## Supplement to "On Unbalanced Optimal Transport: An Analysis of Sinkhorn Algorithm"

In this appendix, we provide proofs for the remaining results in the paper.

## 6. Proofs of Remaining Results

Before proceeding with the proofs, we state the following simple inequalities:
Lemma 6. The following inequalities are true for all positive $x_{i}, y_{i}, x, y$ and $0 \leq z<\frac{1}{2}$ :
(a) $\min _{1 \leq i \leq n} \frac{x_{i}}{y_{i}} \leq \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i}} \leq \max _{1 \leq i \leq n} \frac{x_{i}}{y_{i}}$,
(b) $\quad \exp (z) \leq 1+|z|+|z|^{2}$,
(c) If $\max \left\{\frac{x}{y}, \frac{y}{x}\right\} \leq 1+\delta$, then $|x-y| \leq \delta \min \{x, y\}$,
(d) $\left(1+\frac{1}{x}\right)^{x+1} \geq e$.

Proof of Lemma 6.
(a) Given $x_{i}$ and $y_{i}$ positive, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\min _{1 \leq i \leq n} \frac{x_{i}}{y_{i}} \leq \frac{x_{j}}{y_{j}} \leq \max _{1 \leq i \leq n} \frac{x_{i}}{y_{i}}, \\
y_{j}\left(\min _{1 \leq i \leq n} \frac{x_{i}}{y_{i}}\right) \leq x_{j} \leq y_{j}\left(\max _{1 \leq i \leq n} \frac{x_{i}}{y_{i}}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Taking the sum over $j$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} y_{j}\right)\left(\min _{1 \leq i \leq n} \frac{x_{i}}{y_{i}}\right) & \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{j} \leq\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} y_{j}\right)\left(\max _{1 \leq i \leq n} \frac{x_{i}}{y_{i}} y_{j}\right), \\
\min _{1 \leq i \leq n} \frac{x_{i}}{y_{i}} & \leq \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{j}}{\sum_{j=1}^{n} y_{j}} \leq \max _{1 \leq i \leq n} \frac{x_{i}}{y_{i}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

(b) For the second inequality, $\exp (x) \leq 1+|x|+|x|^{2}$, we have to deal with the case $x>0$. Since $x \leq \frac{1}{2}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\exp (x) & =\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^{n}}{n!}=1+x+x^{2}-\frac{x^{2}}{2}+\sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \frac{x^{n}}{n!} \leq 1+x+x^{2}-\frac{x^{2}}{2}+\frac{x^{3}}{6} \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} x^{n-3} \\
& \leq 1+x+x^{2}-\frac{x^{2}}{2}+\frac{x^{3}}{6} \frac{1}{1-x} \leq 1+x+x^{2}-\frac{x^{2}}{2}+\frac{x^{3}}{3} \leq 1+x+x^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

(c) For the third inequality, WLOG assume $x>y$. Then, we have

$$
\frac{x}{y} \leq 1+\delta \Rightarrow x \leq y+y \delta \Rightarrow|x-y| \leq y \delta
$$

(d) For the fourth inequality, taking the $\log$ of both sides, it is equivalent to $(x+1)[\log (x+1)-\log (x)] \geq 1$. By the mean value theorem, there exists a number $y$ between $x$ and $x+1$ such that $\log (x+1)-\log (x)=1 / y$, then $(x+1) / y \geq 1$.

By the choice of $\eta=\frac{\varepsilon}{U}$ and the definition of $U$, we also have the following conditions on $\eta$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta \leq \frac{1}{2} ; \quad \frac{\eta}{\tau} \leq \frac{1}{4 \log (n) \max \{1, \alpha+\beta\}} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we come to the proofs of lemmas and the corollary in the main text.

### 6.1. Proof of Lemma 2

(a) + (b): From the definitions of $a_{i}^{k}$ and $a_{i}^{*}$, we have

$$
\log \left(\frac{a_{i}^{*}}{a_{i}^{k}}\right)=\left(\frac{u_{i}^{*}-u_{i}^{k}}{\eta}\right)+\log \left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n} \exp \left(\frac{v_{j}^{*}-C_{i j}}{\eta}\right)}{\sum_{j=1}^{n} \exp \left(\frac{v_{j}^{k}-C_{i j}}{\eta}\right)}\right) .
$$

The required inequalities are equivalent to an upper bound and a lower bound for the second term of the RHS. Apply part (a) of Lemma 6, we obtain

$$
\min _{1 \leq j \leq n} \frac{v_{j}^{*}-v_{j}^{k}}{\eta} \leq \log \left(\frac{a_{i}^{*}}{a_{i}^{k}}\right)-\frac{u_{i}^{*}-u_{i}^{k}}{\eta} \leq \max _{1 \leq j \leq n} \frac{v_{j}^{*}-v_{j}^{k}}{\eta}
$$

Part (b) follows similarly. Therefore, we obtain the conclusion of Lemma 2.

### 6.2. Proof of Corollary 2

Recall that we have proved in Lemma 4:

$$
\begin{aligned}
g\left(X^{*}\right)+(2 \tau+\eta) x^{*} & =\tau(\alpha+\beta) \\
f(\widehat{X})+2 \tau \widehat{x} & =\tau(\alpha+\beta)
\end{aligned}
$$

From the second equality and the fact that $f(\widehat{X}) \geq 0$ (it is easy to see that for $X$ that $X_{i j} \geq 0$, the KL terms and $\langle C, X\rangle$ are all non-negative), we immediately have $\widehat{x} \leq \frac{\alpha+\beta}{2}$, proving the second inequality. For the first inequality, we have $g\left(X^{*}\right) \geq-\eta H\left(X^{*}\right) \geq-2 \eta x^{*} \log (n)-\eta x^{*}+\eta x^{*} \log \left(x^{*}\right)$. Therefore, we find that

$$
\begin{aligned}
-2 \eta x^{*} \log (n)-\eta x^{*}+\eta x^{*} \log \left(x^{*}\right) & \leq \tau(\alpha+\beta)-(2 \tau+\eta) x^{*} \\
\eta x^{*} \log \left(x^{*}\right)+2(\tau-\eta \log (n)) x^{*} & \leq \tau(\alpha+\beta)
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows from the inequality $z \log (z) \geq z-1$ for all $z>0$ that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\eta\left(x^{*}-1\right)+2(\tau-\eta \log (n)) x^{*} & \leq \tau(\alpha+\beta) \\
x^{*}(2 \tau-2 \eta \log (n)+\eta) & \leq \tau(\alpha+\beta)+\eta
\end{aligned}
$$

By inequality (19), $4 \eta \log (n) \leq \tau$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
x^{*} & \leq \frac{\tau(\alpha+\beta)+\eta}{2 \tau-2 \eta \log (n)+\eta} \leq \frac{\tau(\alpha+\beta)-(\alpha+\beta) \eta \log (n)}{2 \tau-2 \eta \log (n)}+\frac{(\alpha+\beta) \eta \log (n)+\eta}{2 \tau-2 \eta \log (n)} \\
& \leq \frac{\alpha+\beta}{2}+(\alpha+\beta) \frac{\eta \log (n)}{2 \tau-2 \eta \log (n)}+\frac{\frac{\tau}{4 \log (n)}}{\frac{3}{2} \tau} \leq\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{\eta \log (n)}{2 \tau-2 \eta \log (n)}\right)(\alpha+\beta)+\frac{1}{6 \log (n)}
\end{aligned}
$$

As a consequence, we obtain the conclusion of the corollary.

### 6.3. Proof of Lemma 5

(a) We prove that $\Lambda_{k} \leq \frac{\eta^{2}}{8(\tau+1)}$ for $\eta=\frac{\varepsilon}{U}$ and $k \geq\left(\frac{\tau}{\eta}+1\right)\left[\log (8 \eta R)+\log (\tau(\tau+1))+3 \log \left(\frac{1}{\eta}\right)\right]$ (note that the stated bound can be obtained by replacing $k$ with $k-1$ ).

Denote $\frac{8 \eta R(\tau+1)}{\tau^{2}}=D$ and $\frac{\eta}{\tau}=s>0$. From inequality (19), we have $s<1$. The required inequality is equivalent to

$$
\frac{\eta^{2}}{8(\tau+1)} \geq\left(\frac{\tau}{\tau+\eta}\right)^{k} \tau R \Longleftrightarrow\left(\frac{\tau+\eta}{\tau}\right)^{k} \frac{\eta^{3}}{\tau^{3}} \geq \frac{8 \eta R(\tau+1)}{\tau^{2}} \Longleftrightarrow(1+s)^{k} s^{3} \geq D
$$

Let $t=1+\frac{\log (D)}{3 \log \left(\frac{1}{s}\right)}$. By definition (5), $R \geq \log (n)$, thus $D \geq \frac{8 \eta \log (n)(\tau+1)}{\tau^{2}}>\frac{\eta^{3}}{\tau^{3}}=s^{3}$ and $t>1+\frac{3 \log (s)}{3 \log \left(\frac{1}{s}\right)}=0$. We claim the following chain of inequalities

$$
\begin{aligned}
s^{3}(1+s)^{k} & \geq s^{3}(1+s)^{\left(\frac{1}{s}+1\right) 3 \log \left(\frac{1}{s}\right) t} \\
& \geq s^{3} e^{3 \log \left(\frac{1}{s}\right) t}
\end{aligned}
$$

The first inequality results from $k \geq\left(\frac{\tau U}{\epsilon}+1\right)\left[\log (8 \eta R)+\log (\tau(\tau+1))+3 \log \left(\frac{U}{\epsilon}\right)\right]=\left(1+\frac{1}{s}\right) 3 \log \left(\frac{1}{s}\right) t>0$ (using the definitions of $D, s$, the choice of $t$ and $\eta=\frac{\varepsilon}{U}$ ). The second inequality is due to part (d) of Lemma 6 . The last equality is

$$
s^{3} e^{3 \log \left(\frac{1}{s}\right) t}=\frac{1}{s^{3 t-3}}=\frac{1}{s^{\log (D) / \log (1 / s)}}=\frac{1}{s^{-\log _{s}(D)}}=D .
$$

We have thus proved our claim of part (a).
(b) We need to prove $\left|x^{k}-x^{*}\right| \leq \frac{3}{\eta} \min \left\{x^{*}, x^{k}\right\} \Delta_{k}$. From the definition of $x^{k}$ and $x^{*}$ and note that they are non-negative:

$$
x^{k}=\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} \exp \left(\frac{u_{i}^{k}+v_{j}^{k}-C_{i j}}{\eta}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad x^{*}=\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} \exp \left(\frac{u_{i}^{*}+v_{j}^{*}-C_{i j}}{\eta}\right) .
$$

Now, we have

$$
\frac{\exp \left(\frac{u_{i}^{k}+v_{j}^{k}-C_{i j}}{\eta}\right)}{\exp \left(\frac{u_{i}^{*}+v_{j}^{*}-C_{i j}}{\eta}\right)}=\exp \left(\frac{u_{i}^{k}-u_{i}^{*}}{\eta}\right) \exp \left(\frac{v_{j}^{k}-v_{j}^{*}}{\eta}\right) \leq\left[\max _{1 \leq i \leq n} \exp \left(\frac{\left|u_{i}^{k}-u_{i}^{*}\right|}{\eta}\right)\right]\left[\max _{1 \leq j \leq n} \exp \left(\frac{\left|v_{j}^{k}-v_{j}^{*}\right|}{\eta}\right)\right]
$$

Note that each of $x^{k}$ and $x^{*}$ is the sum of $n^{2}$ elements and the ratio between $\exp \left(\frac{u_{i}^{k}+v_{j}^{k}-C_{i j}}{\eta}\right)$ and $\exp \left(\frac{u_{i}^{*}+v_{j}^{*}-C_{i j}}{\eta}\right)$ is bounded by $\left[\max _{1 \leq i \leq n} \exp \left(\frac{\left|u_{i}^{k}-u_{i}^{*}\right|}{\eta}\right)\right]\left[\max _{1 \leq j \leq n} \exp \left(\frac{\left|v_{j}^{k}-v_{j}^{*}\right|}{\eta}\right)\right]$ for all pairs $i, j$. Apply part (a) of Lemma 6, we find that

$$
\max \left\{\frac{x^{*}}{x^{k}}, \frac{x^{k}}{x^{*}}\right\} \leq\left[\max _{1 \leq i \leq n} \exp \left(\frac{\left|u_{i}^{k}-u_{i}^{*}\right|}{\eta}\right)\right]\left[\max _{1 \leq j \leq n} \exp \left(\frac{\left|v_{j}^{k}-v_{j}^{*}\right|}{\eta}\right)\right]
$$

We have proved from part (a) that $\Lambda_{k-1} \leq \frac{\eta^{2}}{8(\tau+1)} \leq \frac{\eta^{2}}{8}$. From Theorem 1 we get $\Delta_{k} \leq \Lambda_{k-1}$. It means that

$$
\max _{i, j}\left\{\left|\frac{u_{i}^{k}-u_{i}^{*}}{\eta}\right|,\left|\frac{v_{j}^{k}-v_{j}^{*}}{\eta}\right|\right\}=\frac{\Delta_{k}}{\eta} \leq \frac{\Lambda_{k-1}}{\eta} \leq \frac{\eta}{8} \leq \frac{1}{8}
$$

Apply part (b) of Lemma 6,

$$
\exp \frac{\left|u_{i}^{k}-u_{i}^{*}\right|}{\eta} \leq 1+\frac{\left|u_{i}^{k}-u_{i}^{*}\right|}{\eta}+\left(\frac{\left|u_{i}^{k}-u_{i}^{*}\right|}{\eta}\right)^{2}, \quad \text { and } \quad \exp \frac{\left|v_{j}^{k}-v_{j}^{*}\right|}{\eta} \leq 1+\frac{\left|v_{j}^{k}-v_{j}^{*}\right|}{\eta}+\left(\frac{\left|v_{j}^{k}-v_{j}^{*}\right|}{\eta}\right)^{2}
$$

Then, we find that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\max \left\{\frac{x^{*}}{x^{k}}, \frac{x^{k}}{x^{*}}\right\} & \leq\left(1+\frac{1}{\eta} \Delta_{k}+\frac{1}{\eta^{2}} \Delta_{k}^{2}\right)\left(1+\frac{1}{\eta} \Delta_{k}+\frac{1}{\eta^{2}} \Delta_{k}^{2}\right)=1+2 \frac{\Delta_{k}}{\eta}+3 \frac{\Delta_{k}^{2}}{\eta^{2}}+2 \frac{\Delta_{k}^{3}}{\eta^{3}}+\frac{\Delta_{k}^{4}}{\eta^{4}} \\
& \leq 1+\frac{\Delta_{k}}{\eta}\left(2+3 \frac{\Delta_{k}}{\eta}+2 \frac{\Delta_{k}^{2}}{\eta^{2}}+\frac{\Delta_{k}^{3}}{\eta^{3}}\right) \leq 1+\frac{\Delta_{k}}{\eta}\left(2+3 \frac{1}{8}+2 \frac{1}{8^{2}}+\frac{1}{8^{3}}\right) \\
& \leq 1+3 \frac{\Delta_{k}}{\eta}
\end{aligned}
$$

Apply part (c) of Lemma 6, we get

$$
\left|x^{k}-x^{*}\right| \leq \frac{3}{\eta} \Delta_{k} \min \left\{x^{k}, x^{*}\right\}
$$

Therefore, we obtain the conclusion of part (b).
(c) From Lemma 5(a) and Theorem 1 we have $\frac{\Delta_{k}}{\eta} \leq \frac{\Lambda_{k}}{\eta} \leq \frac{\eta}{8} \leq \frac{1}{12}$. By part (b) of Lemma 5, we have $x^{k} \leq x^{*}+\frac{3}{\eta} \Delta_{k} x^{*} \leq$ $\frac{3}{2} x^{*}$. Then, we obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
x^{k} & \leq x^{*}+\frac{3}{\eta} \Delta_{k} x^{*} \leq\left[(\alpha+\beta)\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{\eta \log (n)}{2 \tau-2 \eta \log (n)}\right)+\frac{1}{6 \log (n)}\right]\left(1+3 \frac{\Delta_{k}}{\eta}\right) \\
& \leq(\alpha+\beta)\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{\eta \log (n)}{2 \tau-2 \eta \log (n)}\right)\left(1+3 \frac{\Delta_{k}}{\eta}\right)+\frac{1}{4 \log (n)} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}(\alpha+\beta)+(\alpha+\beta) \frac{3}{2} \frac{\Delta_{k}}{\eta}+(\alpha+\beta) \frac{\eta \log (n)}{\tau}+\frac{1}{4 \log (n)} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}(\alpha+\beta)+\frac{1}{4}+(\alpha+\beta) \frac{3 \eta}{12 \tau}+\frac{1}{4 \log (n)} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}(\alpha+\beta)+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{4 \log (n)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

As a consequence, we reach the conclusion of part (c).

