

6. Proofs

6.1. Proof of Theorem 1

Since $\iint I_{[-z', \infty)}(z) d\mathcal{E}[F(z)F(z')] < \infty$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}[P(Z \geq -Z')] &= \mathcal{E}\left[\iint I_{[-z', \infty)}(z) dF(z)F(z')\right] \quad (11) \\ &= \iint I_{[-z', \infty)}(z) d\mathcal{E}[F(z)F(z')]. \end{aligned}$$

Let us define the following sets:

$$\begin{aligned} A &= (-\infty, \min(z, z')], \quad B = (-\infty, \max(z, z')], \\ C &= (\min(z, z'), \max(z, z')) \end{aligned}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}[F(z)F(z')] &= \mathcal{E}[P(A)P(B)] = \mathcal{E}[P(A)(P(A) + P(C))] \\ &= \mathcal{E}[P(A)^2] + \mathcal{E}[P(A)P(C)]. \end{aligned}$$

From the property of the Dirichlet distribution, it results that

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{E}[P(A)^2] + \mathcal{E}[P(A)P(C)] \\ &= \frac{\mathcal{E}[P(A)](1 + \alpha(\mathcal{Z})\mathcal{E}[P(A)])}{\alpha(\mathcal{Z}) + 1} + \frac{\alpha(\mathcal{Z})\mathcal{E}[P(A)]\mathcal{E}[P(C)]}{\alpha(\mathcal{Z}) + 1}. \end{aligned}$$

By some algebraic manipulations, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}[F(z)F(z')] &= \frac{\mathcal{E}[P(A)]}{\alpha(\mathcal{Z}) + 1} + \frac{\alpha(\mathcal{Z})\mathcal{E}[P(A)]\mathcal{E}[P(B)]}{\alpha(\mathcal{Z}) + 1} \\ &= \frac{1}{\alpha(\mathcal{Z}) + 1} G(\min(z, z')) + \frac{\alpha(\mathcal{Z})}{\alpha(\mathcal{Z}) + 1} G(z)G(z'). \end{aligned}$$

6.2. Proof of Theorem 2

From (2), setting $\alpha(\mathcal{Z}) = s \rightarrow 0$ it can be easily seen that

$$\mathcal{E}[P(Z \geq -Z')] = \iint I_{[-z', \infty)}(z) dG(\min(z, z')). \quad (12)$$

Note that, $G_0(\min(z, z'))$ is a singular distribution on the cartesian product $Z \times Z'$. Hence, we can write $dG_0(\min(z, z')) = \delta_z(z') dG_0(z) dz'$. As example consider the multivariate Normal distribution

$$\begin{bmatrix} Z \\ Z' \end{bmatrix} \sim N\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \rho \\ \rho & 1 \end{bmatrix}\right),$$

which tends to $\delta_z(z')N(z, 0, 1)$ for $\rho \rightarrow 1$. Since $dG_0(\min(z, z')) = \delta_z(z') dG_0(z)$, then we have $\mathcal{E}[P(Z \geq -Z')] = \int I_{[0, \infty)}(z) dG_0(z)$.

The posterior is found for $\alpha(\mathcal{Z}) = s + n \rightarrow n$ and

$$G = G_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n I_{[Z_i, \infty)}.$$

Then we can write the integral in (2) as follows :

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{E}[P(Z \geq -Z')|Z^n] \\ &= \frac{1}{n(n+1)} \left[\int I_{[-z', \infty)}(z) \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{Z_i}(z) \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{Z_i}(z') dz dz' \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \iint I_{[-z', \infty)}(z) d\left(\sum_{i=1}^n I_{[Z_i, \infty)}(\min(z, z'))\right) \right] \end{aligned}$$

Given that

$$I_{[a, \infty)}(\min(z, z')) = \begin{cases} 1, & z, z' \geq a, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

which implies that $dI_{[a, \infty)}(\min(z, z')) = \delta_{(a, a)}(z, z') dz dz'$, then,

$$\iint I_{[-z', \infty)}(z) d\sum_{i=1}^n I_{[Z_i, \infty)}(\min(z, z')) = \sum_{i=1}^n I_{[0, \infty)}(Z_i). \quad (14)$$

It also holds that

$$\iint I_{[-z', \infty)}(z) \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{Z_i}(z) \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{Z_i}(z') dz dz' = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n I_{[-Z_j, \infty)}(Z_i). \quad (15)$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{E}[P(Z \geq -Z')|Z^n] \\ &= \frac{\left[\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n I_{[-Z_j, \infty)}(Z_i) + \sum_{i=1}^n I_{[0, \infty)}(Z_i)\right]}{n(n+1)} \end{aligned}$$

6.3. Proof of Theorem 3

From (2), setting $\alpha(\mathcal{Z}) = s$ and $dG = \delta_{Z_0}$ it can be easily seen that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}[P(Z \geq -Z')] &= 0 \quad \text{if } Z_0 < 0 \\ \mathcal{E}[P(Z \geq -Z')] &= 1 \quad \text{if } Z_0 > 0 \end{aligned}$$

and thus those are the lower and upper bounds of $\mathcal{E}[P(Z \geq -Z')]$.

When $\alpha(\mathcal{Z}) = s + n$ and $G = G_n$ we can write the integral

in (2) as follows :

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{E}[P(Z \geq -Z')|Z^n] &= \frac{1}{(s+n)(s+n+1)} \\
 &\left[s^2 \iint I_{[-z', \infty)}(z) dG_0(z) dG_0(z') \right. \\
 &+ s \iint I_{[-z', \infty)}(z) \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{Z_i}(z') dG_0(z) dz' \\
 &+ s \iint I_{[-z', \infty)}(z) \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{Z_i}(z) dG_0(z') dz \\
 &+ \iint I_{[-z', \infty)}(z) \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{Z_i}(z) \sum_{j=1}^n \delta_{Z_j}(z') dz dz' \\
 &\left. + \iint I_{[-z', \infty)}(z) d \left(\frac{s}{s+n} G_0(\min(z, z')) + \sum_{i=1}^n I_{[z_i, \infty)}(\min(z, z')) \right) \right]. \quad (16)
 \end{aligned}$$

Assuming that $dG_0 = \delta_b$, with $b \neq Z_1, \dots, Z_n$, one has

$$\iint I_{[-z', \infty)}(z) dG_0(z) dG_0(z') = I_{[0, \infty)}(b), \quad (17)$$

$$\iint I_{[-z', \infty)}(z) \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{Z_i}(z') dG_0(z) dz' = \sum_{i=1}^n I_{[-z_i, \infty)}(b), \quad (18)$$

$$\iint I_{[-z', \infty)}(z) \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{Z_i}(z) dG_0(z') dz = \sum_{i=1}^n I_{[-z_i, \infty)}(b), \quad (19)$$

$$\iint I_{[-z', \infty)}(z) d(G_0(\min(z, z'))) = I_{[0, \infty)}(b), \quad (20)$$

where the last result follows from (13). Therefore from (16–20) and (14–15), the posterior expectation is

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{E}[P(Z \geq -Z')|Z^n] &= \frac{1}{(s+n)(s+n+1)} \\
 &\left[s^2 I_{[0, \infty)}(b) + 2s \sum_{i=1}^n I_{[-Z_i, \infty)}(b) \right. \\
 &\left. + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n I_{[-Z_j, \infty)}(Z_i) + s I_{[0, \infty)}(b) + \sum_{i=1}^n I_{[0, \infty)}(Z_i) \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

Its extrema are found when both $I_{[0, \infty)}(b)$ and $I_{[-z_i, \infty)}(b)$ are equal to, respectively, 0 (minimum) and 1 (maximum). These extrema have been obtained assuming that $G_0 = \delta_{Z_0} = \delta_b$. However, this result holds for any other choice of G_0 , since $G_0 = \delta_b$ for a proper choice of b minimizes/maximizes the terms involving G_0 in (16).

6.4. Proof of Theorem 4

The posterior lower and upper probabilities of $P(Z \geq -Z') > a$ are obtained in correspondence of the DP priors with atomic base distribution $dG_0 = \delta_{Z_0}$ given in Theorem

3. This can be proven by using a stick-breaking construction of DP from a generic G_0 and showing that the lower and upper probabilities $\underline{\mathcal{P}}, \overline{\mathcal{P}}$ are obtained for $dG_0 = \delta_{Z_0}$ for a suitable choice of Z_0 . Those priors give posterior DPs with base distribution

$$dG_n = \frac{s}{s+n} \delta_{Z_0} + \frac{1}{s+n} \sum_{j=1}^n \delta_{Z_j}$$

The fact that a sample from dG_n is given by $dF_n = w_0 \delta_{Z_0} + \sum_{j=1}^n w_j \delta_{Z_j}$ follows from the definition of Dirichlet process and the discreteness of the support of G_n , by taking the partition $(\{Z_0\}, \{Z_1\}, \dots, \{Z_n\}, \mathbb{R} \setminus \{Z_0, \dots, Z_n\})$; the vector of probabilities $(P(\{Z_0\}), P(\{Z_1\}), \dots, P(\{Z_n\}), P(\mathbb{R} \setminus \{Z_0, \dots, Z_n\}))$ has a Dirichlet distribution with parameters $(s, 1, \dots, 1, 0)$, and thus $(P(\{Z_0\}), P(\{Z_1\}), \dots, P(\{Z_n\})) \sim \text{Dir}(s, 1, \dots, 1)$. Let F_n be a sample from $DP(s+n, dG_n)$, the probability of $P(Z \geq -Z') > a$ is:

$$\mathcal{P}[P(Z \geq -Z') > a|Z^n] = \mathcal{P}[P_n > a],$$

with

$$P_n = \iint I_{[-z', \infty)}(z) d(F_n(z) F_n(z')).$$

By $dF_n = w_0 \delta_{Z_0} + \sum_{j=1}^n w_j \delta_{Z_j}$, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
 P_n &= \iint I_{[-z', \infty)}(z) (w_0 \delta_{Z_0}(z) + \sum_{j=1}^n w_j \delta_{Z_j}(z)) \cdot \\
 &\quad \cdot (w_0 \delta_{Z_0}(z') + \sum_{j=1}^n w_j \delta_{Z_j}(z')) dz dz' \\
 &= w_0^2 I_{[0, \infty)}(Z_0) + 2w_0 \sum_{i=1}^n w_i I_{[-Z_i, \infty)}(Z_0) + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_i w_j I_{[-Z_i, \infty)}(Z_j).
 \end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$P_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_i w_j I_{[-Z_i, \infty)}(Z_j) \quad \text{if } Z_0 < -\max Z_i$$

$$P_n = w_0(2 - w_0) + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_i w_j I_{[-Z_i, \infty)}(Z_j) \quad \text{if } Z_0 > \max Z_i.$$