

Appendix for RANKDISTIL: Knowledge Distillation for Ranking

A Coupled RANKDISTIL Algorithm

We describe the full algorithm for coupled RANKDISTIL in this section. As mentioned earlier, computing the gradient of coupled RANKDISTIL-LOSS in Equation 3 can be expensive since it requires iterating over all the permutations. Here, we use a Monte-Carlo approximation to obtain an unbiased estimate of the gradient. Algorithm 2 lists the pseudo-code for the algorithm. Note that the algorithm is with Monte-Carlo approximation on single permutation, however, in practice we use a batch of permutations.

Algorithm 2 Coupled RANKDISTIL

Initialization: Initial predictor f , Teacher predictor f^t , distribution $Q(\cdot|t)$, loss function $\ell_{\text{RANKDISTIL}}$, integer $p \geq k$, batch size m , mined batch size $b \leq m$, thresholding function τ

for $r = 0, \dots, R - 1$ **do**

Uniformly randomly select an example $\{x, y\}$

Sample index set B of size m using the distribution $Q(\cdot|f^t(x))$

Compute $P = \text{TOP}_p(f^t(x))$ and $N = \text{TOP}_{b,B}(f(x))$

Compute $s_l = f_l(x)$ for $l \in P \cup N$

Randomly sample a permutation π according to r -Plackett's model $\mathbb{P}_{\tau(\alpha t, P)}(\cdot|P \cup N)$

Compute gradient $g_r = -\nabla \log \mathbb{P}_s(\pi|P \cup N)$ (according to Definition 4)

Update predictor f using the gradient g_r

end for

A.1 Proof of Proposition 5

Recall that

$$\mathbb{P}_s(\pi|S) = \frac{1}{(|S| - r)!} \prod_{j=1}^r \frac{\exp(s_{\pi(j)})}{\sum_{l=j}^{|S|} \exp(s_{\pi(l)})}.$$

To prove the first part of the result, we observe the following:

$$\sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{P}(S)} \mathbb{P}_s(\pi|S) = \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{P}(S)} \frac{1}{(|S| - r)!} \prod_{j=1}^r \frac{\exp(s_{\pi(j)})}{\sum_{l=j}^{|S|} \exp(s_{\pi(l)})}.$$

Let \mathcal{G}_l be the set of permutations of l elements selected from S . Then, it is easy to see that

$$\sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{P}(S)} \mathbb{P}_s(\pi|S) = \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{P}(S)} \frac{1}{(|S| - r)!} \prod_{j=1}^r \frac{\exp(s_{\pi(j)})}{\sum_{l=j}^{|S|} \exp(s_{\pi(l)})} = \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{G}_r} \prod_{j=1}^r \frac{\exp(s_{\pi(j)})}{\sum_{l=j}^{|S|} \exp(s_{\pi(l)})}$$

This can be shown by using the principle of mathematical induction on size of \mathcal{G}_r . It is easy to see that it holds for all subsets of size 1. Suppose it holds for all subsets of size $r - 1$. For any permutation π , we use $\{\pi\}$ to denote the set of all items in π . We consider the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{G}_r} \prod_{j=1}^r \frac{\exp(s_{\pi(j)})}{\sum_{l=j}^{|S|} \exp(s_{\pi(l)})} &= \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{G}_{r-1}} \prod_{j=1}^{r-1} \frac{\exp(s_{\pi(j)})}{\sum_{l=j}^{|S|} \exp(s_{\pi(l)})} \sum_{i \in S - \{\pi\}} \frac{\exp(s_i)}{\sum_{l \in S - \{\pi\}} \exp(s_{\pi(l)})} \\ &= \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{G}_{r-1}} \prod_{j=1}^{r-1} \frac{\exp(s_{\pi(j)})}{\sum_{l=j}^{|S|} \exp(s_{\pi(l)})} = 1. \end{aligned}$$

The second equality follows from the induction hypothesis. Therefore, it also holds for all size $r \leq K$. The base case for $r = 1$ is easy to verify. The result follows by principle of mathematical induction.

A.2 Computation of Coupled RANKDISTIL-LOSS

For the purpose of the discussion, let us assume $M = \infty$. Coupled RANKDISTIL-LOSS can be computed in $O(p^r r)$. Recall that coupled RANKDISTIL-LOSS is

$$\ell_{\text{RANKDISTIL}}(t, s, P, N) = - \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{P}(P \cup N)} \mathbb{P}_{\tau(\alpha t, P)}(\pi | P \cup N) \log \mathbb{P}_s(\pi | P \cup N).$$

First note that we only have to consider permutations where items in N appear in the last $|N|$ positions. This is due to the fact that $\mathbb{P}_{\tau(\alpha t, P)}(\pi | P \cup N) = 0$ when N appear in the last $|N|$ positions due to the thresholding function τ . Furthermore, only the first r positions of the permutation are important. In particular,

$$\mathbb{P}_{\tau(\alpha t, P)}(\pi_1 | P \cup N) \log \mathbb{P}_s(\pi_1 | P \cup N) = \mathbb{P}_{\tau(\alpha t, P)}(\pi_2 | P \cup N) \log \mathbb{P}_s(\pi_2 | P \cup N)$$

when first r positions of the π_1 and π_2 are the same. The number of such unique permutations is $O(p^r)$. The time complexity for computing the loss for a permutation can be reduced to $O(r)$ if $\sum_{i \in N} e^{s_i}$ is computed beforehand. This can be obtained by computing $\sum_{i \in P \cup N} e^{s_i}$ once and reusing them during the loss computation.

B Example Instantiations of RANKDISTIL

We list a few interesting instantiations of RANKDISTIL-LOSS in this section.

Binary RANKDISTIL-LOSS

- Sigmoid CE + logistic

$$\ell_{\text{RANKDISTIL}}(t, s, P, N) = \sum_{i \in P} \sum_{z \in \{-1, 1\}} \left\{ -\frac{1}{1 + e^{-zt_i}} \log \frac{1}{1 + e^{-zs_i}} \right\} + \sum_{i \in N} \log(1 + e^{s_i})$$

- Softmax CE + Logistic

$$\ell_{\text{RANKDISTIL}}(t, s, P, N) = \sum_{i \in P} \left\{ -\frac{e^{t_i}}{\sum_{i \in P} e^{t_i}} \log \frac{e^{s_i}}{\sum_{i \in P} e^{s_i}} \right\} + \sum_{i \in N} \log(1 + e^{s_i})$$

- 2-Regression + Square Hinge

$$\ell_{\text{RANKDISTIL}}(t, s, P, N) = \|t_P - s_P\|^2 + \sum_{i \in N} \max\{0, \gamma + s_i\}^2$$

Pairwise RANKDISTIL-LOSS

- Softmax CE + Pairwise Hinge

$$\ell_{\text{RANKDISTIL}}(t, s, P, N) = \sum_{i \in P} \left\{ -\frac{e^{t_i}}{\sum_{i \in P} e^{t_i}} \log \frac{e^{s_i}}{\sum_{i \in P} e^{s_i}} \right\} + \sum_{i \in N} \sum_{j \in P} \max\{0, \gamma + s_i - s_j\}$$

- Pairwise Hinge + Pairwise Hinge

$$\ell_{\text{RANKDISTIL}}(t, s, P, N) = \sum_{i \neq j \in P} \sum_{z \in \{-1, 1\}} \mathbf{1}(z(t_i - t_j)) \max\{0, \gamma + z(s_j - s_i)\} + \sum_{i \in N} \sum_{j \in P} \max\{0, \gamma + s_i - s_j\}$$

- Pairwise Logistic + Pairwise Logistic

$$\ell_{\text{RANKDISTIL}}(t, s, P, N) = \sum_{i \neq j \in P} \sum_{z \in \{-1, 1\}} \mathbf{1}(z(t_i - t_j)) \log(1 + e^{z(s_j - s_i)}) + \sum_{i \in N} \sum_{j \in P} \log(1 + e^{s_i - s_j})$$

C Consistency results

C.1 Proof of Claim 3

The proof of Claim 3 follows easily from Definition 2. First note that $[s^*(x)]_{[p+1]} < [s^*(x)]_{[p]}$. Furthermore, $p \geq k$ and $\operatorname{argmax}_i s^*(x) = \operatorname{argmax}_i f^t(x)$ for all $i \in [p]$. Thus, it follows that the items in top- k of $s^*(x)$ and $f^t(x)$ are exactly the same (including the order according to the respective scores). Since f^t is k -compatible, the result follows.

C.2 Proof of Theorem 6

We provide the proof for the case where $b = m$ in Algorithm 2. The proof for $b < m$ is similar. First, recall that RANKDISTIL-LOSS is

$$\ell_d(t, s) = \mathbb{E}_{B \sim Q(\cdot|t)} \left[\ell_{\text{RANKDISTIL}}(t, s, \text{TOP}_p(t), \text{TOP}_{b,B}(s)) \right],$$

where

$$\ell_{\text{RANKDISTIL}}(t, s, P, N) = \mathbb{E}_{\pi \sim \mathbb{P}_{\tau(\alpha t, P)}(\cdot|P \cup N)} [-\log \mathbb{P}_s(\pi|P \cup N)]$$

Note that P is always $\text{TOP}_p(t)$. We choose M such that $[f^t(x)]_i \geq -M$ for all $i \in [K]$. Let us analyze a minimizer $\bar{s} \in \operatorname{argmin}_s \ell_{\text{RANKDISTIL}}(t, s, P, N)$ for a particular a $N \subseteq [K] - \text{TOP}_p(t)$. We claim that $\bar{s}_N = -\alpha M$ and $\bar{s}_P = \alpha t_P$. This is obtained from the fact that minimizer of the cross-entropy $\ell_{\text{RANKDISTIL}}(t, s, P, N)$ is when the scores match (this can be easily verified by finding point such that $\nabla \ell_{\text{RANKDISTIL}}(t, s, P, N) = 0$). Let s^* such that $s^*_P = \alpha t_P$ and $s^*_{K-\text{TOP}_p(t)} = -\alpha M$ then $s^* \in \operatorname{argmin}_s \ell_{\text{RANKDISTIL}}(t, s, P, N)$ for all $N \subseteq [K]$. Therefore, by Lemma 9, $s^* \in \operatorname{argmin}_s \ell_d(t, s)$, which completes the proof.

C.3 Proof of Theorem 7

We provide the proof for the case where $b = m$ in Algorithm 1. The proof for $b < m$ is similar. Since the $\ell_{\text{RANKDISTIL}}$ in binary RANKDISTIL-LOSS case is separable in P and N , RANKDISTIL-LOSS can be written as

$$\ell_d(t, s) = \Psi(t, s, \text{TOP}_p(t)) + \mathbb{E}_{B \sim Q(\cdot|t)} \sum_{i \in B} \varphi(-s_i)$$

From the above form, it is easy to see that $s_i^* \leq \gamma$ for all $i \notin \text{TOP}_p(t)$ since φ is strictly decreasing in $(-\infty, -\gamma]$ and $\operatorname{supp}(Q(\cdot|t)) = [K] - \text{TOP}_p(t)$. Furthermore, $\operatorname{argmax}_i s_P^* = \operatorname{argmax}_i f_P^t(x)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$, $i \in [p]$ and $[f^t(x)]_{[p]} > \gamma$. Combining these facts gives us the desired result.

C.4 Proof of Theorem 8

The proof is similar to the binary case. Similar to the binary case, we provide the proof for the case where $b = m$ in Algorithm 1. The proof for $b < m$ is similar. In the pairwise case, RANKDISTIL-LOSS can be written as

$$\ell_d(t, s) = \Psi(t, s, \text{TOP}_p(t)) + \mathbb{E}_{B \sim Q(\cdot|t)} \sum_{i \in B} \sum_{j \in \text{TOP}_p(t)} \varphi(s_j - s_i)$$

From the above form, it is easy to see that $s_i^* < s_j^*$ for all $i \notin \text{TOP}_p(t)$ and $j \in \text{TOP}_p(t)$ since φ is strictly decreasing in $(-\infty, 0]$ and $\operatorname{supp}(Q(\cdot|t)) = [K] - \text{TOP}_p(t)$. Also, $\operatorname{argmax}_i s_P^* = \operatorname{argmax}_i f_P^t(x)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$, $i \in [p]$. Putting these facts together completes the proof for the result.

Lemma 9. *Suppose $F(u) = \mathbb{E}_z[G(u, z)]$ where $G : \mathbb{R}^K \times \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. If $u^* \in \operatorname{argmin}_u G(u, z)$ for all $z \in \mathcal{Z}$, then $u^* \in \operatorname{argmin}_u F(u)$.*

Proof. The result trivially follows from the definition of argmin . □