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## A DESCRIPTION OF ALGORITHM FSF* MENTIONED IN COROLLARY 1

The description of the algorithm $\mathrm{FSF}^{*}$ is given in Algorithm 4. We initialize parameters $J, \gamma, \beta, w_{1} \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^{J}, \gamma^{(j)}$, and $w_{1}^{(j)} \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^{m}$ for $j=1,2, \ldots, J$ as stated in Step 1 in Algorithm 4. We run $J$ copies of fixed share forecaster algorithms (Herbster and Warmuth, 1998) with different value of parameter $\gamma^{(j)}$. For each round $t=1,2, \ldots, T$, first we normalize $w_{t} \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^{J}$ to calculate $q_{t}$, where $w_{t j}$ represents the weight of the $j$-th copy, and normalize $w_{t}^{(j)} \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^{m}$ to calculate $p_{t}^{(j)}$, which represents, for each $j \in[J]$, the weights of each action $i \in[m]$. Then, we compute and output $p_{t} \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^{m}$, the sum of the vectors $p_{t}^{(j)}$ weighted by $q_{t j}$ for $j=1,2, \ldots, J$. After outputting $p_{t}$, the algorithm receives feedback $\ell_{t i}$ for each $i \in[m]$, which represents a loss of choosing $i$ in round $t$.
After receiving feedback $\ell_{t}$, we update the weights for the next round. We use different value of parameter $\gamma^{(j)}$ for each $j \in[J]$. For each $j \in[J]$, we calculate $w_{t+1}^{(j)} \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^{m}$, as stated in (6) and (7). We calculate $w_{t+1} \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^{J}$ by multiplicative weight update with parameter $\gamma$ and with loss for $j$-th action defined to be $\ell_{t}^{\top} p_{t}^{(j)}$, i.e., $w_{t+1, j}$ is calculated as in Step 9 in Algorithm 4 for each $j \in[J]$.

```
Algorithm 4 FSF*
Require: The number \(T\) of rounds and the number \(m\) of actions.
    Set \(J=\lceil\log T\rceil, \gamma=\sqrt{\frac{\log J}{T}}, \beta=\frac{1}{T}\) and initialize \(w_{t}=\left(w_{t 1}, w_{t 2}, \ldots, w_{t J}\right)^{\top}\) by \(w_{1 j}=1\) for \(j=1,2, \ldots, J\).
    For \(j=1,2, \ldots, J\), set \(\gamma^{(j)}=\sqrt{\frac{\log (m T)}{2^{j-1}}}\) and initialize \(w_{t}^{(j)}=\left(w_{t 1}^{(j)}, w_{t 2}^{(j)}, \ldots, w_{t m}^{(j)}\right)^{\top}\) by \(w_{1 i}^{(j)}=1\) for \(i=\)
    \(1,2, \ldots, m\).
    for \(t=1,2, \ldots, T\) do
        Set \(q_{t}=\frac{w_{t}}{\left\|w_{t}\right\|_{1}}\) and \(p_{t}^{(j)}=\frac{w_{t}^{(j)}}{\left\|w_{t}^{(j)}\right\|_{1}}\) for \(j=1,2, \ldots, J\).
        [ \(t\)-th output] Compute \(p_{t}=\sum_{j=1}^{J} q_{t j} p_{t}^{(j)}\) and output \(p_{t}\).
        [ \(t\)-th input] Get feedback of \(\ell_{t}=\left(\ell_{t 1}, \ell_{t 2}, \ldots, \ell_{t m}\right)^{\top}\).
        for \(j=1,2, \ldots, J\) do
            Compute \(v_{t i}^{(j)}=w_{t i}^{(j)} \exp \left(-\gamma^{(j)} \ell_{t i}\right)\) for \(i=1,2, \ldots, m\).
            Update \(w_{t}^{(j)}\) by \(w_{t+1, i}^{(j)}=\beta \frac{W_{t}^{(j)}}{m}+(1-\beta) v_{t i}^{(j)}\) for \(i=1,2, \ldots, m\) where \(W_{t}^{(j)}=v_{t 1}^{(j)}+\cdots+v_{t m}^{(j)}\).
            Update \(w_{t j}\) by \(w_{t+1, j}=w_{t j} \exp \left(-\gamma \ell_{t}^{\top} p_{t}^{(j)}\right)\).
    end for
    end for
```


## B OMITTED PROOFS

## B. 1 Proof of Corollary 1

If $m=1$, then $\sum_{t=1}^{T}\left(\ell_{t}^{\top} p_{t}-\ell_{t i_{t}^{*}}\right)=0$ holds. If $T=1$ and $m \geq 2$, since $\ell_{t} \in[-1,1]^{m}, \sum_{t=1}^{T}\left(\ell_{t}^{\top} p_{t}-\ell_{t i_{t}^{*}}\right) \leq 2$. On the other hand, we have

$$
8 \sqrt{T\left(\left(P^{\prime}+1\right) \log (m T)+\log (1+\log T)\right)}=8 \sqrt{\left(P^{\prime}+1\right) \log 2}>4
$$

Thus, we suppose $m \geq 2$ and $T \geq 2$. We have the following inequality (see, e.g., Cesa-Bianchi and Lugosi (2006)):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{t=1}^{T}\left(\ell_{t}^{\top} p_{t}-\ell_{t}^{\top} p_{t}^{(j)}\right) \leq \gamma T+\frac{1}{\gamma} \log J=2 \sqrt{T \log J} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Theorem 1,

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{t=1}^{T}\left(\ell_{t}^{\top} p_{t}^{(j)}-\ell_{t i_{t}^{*}}\right) & \leq \gamma^{(j)} T+\frac{1}{\gamma^{(j)}}\left(\left(2 P^{\prime}+1\right) \log m+\log \frac{1}{\beta^{P^{\prime}}(1-\beta)^{T-P^{\prime}-1}}\right) \\
& \leq \gamma^{(j)} T+\frac{2}{\gamma^{(j)}}\left(P^{\prime}+1\right) \log (m T) \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

holds for each $j \in[J]$, where we utilize

$$
\begin{aligned}
\log \frac{1}{\beta^{P^{\prime}}(1-\beta)^{T-P^{\prime}-1}} & =P^{\prime} \log T+\left(T-P^{\prime}-1\right) \log \left(1+\frac{1}{T-1}\right) \\
& \leq P^{\prime} \log T+\frac{T-P^{\prime}-1}{T-1} \\
& \leq P^{\prime} \log T+1
\end{aligned}
$$

and $\log 2>\frac{1}{2}$. By the definition of $\left\{\gamma^{(j)}\right\}_{j=1}^{J}$, there exists $j \in[J]$ such that

$$
\frac{\gamma^{(j)}}{2} \leq \sqrt{\frac{\left(P^{\prime}+1\right) \log (m T)}{T}} \leq \gamma^{(j)}\left(\Longleftrightarrow \sqrt{\frac{\left(P^{\prime}+1\right) \log (m T)}{T}} \leq \gamma^{(j)} \leq 2 \sqrt{\frac{\left(P^{\prime}+1\right) \log (m T)}{T}}\right)
$$

holds. For such $j$, by (17) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{t=1}^{T}\left(\ell_{t}^{\top} p_{t}^{(j)}-\ell_{t i_{t}^{*}}\right) \leq 4 \sqrt{T\left(P^{\prime}+1\right) \log (m T)} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, by (16) and (18),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{t=1}^{T}\left(\ell_{t}^{\top} p_{t}-\ell_{t i_{t}^{*}}\right) & \leq 2 \sqrt{T \log J}+4 \sqrt{T\left(P^{\prime}+1\right) \log (m T)} \\
& =2\left(\sqrt{T \log J}+\sqrt{4 T\left(P^{\prime}+1\right) \log (m T)}\right) \\
& \leq 2\left(2 \sqrt{T \log (1+\log T)}+\sqrt{4 T\left(P^{\prime}+1\right) \log (m T)}\right) \\
& \leq 8 \sqrt{T\left(\left(P^{\prime}+1\right) \log (m T)+\log (1+\log T)\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

holds, where the second inequality holds since for $T \geq 2$,

$$
\log J=\log \left(\left\lceil\log _{2} T\right\rceil\right) \leq \log \left(1+\log _{2} T\right) \leq 2 \log (1+\log T)
$$

holds.

## B. 2 Proof of Lemma 2

The procedure given by (10) can be expressed by

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{t+1}=x_{t}-\eta g_{t}, \quad x_{t+1} \in \underset{x \in[0,1]^{n}}{\operatorname{argmin}}\left\|x-y_{t+1}\right\|_{2}^{2} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have

$$
\left\|x_{t+1}-x_{t}^{*}\right\|_{2}^{2} \leq\left\|y_{t+1}-x_{t}^{*}\right\|_{2}^{2}=\left\|x_{t}-x_{t}^{*}-\eta g_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}=\left\|x_{t}-x_{t}^{*}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\eta^{2}\left\|g_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}-2 \eta g_{t}^{\top}\left(x_{t}-x_{t}^{*}\right)
$$

where the inequality follows from the second part of (19) and the generalized Pythagorean theorem, and the first equality follows from the first part of (19). By dividing both sides with $2 \eta$, we obtain

$$
g_{t}^{\top}\left(x_{t}-x_{t}^{*}\right) \leq \frac{\eta}{2}\left\|g_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{1}{2 \eta}\left(\left\|x_{t}-x_{t}^{*}\right\|_{2}^{2}-\left\|x_{t+1}-x_{t}^{*}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right) .
$$

By taking the sum for $t \in[T]$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{t=1}^{T} g_{t}^{\top} x_{t}-\sum_{t=1}^{T} g_{t}^{\top} x_{t}^{*} & \leq \sum_{t=1}^{T}\left(\frac{\eta}{2}\left\|g_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{1}{2 \eta}\left(\left\|x_{t}-x_{t}^{*}\right\|_{2}^{2}-\left\|x_{t+1}-x_{t}^{*}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right)\right) \\
& \leq \frac{\eta}{2} \sum_{t=1}^{T}\left\|g_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{\left\|x_{1}-x_{1}^{*}\right\|_{2}^{2}}{2 \eta}+\frac{1}{2 \eta} \sum_{t=1}^{T-1}\left(\left\|x_{t+1}-x_{t+1}^{*}\right\|_{2}^{2}-\left\|x_{t+1}-x_{t}^{*}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{\eta}{2} \sum_{t=1}^{T}\left\|g_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{n}{2 \eta}+\frac{1}{2 \eta} \sum_{t=1}^{T-1}\left(2 x_{t+1}-x_{t+1}^{*}-x_{t}^{*}\right)^{\top}\left(x_{t}^{*}-x_{t+1}^{*}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{\eta}{2} \sum_{t=1}^{T}\left\|g_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{n}{2 \eta}+\frac{1}{\eta} \sum_{t=1}^{T-1}\left\|x_{t}^{*}-x_{t+1}^{*}\right\|_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last inequality follows from $\left\|2 x_{t+1}-x_{t+1}^{*}-x_{t}^{*}\right\|_{\infty} \leq 2$.

## B. 3 Proof of Lemma 3

Define $\delta_{s}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{s}=\sum_{t=1}^{T}\left(f_{t}\left(X_{t}^{*}\right)-f_{t}\left(X_{t s}\right)\right) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $s=0,1, \ldots, k$. Then, for an arbitrary fixed $s \in\{0,1, \ldots, k-1\}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta_{s} & =\sum_{t=1}^{T}\left(f_{t}\left(X_{t}^{*}\right)-f_{t}\left(X_{t s}\right)\right) \\
& \leq \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{i \in X_{t}^{*}}\left(f_{t}\left(X_{t s} \cup\left\{i_{j}^{*}\right\}\right)-f_{t}\left(X_{t s}\right)\right) \\
& =\sum_{t=1}^{T}\left(-\sum_{i \in X_{t}^{*}} \ell_{t i}^{(s+1)}\right) \\
& =-k \sum_{t=1}^{T} \ell_{t i_{t, s+1}}^{(s+1)}+B_{T}^{(s+1)} \\
& =k\left(\delta_{s}-\delta_{s+1}\right)+B_{T}^{(s+1)}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the inequality follows from submodularity of $f_{t}$, the second equality follows from the definition (12), (13) of $\ell_{t i}^{(s+1)}$, the third equality follows from the definition (14) of $B_{T}^{(s+1)}$, and the fourth equality follows from (12), (13), and (20).

Thus,

$$
\delta_{s+1} \leq\left(1-\frac{1}{k}\right) \delta_{s}+\frac{1}{k} B_{T}^{(s+1)}
$$

holds for each $s \in\{0,1, \ldots, k\}$, and hence, we have

$$
\delta_{s+1} \leq\left(1-\frac{1}{k}\right)^{s+1} \delta_{0}+\frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^{s+1}\left(1-\frac{1}{k}\right)^{s+1-j} B_{T}^{(j)}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{k}-\left(1-\frac{1}{k}\right)^{k} \delta_{0} \leq \frac{1}{k} \sum_{s=1}^{k}\left(1-\frac{1}{k}\right)^{k-s} B_{T}^{(s)} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds. On the other hand, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta_{k}-\left(1-\frac{1}{k}\right)^{k} \delta_{0} & =\left(1-\left(1-\frac{1}{k}\right)^{k}\right) \sum_{t=1}^{T} f_{t}\left(X_{t}^{*}\right)-\sum_{t=1}^{T} f_{t}\left(X_{t k}\right)+\left(1-\frac{1}{k}\right)^{k} \sum_{t=1}^{T} f_{t}\left(X_{t 0}\right) \\
& \geq\left(1-\frac{1}{\mathrm{e}}\right) \sum_{t=1}^{T} f_{t}\left(X_{t}^{*}\right)-\sum_{t=1}^{T} f_{t}\left(X_{t}\right) \\
& =R_{T}^{(1-1 / \mathrm{e})}\left(\left\{X_{t}^{*}\right\}_{t=1}^{T}\right) \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

where the first equality follows from the definition (20) of $\delta_{s}$, the inequality follows from the nonnegativity of $f_{t}$ and $\left(1-\frac{1}{k}\right)^{k} \leq \frac{1}{\mathrm{e}}$, and the second equality follows from the definition (2) of $R_{T}^{\alpha}$. By (21) and (22), we obtain

$$
R_{T}^{(1-1 / \mathrm{e})}\left(\left\{X_{t}^{*}\right\}_{t=1}^{T}\right) \leq \frac{1}{k} \sum_{s=1}^{k}\left(1-\frac{1}{k}\right)^{k-s} B_{T}^{(s)}
$$

## B. 4 Proof of Lemma 4

For proving Lemma 4, we first state Lemmas 5 and 6.
Lemma 5 (Lemma 2.1 of Buchbinder et al. (2015)). For each $t \in[T]$ and for each $s \in[n], a_{t s}+b_{t s} \geq 0$ holds.
Lemma 6. It holds that

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[R_{T}^{(1 / 2)}\left(\left\{X_{t}^{*}\right\}_{t=1}^{T}\right)\right] \leq \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{t=1}^{T}\left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{s \in X_{t}^{*}}\left(1-q_{t}^{(s)}\right) a_{t s}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{s \in[n] \backslash X_{t}^{*}} q_{t}^{(s)} b_{t s}-\frac{1}{4} \sum_{s=1}^{n}\left(q_{t s} a_{t s}+\left(1-q_{t s}\right) b_{t s}\right)\right)\right]
$$

Proof of Lemma 6. Let $Z_{t s}=\left(X_{t}^{*} \cup X_{t s}\right) \cap Y_{t s}$. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{t}\left(X_{t}^{*}\right)=\sum_{s=1}^{n}\left(f_{t}\left(Z_{t, s-1}\right)-f_{t}\left(Z_{t s}\right)\right)+f_{t}\left(X_{t}\right) \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds.

- Suppose that $s \in X_{t}^{*}$. If $X_{t s}=X_{t, s-1} \cup\{s\}$ (with probability $q_{t}^{(s)}$ ), we have

$$
f_{t}\left(Z_{t, s-1}\right)=f_{t}\left(Z_{t s}\right)
$$

Otherwise (with probability $1-q_{t}^{(s)}$ ), by submodularity,

$$
f_{t}\left(Z_{t, s-1}\right)-f_{t}\left(Z_{t s}\right) \leq f_{t}\left(X_{t, s-1} \cup\{s\}\right)-f_{t}\left(X_{t, s-1}\right)=a_{t s}
$$

holds since $Z_{t, s-1}=\left(X_{t, s-1} \cup\{s\}\right) \cup Z_{t s}$ and $X_{t, s-1}=\left(X_{t, s-1} \cup\{s\}\right) \cap Z_{t s}$. Thus, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}\left[f_{t}\left(Z_{t, s-1}\right)-f_{t}\left(Z_{t s}\right)\right] \leq \mathbb{E}\left[\left(1-q_{t}^{(s)}\right) a_{t s}\right] \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Suppose that $s \notin X_{t}^{*}$. If $X_{t s}=X_{t, s-1} \cup\{s\}$ (with probability $q_{t}^{(s)}$ ), by submodularity,

$$
f_{t}\left(Z_{t, s-1}\right)-f_{t}\left(Z_{t s}\right) \leq f_{t}\left(Y_{t, s-1} \backslash\{s\}\right)-f_{t}\left(Y_{t, s-1}\right)=b_{t s}
$$

holds since $Z_{t, s-1}=\left(Y_{t, s-1} \backslash\{s\}\right) \cup Z_{t s}$ and $Y_{t, s-1}=\left(Y_{t, s-1} \backslash\{s\}\right) \cap Z_{t s}$. Otherwise (with probability $1-q_{t}^{(s)}$, we have

$$
f_{t}\left(Z_{t, s-1}\right)=f_{t}\left(Z_{t s}\right) .
$$

Thus, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}\left[f_{t}\left(Z_{t, s-1}\right)-f_{t}\left(Z_{t s}\right)\right] \leq \mathbb{E}\left[q_{t}^{(s)} b_{t s}\right] \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, by combining (23), (24), and (25), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}\left[f_{t}\left(X_{t}^{*}\right)-f_{t}\left(X_{t}\right)\right] \leq \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{s \in X_{t}^{*}}\left(1-q_{t}^{(s)}\right) a_{t s}+\sum_{s \in[n] \backslash X_{t}^{*}} q_{t}^{(s)} b_{t s}\right] . \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $f_{t}\left(X_{t}\right)$ can be decomposed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{t}\left(X_{t}\right)=f_{t}\left(X_{t n}\right)=\sum_{s=1}^{n}\left(f_{t}\left(X_{t s}\right)-f_{t}\left(X_{t, s-1}\right)\right)+f_{t}\left(X_{t 0}\right) . \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Step 6 of Algorithm 3, we have

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[f_{t}\left(X_{t s}\right)-f_{t}\left(X_{t, s-1}\right)\right]=\mathbb{E}\left[q_{t}^{(s)}\left(f_{t}\left(X_{t, s-1} \cup\{i\}\right)-f_{t}\left(X_{t, s-1}\right)\right)\right]=\mathbb{E}\left[q_{t}^{(s)} a_{t s}\right] .
$$

By the above equation and (27), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}\left[f_{t}\left(X_{t}\right)-f_{t}\left(X_{t 0}\right)\right]=\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{s=1}^{n} q_{t}^{(s)} a_{t s}\right] . \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}\left[f_{t}\left(Y_{t}\right)-f_{t}\left(Y_{t 0}\right)\right]=\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{s=1}^{n}\left(1-q_{t}^{(s)}\right) b_{t s}\right] \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds. By (26), (28), and (29), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left[R_{T}^{(1 / 2)}\left(\left\{X_{t}^{*}\right\}_{t=1}^{T}\right)\right] & =\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=1}^{T} f_{t}\left(X_{t}^{*}\right)-\sum_{t=1}^{T} f_{t}\left(X_{t}\right)\right] \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}\left[f_{t}\left(X_{t}^{*}\right)-f_{t}\left(X_{t}\right)\right]-\frac{1}{4} \mathbb{E}\left[f_{t}\left(X_{t}\right)-f_{t}\left(X_{t 0}\right)\right]-\frac{1}{4} \mathbb{E}\left[f_{t}\left(Y_{t}\right)-f_{t}\left(Y_{t 0}\right)\right] \\
& \leq \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{t=1}^{T}\left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{s \in X_{t}^{*}}\left(1-q_{t}^{(s)}\right) a_{t s}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{s \in[n] \backslash X_{t}^{*}} q_{t}^{(s)} b_{t s}-\frac{1}{4} \sum_{s=1}^{n}\left(q_{t s} a_{t s}+\left(1-q_{t s}\right) b_{t s}\right)\right)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

It holds from the definition of $\ell_{t}^{(s)}$ that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{t=1}^{T}\left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{s \in X_{t}^{*}}\left(1-q_{t}^{(s)}\right) a_{t s}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{s \in[n] \backslash X_{t}^{*}} q_{t}^{(s)} b_{t s}-\frac{1}{4} \sum_{s=1}^{n}\left(q_{t s} a_{t s}+\left(1-q_{t s}\right) b_{t s}\right)\right)\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \sum_{s=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{t \in[T]} \ell_{t}^{(s) \top} p_{t}^{(s)}-\sum_{t \in[T]: s \in X_{t}^{*}} \ell_{t 1}^{(s)}-\sum_{t \in[T]: s \notin X_{t}^{*}} \ell_{t 2}^{(s)}\right]-\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{s=1}^{n} \sum_{t=1}^{T}\left(\frac{1}{2} \ell_{t}^{(s)^{\top}} p_{t}^{(s)}+\frac{1}{4}\left(q_{t}^{(s)} a_{t s}+\left(1-q_{t}^{(s)}\right) b_{t s}\right)\right)\right] . \tag{30}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $p_{t 1}^{(s)}=\frac{1}{2}\left(4 q_{t}^{(s)}-1\right)$ and $p_{t 2}^{(s)}=\frac{1}{2}\left(3-4 q_{t}^{(s)}\right)$, by Step 5 of Algorithm 3, it holds that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} \ell_{t}^{(s)^{\top}} p_{t}^{(s)}+\frac{1}{4}\left(q_{t}^{(s)} a_{t s}+\left(1-q_{t}^{(s)}\right) b_{t s}\right) & =\frac{1}{4}\left(-\left(1-q_{t}^{(s)}\right) a_{t s}\left(4 q_{t}^{(s)}-1\right)-q_{t}^{(s)} b_{t s}\left(3-4 q_{t}^{(s)}\right)+q_{t}^{(s)} a_{t s}+\left(1-q_{t}^{(s)}\right) b_{t s}\right) \\
& =\frac{a_{t s}+b_{t s}}{4}\left(2 q_{t}^{(s)}-1\right)^{2} \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

By the above inequality, (30), and Lemma 6, we obtain Lemma 4.

## B. 5 Proof of Theorem 5

To prove Theorem 5, we use the following lemma.
Lemma 7. Let $X=B_{1}+B_{2}+\cdots+B_{m}$, where each $B_{i} \in\{-1,1\}$ follows a Bernoulli distribution of parameter $1 / 2$, independent and identically distributed for $i \in[m]$. We then have $\mathbb{E}[|X|] \geq \sqrt{m / 3}$.

Proof of Lemma 7. By Hölder's inequality $\left(\mathbb{E}[|A||B|] \leq\left(\mathbb{E}\left[|A|^{p}\right]\right)^{1 / p}\left(\mathbb{E}\left[|B|^{q}\right]\right)^{1 / q}(p>0, q>0,1 / p+1 / q=1)\right)$ with $A=|X|^{4 / 3}, B=|X|^{2 / 3}, p=3$, and $q=3 / 2$,

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[|X|^{2}\right]=\mathbb{E}[A B]=\mathbb{E}[|A||B|] \leq\left(\mathbb{E}\left[|A|^{3}\right]\right)^{1 / 3}\left(\mathbb{E}\left[|B|^{3 / 2}\right]\right)^{2 / 3}=\left(\mathbb{E}\left[|X|^{4}\right]\right)^{1 / 3}(\mathbb{E}[|X|])^{2 / 3}
$$

holds, which implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}[|X|] \geq \frac{\left(\mathbb{E}\left[|X|^{2}\right]\right)^{3 / 2}}{\left(\mathbb{E}\left[|X|^{4}\right]\right)^{1 / 2}} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}\left[|X|^{2}\right]=\mathbb{E}\left[X^{2}\right]=\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{m} B_{i}^{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j \in[m] \backslash\{i\}} B_{i} B_{j}\right]=\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{m} B_{i}^{2}\right]=m \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the third equality follows from $\mathbb{E}\left[B_{j} \mid B_{i}\right]=0$ for $j \neq i$ and the fourth equality follows from $B_{i} \in\{-1,1\}$ for each $i \in[m]$. Similarly, by utilizing $\mathbb{E}\left[B_{j} \mid B_{i}\right]=0$ for $j \neq i$ and $B_{i} \in\{-1,1\}$ for each $i \in[m]$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}\left[|X|^{4}\right]=\mathbb{E}\left[X^{4}\right]=\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{m} B_{i}^{4}+\frac{\binom{4}{2}}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j \in[m] \backslash\{i\}} B_{i}^{2} B_{j}^{2}\right]=m+3 m(m-1) \leq 3 m^{2} \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, by (31), (32), and (33), we have

$$
\mathbb{E}[|X|] \geq \frac{m^{3 / 2}}{\left(3 m^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}}=\sqrt{\frac{m}{3}}
$$

Let $B_{t} \in\{-1,1\}$ be a random variable which depends on a Bernoulli distribution of parameter $1 / 2$, independent and identically distributed for $t \in[T]$. Let $n^{\prime}=\min \{n+P, T\}, T^{\prime}=\left\lfloor T / n^{\prime}\right\rfloor$, and $n^{\prime \prime}=\min \left\{n^{\prime}, n\right\}$. For each $i \in\left[n^{\prime \prime}\right]$, define $f_{t}: 2^{[n]} \rightarrow[0,1]$ by

$$
f_{t}(X)= \begin{cases}\left(1-B_{t}\right) / 2 & (i \in X) \\ \left(1+B_{t}\right) / 2 & (i \notin X)\end{cases}
$$

for $t=(i-1) T^{\prime}+1,(i-1) T^{\prime}+2, \ldots, i T^{\prime}$. For $t=n^{\prime \prime} T^{\prime}+1, n^{\prime \prime} T^{\prime}+2, \ldots, n^{\prime} T^{\prime}$, let

$$
f_{t}(X)= \begin{cases}\left(1-B_{t}\right) / 2 & (1 \in X) \\ \left(1+B_{t}\right) / 2 & (1 \notin X)\end{cases}
$$

Define $f_{t}(X)=0$ for $t>n^{\prime} T^{\prime}$. Let $\left\{X_{t}\right\}_{t=1}^{T}$ denote a (random) output sequence of an arbitrary fixed algorithm. Then, $X_{t}$ is independent of $f_{t}$ since $X_{t}$ depends only on $f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{t-1}$ which are independent of $f_{t}$. By combining this fact and $\mathbb{E}\left[f_{t}(X)\right]=1 / 2$ for all $X \subseteq[n]$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}\left[f_{t}\left(X_{t}\right)\right]=1 / 2 \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $t \in\left[n^{\prime} T^{\prime}\right]$, where the expectation is taken for $X_{t}$ and $B_{t}$. Define $X^{*} \subseteq[n]$ so that

$$
i \in X^{*} \Longleftrightarrow \sum_{t=(i-1) T^{\prime}+1}^{i T} B_{t} \geq 0
$$

for all $i \in\left[n^{\prime \prime}\right]$.
Set $X_{t}^{*}=X^{*}$ for $t \in\left[n^{\prime \prime} T^{\prime}\right]$. For each $j \in[P]$, define

$$
X^{(j)}= \begin{cases}X^{*} \cup\{1\} & \left(\sum_{t=\left(n^{\prime \prime}+j-1\right) T^{\prime}+1}^{\left(n^{\prime \prime}+j\right) T^{\prime}} B_{t} \geq 0\right) \\ X^{*} \backslash\{1\} & (\text { otherwise })\end{cases}
$$

and set $X_{t}^{*}=X^{(j)}$ for all $t=\left(n^{\prime \prime}+j-1\right) T^{\prime}+1,\left(n^{\prime \prime}+j-1\right) T^{\prime}+2, \ldots,\left(n^{\prime \prime}+j\right) T^{\prime}$. Set $X_{t}^{*}=X_{\left(n^{\prime \prime}+P\right) T^{\prime}}$ for $t>\left(n^{\prime \prime}+P\right) T^{\prime}$. Then, we have

$$
\sum_{t=1}^{T-1}\left|X_{t}^{*} \triangle X_{t+1}^{*}\right| \leq P
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{t=1}^{T} f_{t}\left(X_{t}^{*}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{n^{\prime}} \sum_{t=(j-1) T^{\prime}+1}^{j T^{\prime}} f_{t}\left(X_{t}^{*}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{n^{\prime}}\left(\frac{T^{\prime}}{2}-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=(j-1) T^{\prime}+1}^{j T^{\prime}}\left|B_{t}\right|\right) \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, by combining (34) and (35), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left[R_{T}\left(\left\{X_{t}^{*}\right\}_{t=1}^{T}\right)\right] & \left.=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n^{\prime}} \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{t=(j-1) T^{\prime}+1}^{j T^{\prime}}\left|B_{t}\right|\right] \geq \frac{1}{2} n^{\prime} \sqrt{\frac{T^{\prime}}{3}}=\frac{n^{\prime}}{2 \sqrt{3}} \sqrt{\left\lvert\, \frac{T}{n^{\prime}}\right.}\right] \\
& \geq \frac{n^{\prime}}{2 \sqrt{3}} \sqrt{\frac{T}{2 n^{\prime}}} \geq \frac{1}{2 \sqrt{6}} \sqrt{n^{\prime} T}=\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{6}} \sqrt{T \min \{T, n+P\}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the expectation is taken over the randomness of $\left\{B_{t}\right\}_{t=1}^{T}$ and $\left\{X_{t}\right\}_{t=1}^{T}$. Therefore, there exists a realization of $\left\{B_{t}\right\}_{t=1}^{T}$ for which this inequality holds.
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