A. Proof of Theorem 3

For $p, q \in (0, 1)$ let $d(p,q) = p \log(p/q) + (1-p) \log((1-p)/(1-q))$ be the relative entropy between Bernoulli distributions with biases p and q respectively. For $\theta \in [0, 1]^K$ let \mathbb{E}_{θ} denote the expectation when the algorithm interacts with the Bernoulli bandit determined by $\theta \in [0, 1]^K$. Let $\theta = (1/2 + \Delta, 1/2, \dots, 1/2)$ where $\Delta \in (0, 1/4)$ is some parameter to be tuned subsequently. Then let

$$i = \underset{k>1}{\operatorname{arg\,min}} \mathbb{E}_{\theta}[N_k(T)].$$

By the pigeonhole principle it follows that $\mathbb{E}_{\theta}[N_i(T)] \leq T/(K-1)$. Then define $\phi \in [0, 1]^K$ so that $\phi_j = \theta_j$ for all $j \neq i$ and $\phi_i = 1/2 + 2\Delta$. By the definitions of θ and ϕ we have

$$R_{\theta}(T) \geq \Delta(T - \mathbb{E}_{\theta}[N_1(T)]) \quad \text{and} \quad R_{\phi}(T) \geq \Delta \mathbb{E}_{\phi}[N_1(T)] \,,$$

which means that

$$R_{\theta}(T) \geq \frac{T\Delta}{2} \mathbb{P}_{\theta}(N_1(T) \leq T/2) \quad \text{and} \quad R_{\phi}(T) \geq \frac{T\Delta}{2} \mathbb{P}_{\phi}(N_1(T) > T/2) \,.$$

Summing the two regrets and applying the Bretagnolle-Huber inequality shows that

$$R_{\theta}(T) + R_{\phi}(T) \geq \frac{T\Delta}{2} \left(\mathbb{P}_{\theta}(N_1(T) \leq T/2) + \mathbb{P}_{\phi}(N_1(T) > T/2) \right)$$
$$\geq \frac{T\Delta}{4} \exp\left(-KL(\mathbb{P}_{\theta}, \mathbb{P}_{\phi})\right) \,.$$

The next step is to calculate the relative entropy between \mathbb{P}_{θ} and \mathbb{P}_{ϕ} . Both bandits behave identically on all arms except action *i*. When action *i* is played the learner effectively observes a reward with bias either $\tau_m/2$ or $\tau_m(1/2+2\Delta)$. Therefore

$$KL(\mathbb{P}_{\theta}, \mathbb{P}_{\phi}) = \mathbb{E}_{\theta} \left[N_i(T) \right] d(\tau_m/2, \tau_m(1/2 + 2\Delta)) \,.$$

Upper bounding the relative entropy by the χ -squared distance shows that

$$d(\tau_m/2, \tau_m(1/2 + 2\Delta)) \le \frac{2(\tau_m/2 - \tau_m(1/2 + 2\Delta))^2}{\tau_m(1/2 - 2\Delta)} \le 32\tau_m\Delta^2,$$

where we used the assumption that $2\Delta \leq 1/4$. Therefore

$$KL(\mathbb{P}_{\theta}, \mathbb{P}_{\phi}) \leq 32\tau_m \Delta^2 \mathbb{E}_{\theta}[N_i(T)] \leq \frac{32\tau_m \Delta^2 T}{K-1}.$$

Finally we conclude that

$$R_{\theta}(T) + R_{\phi}(T) \ge \frac{T\Delta}{4} \exp\left(-\frac{32\tau_m \Delta^2 T}{K-1}\right)$$
.

The result follows by tuning Δ .