

## A Technical Results

### A.1 Proof of Lemma 1

Using the definition of  $\mathcal{Z}$  and  $h_{\mathbf{x}}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 1 - \text{err}(h_{\mathbf{x}}) &= \Pr_{((\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}), b) \sim \mathcal{D}} (b = h_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s})) \\
 &= \Pr(\mathbf{s} = P(\mathbf{x})) \Pr(b = h_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}) | \mathbf{s} = P(\mathbf{x})) + \\
 &\quad \Pr(\mathbf{s} \neq P(\mathbf{x})) \Pr(b = h_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}) | \mathbf{s} \neq P(\mathbf{x})) \\
 &= \Pr(\mathbf{s} = P(\mathbf{x})) * 1 + \Pr(\mathbf{s} \neq P(\mathbf{x})) * \frac{1}{2} \\
 &= \frac{1}{2}(\Pr(\mathbf{s} = P(\mathbf{x})) + 1).
 \end{aligned}$$

Rearranging, we get the result.

### A.2 Proof of Lemma 2

Let  $p_k$  denote the probability that after drawing  $\mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_k$ , i.i.d., an independently drawn  $\mathbf{r}_{k+1}$  is not spanned by  $\mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_k$ . Also, let  $B_k$  be a Bernoulli random variable with parameter  $p_k$ . Whenever  $B_k = 1$ , the dimensionality of the subspace spanned by the vectors we drew so far increases by 1. Since we are in an  $n$ -dimensional space, we must have  $B_1 + \dots + B_{m'} \leq n$  with probability 1. In particular, we have

$$n \geq \mathbb{E}[B_1 + \dots + B_{m'}] = p_1 + \dots + p_{m'}.$$

Also, for any  $k \leq m'$ , by the assumption that the vectors are drawn i.i.d., we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 p'_m &= \Pr(r_{m'+1} \notin \text{span}(r_1, \dots, r_{m'})) \\
 &\leq \Pr(r_{m'+1} \notin \text{span}(r_1, \dots, r_k)) \\
 &= \Pr(r_{k+1} \notin \text{span}(r_1, \dots, r_k)) = p_k.
 \end{aligned}$$

Combining the two inequalities, it follows that  $m'p_{m'} \leq n$ , so  $p_{m'} \leq n/m'$  as required.