma 7, the control of the quantity $|\hat{\mu}_{r:s-1} - \hat{\mu}_{s:t}|$ takes the following form: (with a probability at least $1 - \delta$)

$$\forall s \in [r : t) \quad |\hat{\mu}_{r:s-1} - \hat{\mu}_{s:t}| \geq \Delta_{r,s,t} - \mathcal{C}_{r,s,t,\delta}, \quad (28)$$

where $\Delta_{r,s,t}$ represents the relative gap and it takes the following form:

$$\Delta_{r,s,t} = |\mathbb{E}[\hat{\mu}_{r:s-1} - \hat{\mu}_{s:t}]| = \begin{cases} \frac{n_{\tau_c:t}}{n_{s:t}} |\theta_1 - \theta_2| = \frac{n_{\tau_c:t}}{n_{s:t}} \Delta & \text{if } s < \tau_c \leq t, \\ \frac{n_{r:\tau_c-1}}{n_{r:s-1}} |\theta_1 - \theta_2| = \frac{n_{r:\tau_c-1}}{n_{r:s-1}} \Delta & \text{if } \tau_c \leq s \leq t. \end{cases} \quad (29)$$

Step 2: Building the sufficient conditions for detecting the change-point τ_c First, assume that: $x_{r:\tau_c-1} \sim \mathcal{B}(\theta_1)$, $x_{\tau_c:t} \sim \mathcal{B}(\theta_2)$. Then, to build the detection delay, we need to prove that at some instant after τ_c the restart criterion **Restart**_{r:t} is activated. In other words, we need to build the following guarantee:

$$\mathbb{P} \left\{ \exists t > \tau_c : \mathbf{Restart}_{r:t} = 1 \right\} > 1 - \delta.$$

Notice that:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \{\forall t > \tau_c : \mathbf{Restart}_{r:t} = 0\} \Leftrightarrow \{\forall t > \tau_c, \forall s \in (r, t] : \log \vartheta_{r,s,t} \leq \log \vartheta_{r,r,t}\}. \\
 & \Leftrightarrow \{\forall t > \tau_c, \forall s \in (r, t] : \log \eta_{r,s,t} \leq \widehat{L}_{r:s-1} + \widehat{L}_{s:t} - \widehat{L}_{r:t}\}. \\
 & \stackrel{(a)}{\Rightarrow} \left\{ \forall t > \tau_c, \forall s \in (r, t] : \log \eta_{r,s,t} \leq f_{r,s,t} + \Phi(\Sigma_{r:s-1}) + \Phi(\bar{\Sigma}_{r:s-1}) - \Phi(n_{r:s-1}) + \Phi(\Sigma_{s:t}) + \Phi(\bar{\Sigma}_{s:t}) \right. \\
 & \quad \left. - \Phi(n_{s:t}) - \Phi(\Sigma_{r:t}) - \Phi(\bar{\Sigma}_{r:t}) + \Phi(n_{r:t}) \right\}. \\
 & \stackrel{(b)}{\Rightarrow} \left\{ \forall t > \tau_c, \forall s \in (r, t] : \log \eta_{r,s,t} \leq f_{r,s,t} - n_{r:s-1} \mathbf{kl}(\widehat{\mu}_{r:s-1}, \widehat{\mu}_{r:t}) - n_{s:t} \mathbf{kl}(\widehat{\mu}_{s:t}, \widehat{\mu}_{r:t}) \right\}. \\
 & \stackrel{(c)}{\Rightarrow} \left\{ \forall t > \tau_c, \forall s \in (r, t] : \log \eta_{r,s,t} \leq f_{r,s,t} - 2n_{r:s-1} (\widehat{\mu}_{r:s-1} - \widehat{\mu}_{r:t})^2 - 2n_{s:t} (\widehat{\mu}_{s:t} - \widehat{\mu}_{r:t})^2 \right\}. \\
 & \stackrel{(d)}{\Rightarrow} \left\{ \forall t > \tau_c, \forall s \in (r, t] : \log \eta_{r,s,t} \leq f_{r,s,t} - 2 \times \frac{n_{r:s-1} n_{s:t}}{n_{r:t}} (\widehat{\mu}_{r:s-1} - \widehat{\mu}_{s:t})^2 \right\}. \\
 & \Rightarrow \left\{ \forall t > \tau_c, \forall s \in (r, t] : 2 \times \frac{n_{r:s-1} n_{s:t}}{n_{r:t}} (\widehat{\mu}_{r:s-1} - \widehat{\mu}_{s:t})^2 \leq f_{r,s,t} - \log \eta_{r,s,t} \right\}. \\
 & \stackrel{(e)}{\Rightarrow} \left\{ \forall t > \tau_c, \forall s \in (r, t] : \sqrt{\frac{n_{r:s-1} n_{s:t}}{n_{r:t}}} |\widehat{\mu}_{r:s-1} - \widehat{\mu}_{s:t}| \leq \frac{\sqrt{f_{r,s,t} - \log \eta_{r,s,t}}}{\sqrt{2}} \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

where (a), holds true thanks to Equation (21), (b) holds true thanks to Equation (26), (c) holds true thanks to the Pinsker Inequality taking the following form: $\forall (\theta_1, \theta_2) \in [0, 1]^2 \mathbf{kl}(\theta_1, \theta_2) \geq 2(\theta_1 - \theta_2)^2$. (d) holds true thanks to Equation (27) and (e) holds true under the condition that $\eta_{r,s,t} \leq \exp(f_{r,s,t})$.

Therefore, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbb{P}\{\forall t > \tau_c : \mathbf{Restart}_{r:t} = 0\} & \leq \mathbb{P}\left\{ \forall t > \tau_c, \forall s \in (r, t] : \sqrt{\frac{n_{r:s-1} n_{s:t}}{n_{r:t}}} |\widehat{\mu}_{r:s-1} - \widehat{\mu}_{s:t}| \leq \frac{\sqrt{f_{r,s,t} - \log \eta_{r,s,t}}}{\sqrt{2}} \right\} \\
 & \stackrel{(f)}{\leq} \delta + \mathbb{P}\left\{ \forall t > \tau_c, \forall s \in (r, t] : \sqrt{\frac{n_{r:s-1} n_{s:t}}{n_{r:t}}} (\Delta_{r,s,t} - \mathcal{C}_{r,s,t,\delta}) \leq \frac{\sqrt{f_{r,s,t} - \log \eta_{r,s,t}}}{\sqrt{2}} \right\} \\
 & = \delta + \mathbb{P}\left\{ \forall t > \tau_c, \forall s \in (r, t] : \frac{n_{r:s-1} n_{s:t}}{n_{r:t}} (\Delta_{r,s,t} - \mathcal{C}_{r,s,t,\delta})^2 \leq \frac{f_{r,s,t} - \log \eta_{r,s,t}}{2} \right\} \\
 & = \delta + \mathbb{P}\left\{ \forall t > \tau_c, \forall s \in (r, t] : \underbrace{1 - \frac{f_{r,s,t} - \log \eta_{r,s,t}}{2n_{r,s-1} \times (\Delta_{r,s,t} - \mathcal{C}_{r,s,t,\delta})^2}}_A \leq \frac{n_{r:s-1}}{n_{r:t}} \right\}, \quad (30)
 \end{aligned}$$

where (f) holds true thanks to Equation (28) (We recall that the relative gap $\Delta_{r,s,t}$ is defined in Equation (29)). Before continuing the analysis, one need to verify that term A is valid (i.e. $A \in [0, 1]$, otherwise the associated event cannot be controlled). So, notice that:

$$\begin{cases} A > 0 & \Leftrightarrow \eta_{r,s,t} > \exp\left(-2n_{r,s-1} (\Delta_{r,s,t} - \mathcal{C}_{r,s,t,\delta})^2\right) \exp(f_{r,s,t}), \\ A < 1 & \Leftrightarrow \eta_{r,s,t} < \exp(f_{r,s,t}) = \frac{(n_{r:s-1}+1)(n_{s:t}+1)}{\sqrt{n_{r:t}}} \exp\left(\frac{9}{8}\right). \end{cases} \quad (31)$$

The second condition in Equation (31) is always satisfied since, we have:

$$\forall (r, s, t) : \frac{(n_{r:s-1} + 1)(n_{s:t} + 1)}{\sqrt{n_{r:t}}} \exp\left(\frac{9}{8}\right) > 1 \text{ and by definition, we have: } \eta_{r,s,t} < 1.$$

Therefore, from Equation (30) we get the following implication:

$$\left\{ \exists t > \tau_c, s \in (r, t] : 1 + \frac{\log \eta_{r,s,t} - f_{r,s,t}}{2n_{r,s-1} (\Delta_{r,s,t} - \mathcal{C}_{r,s,t,\delta})^2} > \frac{n_{r:s-1}}{n_{r:t}} \right\} \Rightarrow \mathbb{P}\{\exists t > \tau_c : \mathbf{Restart}_{r:t} = 1\} > 1 - \delta.$$

In other words, the change-point τ_c is detected at time t (with probability at least $1 - \delta$) if for some $s \in (r, t]$, we have:

$$1 + \frac{\log \eta_{r,s,t} - f_{r,s,t}}{2n_{r,s-1} \times (\Delta_{r,s,t} - \mathcal{C}_{r,s,t,\delta})^2} > \frac{n_{r:s-1}}{n_{r:t}}. \quad (32)$$

Step 3: Non-asymptotic expression of the detection delay $\mathfrak{D}_{\Delta,r,\tau_c}$ To build the detection delay, we need to ensure the existence of $s \in (r, t]$ such that Equation (32) is satisfied. In particular, Equation (32) can be satisfied for $s = \tau_c$. By this way, a condition to detect the change-point τ_c is written as follows:

$$1 + \frac{\log \eta_{r,\tau_c,t} - f_{r,\tau_c,t}}{2n_{r,\tau_c-1} \times (\Delta - \mathcal{C}_{r,\tau_c,t,\delta})^2} > \frac{n_{r:\tau_c-1}}{n_{r:t}}. \quad (33)$$

To build the delay, we should introduce the following variable: $d = t - \tau_c + 1 = n_{\tau_c:t} \in \mathbb{N}^*$.

Thus from Equation (33), we obtain:

$$1 + \frac{\log \eta_{r,\tau_c,d+\tau_c-1} - f_{r,\tau_c,d+\tau_c-1}}{2n_{r,\tau_c-1} (\Delta - \mathcal{C}_{r,\tau_c,d+\tau_c-1,\delta})^2} > \frac{n_{r:\tau_c-1}}{n_{r:\tau_c-1} + d} \Leftrightarrow d > \frac{\left(1 - \frac{\mathcal{C}_{r,\tau_c,d+\tau_c-1,\delta}}{\Delta}\right)^{-2}}{2\Delta^2} \times \frac{-\log \eta_{r,\tau_c,d+\tau_c-1} + f_{r,\tau_c,d+\tau_c-1}}{1 + \frac{\log \eta_{r,\tau_c,d+\tau_c-1} - f_{r,\tau_c,d+\tau_c-1}}{2n_{r,\tau_c-1} (\Delta - \mathcal{C}_{r,\tau_c,d+\tau_c-1,\delta})^2}}.$$

Finally, the change-point τ_c is detected (with a probability at least $1 - \delta$) with a delay not exceeding $\mathfrak{D}_{\Delta,r,\tau_c}$, such that:

$$\mathfrak{D}_{\Delta,r,\tau_c} = \min \left\{ d \in \mathbb{N}^* : d > \frac{\left(1 - \frac{\mathcal{C}_{r,\tau_c,d+\tau_c-1,\delta}}{\Delta}\right)^{-2}}{2\Delta^2} \times \frac{-\log \eta_{r,\tau_c,d+\tau_c-1} + f_{r,\tau_c,d+\tau_c-1}}{1 + \frac{\log \eta_{r,\tau_c,d+\tau_c-1} - f_{r,\tau_c,d+\tau_c-1}}{2n_{r,\tau_c-1} (\Delta - \mathcal{C}_{r,\tau_c,d+\tau_c-1,\delta})^2}} \right\}.$$

□
