
Supplementary Material for the Paper: “Stochastic Bandit Based on Empirical Moments”

Junya Honda

Akimichi Takemura

The University of Tokyo

In this document we summarize results on Tchebycheff systems and moment spaces and prove Theorem 3.

B Tchebycheff Systems and Moment Spaces

In this section all functions and measures are defined on $[a, b]$ ($a < b$) whereas they are on $[0, 1]$ elsewhere. For any set of points $\{x_1, \dots, x_l\}$, we always assume $a \leq x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_l \leq b$.

Definition 1. Let $u_0(x), \dots, u_d(x)$ denote continuous real-valued functions on $[a, b]$. These functions are called a Tchebycheff system (or T -system) if determinants

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} u_0(x_0) & u_1(x_0) & \cdots & u_d(x_0) \\ u_0(x_1) & u_1(x_1) & \cdots & u_d(x_1) \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ u_0(x_d) & u_1(x_d) & \cdots & u_d(x_d) \end{pmatrix} \quad (15)$$

are positive for all $\{x_0, \dots, x_d\}$.

A typical T -system is $u_i(x) = x^i$ ($i = 0, 1, \dots, d$), where (15) is represented as the Vandermonde determinant

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_0 & \cdots & x_0^d \\ 1 & x_1 & \cdots & x_1^d \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 1 & x_d & \cdots & x_d^d \end{pmatrix} = \prod_{0 \leq i < j \leq d} (x_j - x_i) > 0.$$

Let $Z(u)$ of a function $u(x)$ denote the number of distinct points $x \in [a, b]$ such that $u(x) = 0$. Then T -systems are discriminated by the following proposition.

Proposition 3 (Karlin and Studden (1966), Chap. I, Theorem 4.1). *If a system $\{u_i\}_{i=0}^d$ of continuous functions on $[a, b]$ satisfies $Z(u) \leq d$ for all*

$$u(x) = \sum_{i=0}^d a_i u_i(x), \quad \{a_i\} \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1} \setminus \{0^{d+1}\},$$

then $(u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{d-1}, u_d)$ or $(u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{d-1}, -u_d)$ is a T -system.

Lemma 3. *For any p and $q > 0$ satisfying $b < p/q$, $(1, x, \dots, x^d, -\log(p - qx))$ is a T -system on $[a, b]$.*

Proof. Let $b' \in (b, p/q)$ be sufficiently close to p/q and consider function

$$u(x) = \sum_{m=0}^d a_m x^m + a_{d+1} \log(p - qx)$$

on $x \in [a, b']$. Since the derivative of $u(x)$ is written as

$$\frac{du(x)}{dx} = \frac{(p - qx) \sum_{m=1}^d a_m x^{m-1} - a_{d+1} q}{p - qx},$$

$u(x)$ has at most d extreme points in $[a, b']$. Therefore $Z(u) \leq d + 1$ and $(1, x, \dots, x^d, \log(p - qx))$ or $(1, x, \dots, x^d, -\log(p - qx))$ is a T -system on $[a, b']$ from Prop. 3.

The determinant (15) for the system $(1, x, \dots, x^d, \log(p - qx))$ is written as

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_0 & \cdots & x_0^d & \log(p - qx_0) \\ 1 & x_1 & \cdots & x_1^d & \log(p - qx_1) \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & x_{d+1} & \cdots & x_{d+1}^d & \log(p - qx_{d+1}) \end{pmatrix} \\ = \sum_{m=0}^{d+1} (-1)^{d+m+1} \left(\prod_{0 \leq i < j \leq d+1: i, j \neq m} (x_j - x_i) \right) \cdot \log(p - qx_m).$$

For the case that $x_{d+1} = b'$ with $b' \uparrow p/q$, $\log(p - qx_{d+1})$ goes to $-\infty$ and the sign of the determinant is controlled by the term involving $\log(p - qx_{d+1})$, which is written as

$$(-1)^{2d+2} \left(\prod_{0 \leq i < j \leq d+1: i, j \neq d+1} (x_j - x_i) \right) \log(p - qx_{d+1}) < 0.$$

Then, $(1, x, \dots, x^d, \log(p - qx))$ cannot be a T -system on $[a, b']$ for b' sufficiently close to p/q and therefore $(1, x, \dots, x^d, -\log(p - qx))$ has to be a T -system on $[a, b']$. From the definition of T -system, it also is a T -system on $[a, b] \subset [a, b']$. \square

Let \mathcal{V} be the family of positive measures on $[a, b]$ and define a subset $\mathcal{V}(\tilde{\mathbf{M}})$ of \mathcal{V} for a vector $\tilde{\mathbf{M}} = (M_0, M_1, \dots, M_d)$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V}(\tilde{\mathbf{M}}) &= \left\{ \sigma \in \mathcal{V} : \forall m \in \{0, \dots, d\}, \int_a^b x^m d\sigma(x) = M_m \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

The notion of *moment spaces* is essential to examine properties of T -systems.

Definition 2. The moment space $\mathcal{M}_{d+1} \subset \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$ with respect to the T -system $\{u_i\}$ is given by

$$\mathcal{M}_{d+1} \equiv \left\{ \left(\int_a^b u_0(x) d\sigma(x), \dots, \int_a^b u_d(x) d\sigma(x) \right) : \sigma \in \mathcal{V} \right\}.$$

Consider the case that $\tilde{\mathbf{M}} \in \mathcal{M}_{d+1}$ satisfies

$$M_m = \sum_{i=1}^l f_i u_m(x_i) \quad (m = 0, \dots, d) \quad (17)$$

with $x_1, \dots, x_l \in [a, b]$ and $f_1, \dots, f_l > 0$ for any finite l . We call such an expression *representation* of $\tilde{\mathbf{M}}$. A representation of $\tilde{\mathbf{M}}$ corresponds uniquely to the measure

$$\sigma = \sum_{i=1}^l f_i \delta(x_i) \in \mathcal{V}$$

for the delta measure $\delta(x)$ at point x . We sometimes identify the measure σ with the representation of $\tilde{\mathbf{M}}$. The measure σ is a probability measure if $\sum_i f_i = 1$.

Similarly to the index of the measure given in Sect. 4, define the index of the representation (17) as the number of the points (x_1, \dots, x_l) under the special convention that the points a, b are counted as one half. A representation is called *principal* if its index is $(d+1)/2$. Furthermore, a principal representation is *upper* if (x_1, \dots, x_l) contains b and *lower* otherwise.

For the proof of Theorem 3, it is necessary to study the nature on the set $\mathcal{V}(\tilde{\mathbf{M}})$. It differs according to whether $\tilde{\mathbf{M}}$ is a boundary point of \mathcal{M}_{d+1} or an interior point of \mathcal{M}_{d+1} .

Proposition 4 (Karlin and Studden (1966), Chap. II, Theorem 2.1). $\tilde{\mathbf{M}} \in \mathcal{M}_{d+1}$ is a boundary point of \mathcal{M}_{d+1} if and only if there exists a representation of $\tilde{\mathbf{M}}$ with index at most $d/2$. Moreover, if $\tilde{\mathbf{M}}$ is a boundary point of \mathcal{M}_{d+1} then $\mathcal{V}(\tilde{\mathbf{M}})$ has a unique element.

Proposition 5 (Karlin and Studden (1966), Chap. II, Corollary 3.1). If $\tilde{\mathbf{M}}$ is an interior point of \mathcal{M}_{d+1} then there exist precisely one upper and one lower principal representations of $\tilde{\mathbf{M}}$.

We use Prop. 5 implicitly in Prop. 6 below. Prop. 6 is the main result of this section.

Proposition 6 (Karlin and Studden (1966), Chap. III, Theorem 1.1). Assume (u_0, u_1, \dots, u_d) and $(u_0, u_1, \dots, u_d, h)$ are T -systems and $\tilde{\mathbf{M}}$ is an interior point of \mathcal{M}_{d+1} . Then

$$\max_{\sigma \in \mathcal{V}(\tilde{\mathbf{M}})} \int_a^b h(x) d\sigma(x)$$

is attained uniquely by $\bar{\sigma}$, the upper principal representation of $\tilde{\mathbf{M}}$. Similarly,

$$\min_{\sigma \in \mathcal{V}(\tilde{\mathbf{M}})} \int_a^b h(x) d\sigma(x)$$

is attained uniquely by $\underline{\sigma}$, the lower principal representation of $\tilde{\mathbf{M}}$.

C Proof of Theorem 3

We omit the proof of (ii) (iii) of Theorem 3 since it is obtained as a direct application of Props. 3 and 6. Theorem 3 (i) is proved by the results in the previous section and the basic result on the existence of saddle-points in the following. For a function $\varphi(x, y) : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow [-\infty, +\infty]$, a point $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}$ is called a saddle-point if $\varphi(\bar{x}, y) \leq \varphi(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \leq \varphi(x, \bar{y})$ for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$ and $y \in \mathcal{Y}$. A necessary and sufficient condition for a saddle-point is

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} \varphi(\bar{x}, y) &= \inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \sup_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} \varphi(x, y) \\ &= \sup_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} \inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \varphi(x, y) = \inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \varphi(x, \bar{y}). \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 7 (Minimax Theorem (Neumann, 1928)). Let \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} be compact subsets of topological vector spaces \mathcal{V} and \mathcal{U} , respectively. Let $\varphi(x, y) : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow [-\infty, +\infty]$ be a function such that $\varphi(\cdot, y)$ is convex and lower-semicontinuous for any fixed y and $\varphi(x, \cdot)$ is concave and upper-semicontinuous for any fixed x . Then there exists a saddle point $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}$.

In the following proof, we regard a probability measure F as an element of the family \mathcal{V} of positive measures on

$[0, 1]$ to exploit the results in the previous section. By letting $\tilde{\mathbf{M}} := (1, M_1, \dots, M_d)$ for $\mathbf{M} = (M_1, \dots, M_d)$, $D_{\min}^{(d)}(\mathbf{M}, \mu)$ is rewritten as

$$D_{\min}(\mathbf{M}, \mu) = \inf_{F \in \mathcal{V}(\tilde{\mathbf{M}})} \max_{0 \leq \nu \leq \frac{1}{1-\mu}} \mathbb{E}_F[\log(1 - (X - \mu)\nu)], \quad (18)$$

where $\mathcal{V}(\tilde{\mathbf{M}})$ is the set of positive measures with $0, 1, \dots, d$ -th moments equal to $\tilde{\mathbf{M}}$, which is formally defined in (16).

Proof of Theorem 3 (i). Let

$$\mathcal{M}_{d+1} = \left\{ \left(\int_0^1 x^0 dF, \dots, \int_0^1 x^d dF \right) : F \in \mathcal{V} \right\}$$

be the moment space with respect to the system $(1, x, \dots, x^d)$. Since $\tilde{\mathbf{M}}$ is assumed to have a representation with the index larger than or equal to $(d+1)/2$, $\tilde{\mathbf{M}}$ cannot be a boundary point of \mathcal{M}_{d+1} from Prop. 4. Therefore $\tilde{\mathbf{M}}$ is an interior point of \mathcal{M}_{d+1} .

Consider applying the minimax theorem to (18). First, $\mathcal{F} \supset \mathcal{V}(\tilde{\mathbf{M}})$ is compact with respect to the Lévy distance and $\mathbb{E}_F[\log(1 - (X - \mu)\nu)]$ is linear in $F \in \mathcal{V}$ for any fixed ν . Next, $\mathbb{E}_F[\log(1 - (X - \mu)\nu)]$ is upper-semicontinuous and concave in ν for any fixed F . Then we obtain from the minimax theorem that

$$D_{\min}(\mathbf{M}, \mu) = \max_{0 \leq \nu \leq \frac{1}{1-\mu}} \inf_{F \in \mathcal{V}(\tilde{\mathbf{M}})} \mathbb{E}_F[\log(1 - (X - \mu)\nu)].$$

Now we show that it suffices to consider the case $\nu < (1 - \mu)^{-1}$. From Prop. 5, $\mathcal{V}(\tilde{\mathbf{M}})$ contains the upper principal representation \bar{F} of $\tilde{\mathbf{M}}$, which has a positive weight at $x = 1$, i.e., $\bar{F}(\{1\}) > 0$. Therefore it holds for $\nu = (1 - \mu)^{-1}$ from $\log 0 = -\infty$ that

$$\begin{aligned} & \inf_{F \in \mathcal{V}(\tilde{\mathbf{M}})} \mathbb{E}_F[\log(1 - (X - \mu)\nu)] \\ & \leq \mathbb{E}_{\bar{F}}[\log(1 - (X - \mu)\nu)] = -\infty \end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$D_{\min}(\mathbf{M}, \mu) = \max_{0 \leq \nu < \frac{1}{1-\mu}} \inf_{F \in \mathcal{V}(\tilde{\mathbf{M}})} \mathbb{E}_F[\log(1 - (X - \mu)\nu)].$$

For $\nu < (1 - \mu)^{-1}$, $(1, x, \dots, x^d, -\log(1 - (x - \mu)\nu))$ is a T -system on $[0, 1]$ from Lemma 3 with $p := 1 + \mu\nu$

and $q := \nu$. Therefore, we obtain from Prop. 6 that

$$\begin{aligned} & \max_{0 \leq \nu < \frac{1}{1-\mu}} \inf_{F \in \mathcal{V}(\tilde{\mathbf{M}})} \mathbb{E}_F[\log(1 - (X - \mu)\nu)] \\ & = \max_{0 \leq \nu < \frac{1}{1-\mu}} \left\{ - \sup_{F \in \mathcal{V}(\tilde{\mathbf{M}})} \mathbb{E}_F[-\log(1 - (X - \mu)\nu)] \right\} \\ & = \max_{0 \leq \nu < \frac{1}{1-\mu}} \{-\mathbb{E}_{\bar{F}}[-\log(1 - (X - \mu)\nu)]\} \\ & = \max_{0 \leq \nu < \frac{1}{1-\mu}} \mathbb{E}_{\bar{F}}[\log(1 - (X - \mu)\nu)] = D_{\min}(\bar{F}, \mu), \end{aligned}$$

where \bar{F} is the upper principal representation of $\tilde{\mathbf{M}}$. Since the upper principal representation is unique, it can be written as a unique solution of (8) and (9). \square