

Dual Learning: Theoretical Study and an Algorithmic Extension (Supplementary Document)

Appendix A. Derivation

We leverage the negative logarithmic probability to measure the differences between the original $x^{(2)}$ and the reconstructed one. Let $\mathcal{R}(x^{(2)})$ denote the event that after passing the loop $S_2 \rightarrow S_k \rightarrow S_1 \rightarrow S_2$, $x^{(2)}$ is reconstructed to $x^{(2)}$. We have that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \ln \Pr(\mathcal{R}(x^{(2)})) &= \sum_{x^{(k)} \in S_k} \sum_{x^{(1)} \in S_1} \ln \Pr(x^{(2)}, x^{(1)}, x^{(k)} | \\
 &\quad \text{starting from } x^{(2)}, \text{ applied by } \theta_{2k}, \theta_{k1}, \theta_{12} \text{ sequentially}) \\
 &= \sum_{x^{(k)} \in S_k} \sum_{x^{(1)} \in S_1} \ln \left(\Pr(x^{(1)}, x^{(k)} | x^{(2)}; \theta_{2k}, \theta_{k1}) \cdot \Pr(x^{(2)} | x^{(1)}; \theta_{12}) \right) \tag{1} \\
 &\geq \sum_{x^{(k)} \in S_k} \sum_{x^{(1)} \in S_1} \Pr(x^{(1)}, x^{(k)} | x^{(2)}; \theta_{2k}, \theta_{k1}) \cdot \ln \Pr(x^{(2)} | x^{(1)}; \theta_{12}) \\
 &= \sum_{x^{(k)} \in S_k} \sum_{x^{(1)} \in S_1} \Pr(x^{(k)} | x^{(2)}; \theta_{2k}, \theta_{k1}) \Pr(x^{(1)} | x^{(k)}, x^{(2)}; \theta_{2k}, \theta_{k1}) \cdot \ln \Pr(x^{(2)} | x^{(1)}; \theta_{12}) \\
 &= \sum_{x^{(k)} \in S_k} \sum_{x^{(1)} \in S_1} \Pr(x^{(k)} | x^{(2)}; \theta_{2k}) \Pr(x^{(1)} | x^{(k)}; \theta_{k1}) \cdot \ln \Pr(x^{(2)} | x^{(1)}; \theta_{12}) \tag{2} \\
 &= \mathbb{E}_{x^{(k)} \sim \Pr(\cdot | x^{(2)}; \theta_{2k})} \mathbb{E}_{x^{(1)} \sim \Pr(\cdot | x^{(k)}; \theta_{k1})} \ln \Pr(x^{(2)} | x^{(1)}; \theta_{12}). \tag{3}
 \end{aligned}$$

In Eqn.(1), the first Pr represents the jointly probability that $x^{(2)}$ can be translated into $x^{(k)}$ with θ_{2k} , and the the obtained $x^{(k)}$ can be translated into $x^{(1)}$ with θ_{k1} ; the second Pr represents the probability that given $x^{(1)}$, it can be translated back to $x^{(2)}$ with θ_{12} .