Improving Robustness of Deep-Learning-Based Image Reconstruction - Supplementary Material

Proof of Theorem 1:

For the inverse problem of recovering the true x from the measurement y = Ax, goal is to design a robust linear recovery model given by $\hat{x} = BAx$

The min-max formulation to get robust model for a linear set-up:

$$\min_{B} \max_{\delta: \|\delta\|_2 \le \epsilon} \mathbb{E}_{x \in D} \|BAx - x\|^2 + \lambda \|B(Ax + \delta) - x\|^2$$
$$\min_{B} \max_{\delta: \|\delta\|_2 \le \epsilon} \mathbb{E}_{x \in D} (1 + \lambda) \|BAx - x\|^2 + \lambda \|B\delta\|^2 + 2\lambda (B\delta)^T (BAx - x)$$
(1)

Since the data is normalized, i.e., $\mathbb{E}(x) = 0$ and cov(x) = I. This makes the above optimization problem as:

$$\min_{B} \max_{\delta: \|\delta\|_2 \le \epsilon} \mathbb{E}_{x \in D} (1+\lambda) \| (BA-I)x \|^2 + \lambda \|B\delta\|^2$$
$$\min_{B} \max_{\delta: \|\delta\|_2 \le \epsilon} \mathbb{E}_{x \in D} (1+\lambda) tr(BA-I)x x^T (BA-I)^T + \lambda \|B\delta\|^2$$
(2)

Since, $\mathbb{E}(tr(\cdot)) = tr(\mathbb{E}(\cdot))$, the above problem becomes:

$$\min_{B} \max_{\delta: \|\delta\|_{2} \leq \epsilon} (1+\lambda) tr(BA-I)(BA-I)^{T} + \lambda \|B\delta\|^{2}
\min_{B} \max_{\delta: \|\delta\|_{2} \leq \epsilon} (1+\lambda) \|BA-I\|_{F}^{2} + \lambda \|B\delta\|^{2}$$
(3)

Using SVD decomposition of $A = USV^T$ and $B = MQP^T$

$$\min_{M,Q,P:M^TM=I,P^TP=I,Q \text{ is } \text{diag } \delta: \|\delta\| \le \epsilon} (1+\lambda) \|MQP^TUSV^T - I\|_F^2 + \lambda \|MQP^T\delta\|^2$$
(4)

Since, only the second term is dependent on δ , maximizing the second term with respect to δ : We have $||MQP^T\delta|| = ||QP^T\delta||$ since M is unitary. Given Q is diagonal, $||QP^T\delta||$ w.r.t. δ can be maximized by having $P^T\delta$ vector having all zeros except the location corresponding to the max_i Q_i . Since, $||P^T\delta|| = ||\delta||$, again because P is unitary, so to maximize within the ϵ -ball, we will have $P^T\delta = \epsilon[0, ..., 0, 1, 0, ..., 0]$ where 1 is at the arg max_iQ_i position. This makes the term to be:

$$\max_{\boldsymbol{\delta}: \|\boldsymbol{\delta}\|_2 \leq \epsilon} \|\boldsymbol{M}\boldsymbol{Q}\boldsymbol{P}^T\boldsymbol{\delta}\|^2 = \epsilon^2 (\max_i Q_i)^2$$

Substituting the above term in Equation 4:

$$\min_{\substack{M,Q,P:M^TM=I,P^TP=I,Q \text{ is diag}}} (1+\lambda) \|MQP^TUSV^T - I\|_F^2 + \lambda \epsilon^2 (\max_i Q_i)^2 \\
\min_{\substack{M,Q,P:...}} (1+\lambda) tr(MQP^TUSV^T - I) (MQP^TUSV^T - I)^T + \lambda \epsilon^2 (\max_i Q_i)^2 \\
\min_{\substack{M,Q,P:...}} (1+\lambda) tr(MQP^TUS^2U^TPQM^T - 2MQP^TUSV^T + I) + \lambda \epsilon^2 (\max_i Q_i)^2 \\
\min_{\substack{M,Q,P:...}} (1+\lambda) tr(P^TUS^2U^TPQ^2 - 2MQP^TSV^T + I) + \lambda \epsilon^2 (\max_i Q_i)^2 \tag{5}$$

For the above equation, only the second term depends on M, minimizing the second term w.r.t. M keeping others fixed:

$$\min_{M:M^T M=I} tr(-2MQP^T USV^T)$$

Since, this is a linear program with the quadratic constraint, relaxing the constraint from $M^T M = I$ to $M^T M \leq I$ won't change the optimal point as the optimal point will always be at the boundary i.e. $M^T M = I$

 $\min_{M:M^TM \leq I} tr(-2MQP^TUSV^T) \text{ which is a convex program}$

Introducing the Lagrange multiplier matrix K for the constraint

$$\mathcal{L}(M,K) = tr(-2MQP^TUSV^T + K(M^TM - I))$$

Substituting $G = QP^TUSV^T$ and using stationarity of Lagrangian
 $\Delta L_M = M(K + K^T) - G^T = 0 \implies ML = G^T$ where $L = K + K^T$
Primal feasibility: $M^TM \leq I$. Optimal point at boundary $\implies M^TM = I$

Because of the problem is convex, the local minima is the global minima which satisfies the two conditions: Stationarity of Lagrangian $(ML = G^T)$ and Primal feasibility $(M^T M = I)$. By the choice of M = V, and $L = SU^T PQ$, both these conditions are satisfied implying M = V is the optimal point.

Substituting M = V in Equation 5, we get:

$$\min_{Q,P:...} (1+\lambda)tr(P^T US^2 U^T PQ^2 - 2VQP^T USV^T + I) + \lambda\epsilon^2 (\max_i Q_i)^2$$

$$\min_{Q,P:...} (1+\lambda)tr(P^T US^2 U^T PQ^2 - 2QP^T US + I) + \lambda\epsilon^2 (\max_i Q_i)^2$$

$$\min_{Q,P:...} (1+\lambda) \|QP^T US - I\|_F^2 + \lambda\epsilon^2 (\max_i Q_i)^2$$
(6)

Denote the *i*-th column of $C = U^T P$ by c_i and the entries in Q are in decreasing order (as entries in S are in increasing order) and the largest entry q_m in Q, has multiplicity m, the Equation 6 becomes:

$$\min_{C,Q} (1+\lambda) \sum_{i=1}^{m} \|q_m S c_i - e_i\|^2 + \lambda \epsilon^2 q_m^2 + (1+\lambda) \sum_{i=m+1}^{n} \|q_i S c_i - e_i\|^2$$
(7)

If we consider the last term i.e. i > m, it can be minimized by setting $c_i = e_i$ which is equivalent to choose $P_i = U_i$ and $q_i = 1/s_i$. This makes the last term (= 0), using $h = \lambda \epsilon^2/(1 + \lambda)$, making the Equation 7 as:

$$\min_{C,Q} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (c_i^T S q_m^2 S c_i - 2e_i^T q_m S c_i + e_i^T e_i) + h q_m^2$$
$$\min_{C,Q} q_m^2 (\sum_{i=1}^{m} c_i^T S^2 c_i + h) - 2q_m \sum_{i=1}^{m} S_i C_{ii} + \sum_{i=1}^{m} e_i^T e_i$$

The above term is upward quadratic in q_m , minima w.r.t. q_m will occur at $q_m^* = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^m S_i C_{ii}}{(\sum_{i=1}^m c_i^T S^2 c_i + h)}$, which will make the quadratic term as $\sum_{i=1}^m e_i^T e_i - \frac{(\sum_{i=1}^m S_i C_{ii})^2}{(\sum_{i=1}^m c_i^T S^2 c_i + h)}$, which has to be minimized w.r.t C

$$\min_{C} \sum_{i=1}^{m} e_{i}^{T} e_{i} - \frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} S_{i}C_{ii}\right)^{2}}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} c_{i}^{T} S^{2} c_{i} + h\right)} \\
\max_{C} \frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} S_{i}C_{ii}\right)^{2}}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} c_{i}^{T} S^{2} c_{i} + h\right)} \\
\max_{C} \frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} S_{i}C_{ii}\right)^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{m} S_{i}^{2}C_{ii}^{2} + \sum_{j \neq i} S_{j}^{2}C_{ij}^{2} + h}$$
(8)

Since $C = U^T P \implies C_{ij} = u_i^T p_j \implies ||C_{ij}|| \le 1$. To maximize the term given by the Equation 8, we can minimize the denominator by setting the term $C_{ij} = 0$, which makes the matrix C as diagonal.

Divide the matrix U and P into two parts: one corresponding to $i \leq m$ and another i > m, where i represents the column-index of $C = U^T P$.

Let $U = [U_1|U_2]$ and $P = [P_1|P_2]$. From above, we have $P_2 = U_2$ for i > m, making $P = [P_1|U_2]$.

$$U^T = \begin{bmatrix} U_1^T \\ U_2^T \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } P = [P_1|U_2]$$
$$U^T P = \begin{bmatrix} U_1^T P_1 & U_1^T U_2 \\ U_2^T P_1 & U_2^T U_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} U_1^T P_1 & \mathbf{0} \\ U_2^T P_1 & I \end{bmatrix}$$

Since, $U^T P$ is diagonal, we have $U_2^T P_1 = \mathbf{0}$, $U_1^T P_1 = \Gamma$ where Γ is diagonal. Also, we have $P_1^T P_1 = I$. Only way to satisfy this would be making $P_1 = U_1$ which makes P = U and C = I. It also results in

$$q_m^* = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^m S_i}{\sum_{i=1}^m S_i^2 + h}, \text{ where, } h = \epsilon^2 \frac{\lambda}{1+\lambda}$$
(9)

Hence, the resulting B would be of the form MQP^T where:

$$M = V, P = U \text{ and }, \tag{10}$$

$$Q = \begin{bmatrix} q_m^* & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & q_m^* & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 1/s_{m+1} & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 1/s_n \end{bmatrix}$$
(11)