Supplementary Material for Incremental Tree-Based Inference with Dependent Normalized Random Measures

1 Review of Dirichlet Process Mixture Models

In this section, we briefly review Dirichlet process mixture model (DPM) and derive its marginal likelihood. Let $\mathbf{X} = \{\mathbf{x}_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be a dataset to model. The generative process of \mathbf{X} under DPM is written as:

$$\mu | \alpha, H \sim \mathrm{DP}(\alpha, H)$$
 (1)

$$\theta_i | \mu \sim \mu$$
 (2)

$$\boldsymbol{x}_i|\boldsymbol{\theta}_i \sim L(\cdot|\boldsymbol{\theta}_i).$$
 (3)

Here, μ can be marginalized out to yield Chinese restaurant process (CRP), which is defined as a conditional distribution of θ_i given $\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_{i=1}$.

$$p(\theta_i|\theta_1,\ldots,\theta_{i-1}) = \frac{\alpha H + \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \delta_{\theta_j}}{\alpha + i - 1}.$$
(4)

Without loss of generality, assume that $\{\theta_i\}_{i=1}^n$ are clustered into K distinct values $\{\theta_k^*\}_{k=1}^K$ as follows:

$$\theta_1 = \theta_2 = \dots = \theta_{n_1} = \theta_1^* \tag{5}$$

$$\theta_{n_1+1} = \theta_{n_1+2} = \theta_{n_1+n_2} = \theta_2^* \tag{6}$$

$$\theta_{n_1+\dots+n_{K-1}+1} = \dots = \theta_{n_1+\dots+n_K} = \theta_K^*, \tag{8}$$

where $n_1 + \cdots + n_K = n$. By (4), the joint probability of $\{\theta_i\}_{i=1}^n$ is computed as

$$p(\{\theta_i\}_{i=1}^n) = \prod_{i=1}^n p(\theta_i | \theta_1, \dots, \theta_{i-1})$$

$$= H(\theta_1^*) \times \frac{1}{\alpha+1} \times \dots \times \frac{n_1 - 1}{\alpha+n_1 - 1}$$

$$\times \frac{\alpha H(\theta_2^*)}{\alpha+n_1} \times \frac{1}{\alpha+n_1 + 1} \times \dots \times \frac{n_2 - 1}{\alpha+n_1 + n_2 - 1}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\frac{\alpha H(\theta_K^*)}{\alpha+n_1 + \dots + n_{K-1}} \times \frac{1}{\alpha+n_1 + \dots + n_{K-1} + 1} \times \dots \times \frac{n_1 + \dots + n_K - 1}{\alpha+n_1 + \dots + n_K - 1}$$

$$= \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)}{\Gamma(\alpha+n)} \prod_{k=1}^K \alpha \Gamma(n_k) H(\theta_k^*).$$
(9)

From (9), one can see that the joint probability of $\{\theta_i\}_{i=1}^n$ is invariant to the ordering of items or cluster labels. Hence, we can write (9) as a proability of a partition π of I_n and unique parameters $\{\theta_c^*\}_{c\in\pi}$ assigned to each cluster of the partition:

$$p(\{\theta_i\}_{i=1}^n) = p(\pi, \{\theta_c^*\}_{c \in \pi}) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)}{\Gamma(\alpha+n)} \prod_{c \in \pi} \alpha \Gamma(|c|) H(\theta_c^*).$$
(10)

The same result can be derived using **Theorem 2** of in our paper. By **Theorem 2**, the joint probability of $\{\theta_i\}$ and auxiliary variable ξ is

$$p(\pi, \{\theta_c^*\}, \xi) = \frac{\xi^{n-1} e^{-\psi_{\rho}(\xi)}}{\Gamma(n)} \prod_{c \in \pi} \kappa_{\rho}(|c|, \xi) H(\theta_c^*).$$
(11)

In case of DP, $\psi_{\rho}(\xi)$ and $\kappa_{\rho}(|c|,\xi)$ are computed as

$$\psi_{\rho}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{+}} (1 - e^{-\xi w}) \alpha w^{-1} e^{-w} dw = \alpha \log(1 + \xi)$$
 (12)

$$\kappa_{\rho}(|c|,\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} w^{|c|} e^{-\xi w} \alpha w^{-1} e^{-w} dw = \frac{\alpha \Gamma(|c|)}{(1+\xi)^{|c|}}.$$
(13)

Hence, we have

$$p(\pi, \{\theta_c^*\}, \xi) = \frac{\xi^{n-1}}{\Gamma(n)(1+\xi)^{n+\alpha}} \prod_{c \in \pi} \alpha \Gamma(|c|) H(\theta_c^*)$$
$$= \left(\frac{\xi}{1+\xi}\right)^{n-1} \left(\frac{1}{1+\xi}\right)^{\alpha+1} \frac{1}{\Gamma(n)} \prod_{c \in \pi} \alpha \Gamma(|c|) H(\theta_c^*).$$
(14)

Let $\xi/(1+\xi) = v$. Then

$$p(\pi, \{\theta_c^*\}) = \int p(\pi, \{\theta_c^*\}, \xi) d\xi$$

$$= \int v^{n-1} (1-v)^{\alpha+1} \frac{1}{\Gamma(n)} \prod_{c \in \pi} \alpha \Gamma(|c|) H(\theta_c^*) \frac{1}{(1-v)^2} dv$$

$$= \frac{1}{\Gamma(n)} \prod_{c \in \pi} \alpha \Gamma(|c|) H(\theta_c^*) \int v^{n-1} (1-v)^{\alpha-1} dv$$

$$= \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)}{\Gamma(n+\alpha)} \prod_{c \in \pi} \alpha \Gamma(|c|) H(\theta_c^*).$$
(15)

Combined with the likelihood L, the joint probability of DPM is then written as:

$$p(\boldsymbol{X}, \pi, \{\boldsymbol{\theta}_c^*\}_{c \in \pi}) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)}{\Gamma(\alpha + n)} \prod_{c \in \pi} \alpha \Gamma(|c|) L(\boldsymbol{X}^{(c)} | \boldsymbol{\theta}_c^*) H(\boldsymbol{\theta}_c^*),$$
(16)

and the marginal likelihood of \boldsymbol{X} is

$$p(\boldsymbol{X}) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)}{\Gamma(\alpha+n)} \sum_{\pi} \prod_{c \in \pi} \alpha \Gamma(|c|) p(\boldsymbol{X}^{(c)} | \mathcal{H}_c).$$
(17)

2 Completely Random Measure

In this section, we provide more specific backgrounds on completely random measures (CRMs). Let us consider a measure space (Θ, Ω) , where Ω is the σ -algebra of Θ . A random measure μ on (Θ, Ω) is a random variable whose values are measures on (Θ, Ω) .

Definition 1. A random measure μ on (Θ, Ω) is completly random if for any disjoint $A_1, \ldots, A_n \subset \Theta$, the random variables $\mu(A_1), \ldots, \mu(A_n)$ are independent.

It has been shown [1] that a CRM is decomposed into the sum of three independent components: (1) a nonrandom measure; (2) a countable collection of nonnegative randomm masses at fixed locations, and (3) a countable collection of nonnegative random masses at random locations.

Theorem 1. ([1]) A CRM μ is decomposed into the sum of three independent components:

$$\mu = \mu_0 + \sum_j v_j \delta_{\theta_j^*} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} w \Pi(dw, \theta)$$

$$= \mu_0 + \sum_j v_j \delta_{\theta_j^*} + \sum_k w_k \delta_{\theta_k},$$
(18)

where μ_0 is a nonrandom measure, $\{v_j\}$ are mutually independent random variables on \mathbb{R}^+ corresponding to random weights of fixed atoms $\{\theta_j^*\}$ of Θ , and $\Pi = \sum_k \delta_{(w_k,\theta_k)}$ is a Poisson process defined on a product space $\mathbb{R}^+ \times \Theta$ with Lévy intensity $\lambda(dw, d\theta)$.

Definition 2. A CRM μ is said to be homogeneous if the Lévy intensity λ of the underlying Poisson process Π decomposes into a product of two intensities:

$$\lambda(dw, d\theta) = \rho(dw)H(d\theta). \tag{19}$$

Throughout this article, we consider only homogeneous CRMs without nonrandom measures and fixed atoms, and we write

$$\mu = \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \Pi(dw, \theta) = \sum_k w_k \delta_{\theta_k} \sim \operatorname{CRM}(\rho, H).$$
(20)

Without loss of generality, we assume that $\int_{\Theta} H(d\theta) = 1$. We also assume that ρ satisfies the following two conditions

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \rho(dw) = \infty, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} (1 - e^{-w})\rho(dw) < \infty, \tag{21}$$

where the first condition ensures that μ has infinite atoms ($\mu = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} w_k \delta_{\theta_k}$), and the second condition ensures that the total mass $\mu(\Theta) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} w_k$ is finite [2].

3 Posterior Analysis of Normalized Random Measure Mixture Models

In this section, we provide the proof of **Theorem 2** in our paper. The original proof can be found in [2, 3]. **Theorem 2.** ([2], **Theorem 2** in paper) Let $\mu \sim \text{CRM}(\rho, H)$ on (Θ, Ω) and let $(\pi, \{\theta_c^*\}) \sim \tilde{\mu}$. Introducing an auxiliary variable $\xi \sim \text{Gamma}(n, \mu(\Theta))$, the posterior of μ is given by

$$\mu|\xi,\pi,\{\theta_c^*\} = \bar{\mu} + \sum_{c\in\pi_n} w_c \delta_{\theta_c^*},\tag{22}$$

where

$$\bar{\mu} \sim \operatorname{CRM}(\bar{\rho}, H), \quad \bar{\rho}(dw) \ \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \ e^{-\xi w} \rho(dw),$$

and the posterior density over random weights of fixed atoms is given by

$$p(w_c|\xi, \pi, \{\theta_c^*\}) = \frac{w_c^{|c|} e^{-\xi w_c} \rho(w_c)}{\kappa_\rho(|c|, \xi)},$$

$$\kappa_\rho(m, \xi) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} w^m e^{-\xi w} \rho(dw).$$

The marginal distribution is given by

$$p(\pi, \{\theta_c^*\}, \xi) = \frac{\xi^{n-1} e^{-\psi_{\rho}(\xi)}}{\Gamma(n)} \prod_{c \in \pi} \kappa_{\rho}(|c|, \xi) H(\theta_c^*),$$

$$\psi_{\rho}(\xi) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} (1 - e^{-\xi w}) \rho(dw).$$

The predictive distribution is given by

$$\theta|\xi, \pi, \{\theta_c^*\} \propto \kappa_\rho(1,\xi)H + \sum_{c \in \pi} \frac{\kappa_\rho(|c|+1,\xi)}{\kappa_\rho(|c|,\xi)} \delta_{\theta_c^*}.$$

 $\textit{Proof.}\ \mbox{The likelihood of }(\pi,\{\theta^*_c\}_{c\in\pi})$ given μ is

$$p(\pi, \{\theta_c^*\}_{c \in \pi} | \mu) = \mu(\Theta)^{-n} \prod_{c \in \pi} w_c^{|c|},$$
(23)

where w_c is a mass of θ_c^* . We introduce an auxiliary variable ξ as follows:

$$p(\xi|\mu) = \operatorname{Gamma}(\xi|n,\mu(\Theta))$$

$$p(\pi, \{\theta_c^*\}_{c\in\pi}, \xi|\mu) = \frac{\xi^{n-1} \exp(-\mu(\Theta)\xi)}{\Gamma(n)} \prod_{c\in\pi} w_c^{|c|}.$$
(24)

We will compute the characteristic functional of the posterior process $\mu|\pi, \{\theta_c^*\}_{c\in\pi}, \xi$, which is given as:

$$\mathbb{E}\left[e^{-\mu(f)}|\pi, \{\theta_c^*\}_{c\in\pi}, \xi\right] = \frac{\mathbb{E}[p(\pi, \{\theta_c^*\}, \xi|\mu)e^{-\mu(f)}]}{\mathbb{E}[p(\pi, \{\theta_c^*\}, \xi|\mu)]},$$
(25)

where f is an arbitrary bounded function on Θ and

$$e^{-\mu(f)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \exp\left\{-\int f(\theta)\mu(d\theta)\right\}.$$
 (26)

The denominator is a special case of the numerator when f = 0, so we first compute the numerator. Let Π be the underlying Poisson process of μ .

$$\mathbb{E}\left[p(\pi, \{\theta_c^*\}, \xi | \mu) e^{-\mu(f)}\right] = \frac{\xi^{n-1}}{\Gamma(n)} \mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left\{-\int w(f(\theta) + \xi)\Pi(dw, d\theta)\right\} \prod_{c \in \pi} w_c^{|c|}\right]$$
(27)

By applying the Palm formula or by Lemma 2 in [4], we get

$$\propto \mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left\{-\int w(f(\theta)+\xi)\Pi(dw,d\theta)\right\}\right]\prod_{c\in\pi}H(\theta_c^*)\int w_c^{|c|}\exp\{-w_c(f(\theta_c^*)+\xi)\}\rho(w_c)$$
(28)

By the Campbell's formula [5], this is computed as

$$= \exp\left\{\int (e^{-w(f(\theta)+\xi)} - 1)\rho(dw)H(d\theta)\right\} \prod_{c \in \pi} H(\theta_c^*) \int w_c^{|c|} \exp\{-w_c(f(\theta_c^*) + \xi)\}\rho(w_c)$$
(29)

If we set f = 0, we get the denominator:

$$p(\pi, \{\theta_c^*\}, \xi) = \mathbb{E}[p(\pi, \{\theta_c^*\}, \xi | \mu)] \propto \exp\left\{\int (e^{-w\xi} - 1)\rho(dw)H(d\theta)\right\} \prod_{c \in \pi} H(\theta_c^*) \int w_c^{|c|} e^{-w_c\xi}\rho(w_c)$$
$$= \frac{\xi^{n-1}e^{-\psi_\rho(\xi)}}{\Gamma(n)} \prod_{c \in \pi} \kappa_\rho(|c|, \xi)H(\theta_c^*).$$
(30)

Now dividing the numerator with the denominator gives

$$\mathbb{E}\left[e^{-\mu(f)}|\pi, \{\theta_{c}^{*}\}_{c\in\pi}, \xi\right] \\
= \exp\left[\int (e^{-w(f(\theta)+\xi)} - e^{-w\xi})\rho(dw)H(d\theta)\right] \prod_{c\in\pi} \int \frac{w_{c}^{|c|} \exp\{-w_{c}(f(\theta_{c}^{*})+\xi)\}\rho(dw_{c})}{\kappa_{\rho}(|c|,\xi)} \\
= \exp\left[\int (e^{-wf(\theta)} - 1)e^{-w\xi}\rho(dw)H(d\theta)\right] \prod_{c\in\pi} \int \frac{w_{c}^{|c|} \exp\{-w_{c}(f(\theta_{c}^{*})+\xi)\}\rho(dw_{c})}{\kappa_{\rho}(|c|,\xi)} \tag{31}$$

The first term inside the exponential is the characteristic functional of CRM $\bar{\mu}$ with Lévy measure $\bar{\rho} = e^{-\xi w} \rho(dw)$. The second terms inside the product is the characteristic functional of fixed atoms θ_c^* with random masses w_c with distribution

$$p(w_c) = \frac{w_c^{|c|} e^{-\xi w_c} \rho(w_c)}{\kappa_{\rho}(|c|,\xi)}.$$
(32)

4 Posterior analysis for Mixed Normalized Random Measure Mixtures

In this section, we describe the posterior analysis for mixed normalized random measure (MNRM) mixtures [6]. All the derivations and proofs can be found in the supplementary material of the original paper [4], and here we just rewrite them using our own notations.

4.1 Model

Let $\{\nu_r\}_{r=1}^R$ be mutually independent homogeneous CRMs on Θ . As in our paper, we will call these CRMs as *basis CRMs*.

$$\nu_r = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} w_{rk} \delta_{\theta_{rk}} \sim \operatorname{CRM}(\rho_r, H_r) \text{ for } r = 1, \dots, R.$$
(33)

Suppose that we are to model a dataset $X_{1:T} = \bigcup_{t=1}^{T} X_t$. The CRM μ_t to generate X_t is then represented as a mixture of the basis measures as follows:

$$\mu_t = \sum_{r=1}^{R} q_{t,r} \nu_r \text{ for } t = 1, \dots, T.$$
(34)

Now for each data point x_{ti} , a parameter θ_{ti} is drawn from its corresponding normalized random measure.

$$\{\theta_{ti}\}_{i=1}^{n_t} | \mu_t \stackrel{\text{ind}}{\sim} \widetilde{\mu}_t = \mu_t / \mu_t(\Theta).$$
(35)

Since all the θ_{ti} came from one of the (discrete) basis measures, $\{\{\theta_{ti}\}_{i=1}^{n_t}\}_{t=1}^{n_t}$ induces a partition π of the set of indices $I_{1:T}$. Moreover, each cluster c in π has its own indicator variable z_c which indicates that the parameter allocated to the cluster c had come from the basis measure ν_r . We write θ_c^* as a representation of the parameter allocated to the cluster c. Also, let w_c be the weight corresponding to θ_c^* .

$$\{\{\theta_{ti}\}_{i=1}^{n_t}\}_{t=1}^T = (\pi, \{z_c, \theta_c^*\}_{c \in \pi})$$
(36)

$$w_c = \nu_{z_c}(\theta_c^*). \tag{37}$$

4.2**Posterior processes**

The likelihood of $(\pi, \{z_c, \theta_c^*\})$ given $\{\nu_r\}$ is written as

$$p(\pi, \{z_c, \theta_c^*\} | \{\nu_r\}) = \prod_{t=1}^T \frac{\prod_{c \in \pi} (q_{tz_c} w_c)^{|c \cap I_{t,n_t}|}}{(\sum_r q_{tr} \nu_r(\Theta))^{n_t}}.$$
(38)

Now we introduce a set of auxiliary Gamma variables $\{\xi_t\}_{t=1}^T$ to obtain closed-form posterior processes.

$$\xi_t | \{\nu_r\} \sim \operatorname{Gamma}\left(n_t, \sum_{r=1}^R q_{t,r}\nu_r(\Theta)\right)$$
(39)

$$p(\pi, \{z_c, \theta_c^*\}, \{\xi_t\} | \{\nu_r\}) = \prod_{t=1}^T \frac{\xi_t^{n_t-1}}{\Gamma(n_t)} \prod_{r=1}^R \exp\left(-\nu_r(\Theta) \sum_{t=1}^T q_{t,r} \xi_t\right) \prod_{c \in \pi} \bar{q}_{c,z_c} w_c^{|c|}, \tag{40}$$

where $\bar{q}_{cz_c} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \prod_t q_{tz_c}^{|c \cap I_{t,n_t}|}$. **Theorem 3.** The posterior process $\nu_r | \pi, \{z_c, \theta_c^*\}, \{\xi_t\}$ is written as

$$\nu_r | \pi, \{ z_c, \theta_c^* \}, \{ u_t \} = \bar{\nu}_r + \sum_{\substack{c \in \pi \\ z_c = r}} \mathbb{I}[z_c = r] w_c \delta_{\theta_c^*},$$
(41)

where

$$\bar{\rho}_r(dw) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \exp\left\{-w\sum_{t=1}^T q_{t,r}\xi_t\right\}\rho_r(dw) \tag{42}$$

$$\bar{\nu}_r \sim \operatorname{CRM}(\bar{\rho}_r, H_r)$$
 (43)

$$p(w_c|\dots) = \frac{w_c^{|c|} \exp(-w_c \sum_t q_{t,r}\xi_t) \rho_r(w_c)}{\kappa_{\rho_r}(|c|, \sum_t q_{t,r}\xi_t)}.$$
(44)

Moreover, the marginal distribution is written as

$$p(\pi, \{z_c, \theta_c^*\}, \{\xi_t\}) = \prod_{t=1}^T \frac{\xi_t^{n_t - 1}}{\Gamma(n_t)} \prod_{r=1}^R \exp\left\{-\psi_{\rho_r}\left(\sum_{t=1}^T q_{t,r}\xi_t\right)\right\} \prod_{c \in \pi} \bar{q}_{c,z_c} \kappa_{\rho_r}\left(|c|, \sum_{t=1}^T q_{t,z_c}\xi_t\right) H_{z_c}(\theta_c^*).$$
(45)

Proof. We will compute the characteristic functional of the process $\nu_r | \pi, \{z_c, \theta_c^*\}, \{\xi_t\}$ for an arbitrary bounded measurable function f on Θ .

$$\mathbb{E}\Big[e^{-\nu_r(f)}|\pi, \{z_c, \theta_c^*\}, \{u_t\}\Big] = \mathbb{E}\Big[\exp\left\{-\int f(\theta)\nu_r(d\theta)\right\}\Big|\pi, \{z_c, \theta_c^*\}, \{\xi_t\}\Big].$$
(46)

One can easily see that this characteristic functional is written as

$$\mathbb{E}\Big[e^{-\nu_r(f)}|\pi, \{z_c, \theta_c^*\}, \{\xi_t\}\Big] = \frac{\mathbb{E}[p(\pi, \{z_c, \theta_c^*\}, \{\xi_t\}|\nu_r)e^{-\nu_r(f)}]}{\mathbb{E}[p(\pi, \{z_c, \theta_c^*\}, \{\xi_t\}|\nu_r)]},\tag{47}$$

and the denominator is a special case of the numerator when f = 0 for all $\theta \in \Theta$. Hence we focus on computing the numerator. Let Π be the underlying Poisson process of ν_r .

$$\mathbb{E}\left[p(\pi, \{z_c, \theta_c^*\}, \{\xi_t\} | \nu_r) e^{-\nu_r(f)}\right] \\
= \prod_{t=1}^T \frac{\xi_t^{n_t-1}}{\Gamma(n_t)} \prod_{r' \neq r} \exp\left\{-\nu_{r'}(\Theta)\left(\sum_{t=1}^T q_{t,r'}\xi_t\right)\right\} \prod_{c \in \pi} \bar{q}_{c,z_c} \prod_{\substack{c \in \pi \\ z_c \neq r}} w_c^{|c|} \\
\times \mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left\{-\int w\left(f(\theta) + \sum_{t=1}^T q_{t,r}\xi_t\right) \Pi(dw, d\theta)\right\} \prod_{\substack{c \in \pi \\ z_c = r}} w_c^{|c|}\right].$$
(48)

By applying the Palm formula or simply by Lemma 2 in [4], we get

$$\propto \mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left\{-\int w\left(f(\theta) + \sum_{t=1}^{T} q_{t,r}\xi_t\right)\Pi(dw, d\theta)\right\}\right]$$
$$\times \prod_{\substack{c \in \pi \\ z_c = r}} H_r(\theta_c^*) \int w_c^{|c|} \exp\left\{-w_c\left(f(\theta_c^*) + \sum_{t=1}^{T} q_{t,r}\xi_t\right)\right\}\rho_r(w_c).$$
(49)

By the Campbell's formula [5], the expectation for Poisson process is evaluated as

$$= \exp\left[\int\left[\exp\left\{-w\left(f(\theta) + \sum_{t=1}^{T} q_{t,r}\xi_t\right)\right\} - 1\right]\rho_r(dw)H_r(d\theta)\right] \\ \times \prod_{\substack{c \in \pi \\ z_c = r}} H_r(\theta_c^*) \int w_c^{|c|} \exp\left\{-w_c\left(f(\theta_c^*) + \sum_{t=1}^{T} q_{t,r}\xi_t\right)\right\}\rho_r(w_c).$$
(50)

Now we set f = 0 to get the denominator.

$$\mathbb{E}[p(\pi, \{z_c, \theta_c^*\}, \{u_t\} | \nu_r)] \propto \exp\left[\int \left\{ \exp\left(-w \sum_{t=1}^T q_{t,r} \xi_t\right) - 1 \right\} \rho_r(dw) H_r(d\theta) \right] \\ \times \prod_{\substack{c \in \pi \\ z_c = r}} H_r(\theta_c^*) \kappa_{\rho_r} \left(|c|, \sum_{t=1}^T q_{t,r} \xi_t\right).$$
(51)

Then dividing the numerator with the denominator yields

$$\mathbb{E}\left[e^{-\nu_r(f)}|\pi, \{z_c, \theta_c^*\}, \{u_t\}\right] = \exp\left[\int (e^{-wf(\theta)} - 1) \exp\left(-w \sum_{t=1}^T q_{t,r}\xi_t\right) \rho_r(dw) H_r(d\theta)\right] \\ \times \prod_{\substack{c \in \pi \\ z_c = r}} \int \frac{w_c^{|c|} \exp\{-w_c(f(\theta_c^*) + \sum_t q_{t,r}\xi_t)\}}{\kappa_{\rho_r}(|c|, \sum_t q_{t,r}\xi_t)} \rho_r(dw_c).$$
(52)

This is the characteristic functional of a CRM with exponentially tilted Lévy measure $\bar{\rho}_r$ and fixed atoms as defined in (42). The marginal likelihood can easily be obtained by similar computations since

$$p(\pi, \{z_c, \theta_c^*\}, \{\xi_t\}) = \mathbb{E}\Big[p(\pi, \{z_c, \theta_c^*\}, \{\xi_t\}) | \{\nu_r\})\Big].$$
(53)

4.3 Posterior inference via marginal Gibbs sampling

We describe the marginal Gibbs sampling algorithm we used in our paper. Again, the same stuff can be found in [4]. Combined with the likelihood function L, the joint likelihood of mixture model is written as:

$$p(\boldsymbol{X}_{1:T}, \pi, \{z_c, \theta_c^*\}) = \prod_{t=1}^T \frac{\xi_t^{n_t-1}}{\Gamma(n_t)} \prod_{r=1}^R \exp\left\{-\psi_{\rho_r}\left(\sum_{t=1}^T q_{t,r}\xi_t\right)\right\}$$
$$\times \prod_{c\in\pi} \bar{q}_{cz_c} \kappa_{\rho_r}\left(|c|, \sum_{t=1}^T q_{t,z_c}\xi_t\right) L(\boldsymbol{X}^{(c)}|\theta_c^*) H_{z_c}(\theta_c^*).$$
(54)

Suppose that we use NGGP with the same base measure H as Lévy measures for ν_r :

$$H_r = H \tag{55}$$

$$\rho_r(w) = \frac{\alpha_r}{\Gamma(1-\sigma)} w^{-1-\sigma} e^{-\tau w}$$
(56)

$$\kappa_{\rho_r}(m,u) = \frac{\alpha_r \Gamma(m-\sigma)}{(u+\tau)^{m-\sigma} \Gamma(1-\sigma)}$$
(57)

$$\psi_{\rho_r}(u) = \frac{\alpha_r}{\sigma} \{ (\tau + u)^{\sigma} - \tau^{\sigma} \}.$$
(58)

Here, for simplicity, we only varied the hyperparemeter α_r and fixed other hyperparameters σ and τ . We also assume that H is a conjugate prior for L. Then we get

$$p(\boldsymbol{X}_{1:T}, \pi, \{z_c\}) = \prod_{t=1}^{T} \frac{\xi_t^{n_t-1}}{\Gamma(n_t)} \exp\left[-\sum_{r=1}^{R} \frac{\alpha_r}{\sigma} \left\{ \left(\sum_{t=1}^{T} q_{t,r} \xi_t + \tau\right)^{\sigma} - \tau^{\sigma} \right\} \right] \prod_{c \in \pi} \frac{\bar{q}_{c,z_c} \alpha_{z_c} \Gamma(|c| - \sigma) p(\boldsymbol{X}^{(c)} | \mathcal{H}_c)}{(\sum_t q_{t,z_c} \xi_t + \tau)^{|c| - \sigma} \Gamma(1 - \sigma)}.$$
(59)

Sampling c and z_c

The cluster membership of each index (t, i) is sampled at each iteration. (t, i) may be allocated to a existing cluster $c \in \pi_{\setminus ti}$ where $\pi_{\setminus ti}$ is a partition of $I_{1:T}$ except (t, i). Also, (t, i) may create a new cluster which may come from one of the basis measures $\{\nu_r\}_{r=1}^R$.

$$p((t,i) \in c | \dots) \propto \frac{q_{t,z_c}(|c| - \sigma)p(\mathbf{X}^{(c \cup (t,i))} | \mathcal{H}_{c \cup (t,i)})}{(\sum_t q_{t,z_c}\xi_t + \tau)p(\mathbf{X}^{(c)} | \mathcal{H}_c)}$$
(60)

$$p((t,i) \in c \notin \pi_{\backslash ti}, z_c = r | \dots) \propto \frac{q_{t,r} \alpha_r p(\boldsymbol{X}^{(c \cup (t,i))} | \mathcal{H}_{c \cup (t,i)})}{(\sum_t q_{t,r} \xi_t + \tau)^{1-\sigma}}.$$
(61)

Sampling ξ_t

The posterior distribution of ξ_t is written as

$$p(\xi_t|\dots) \propto \xi_t^{n_t-1} \exp\left\{-\sum_{r=1}^R \frac{\alpha_r}{\sigma} \left(q_{t,r}\xi_t + \sum_{t' \neq t} q_{t'r}\xi_{t'} + \tau\right)^{\sigma}\right\} \prod_{c \in \pi} \left(q_{z_c,t}\xi_t + \sum_{t' \neq t} q_{z_c,t'}\xi_t + \tau\right)^{\sigma-|c|}.$$
 (62)

As in [7, 4], we sample ξ_t vial slice-sampling [8] in log domain. Let $v_t = \log(\xi_t)$. Then

$$\log p(v_t|...) = n_t v_t - \sum_{r=1}^{R} \frac{\alpha_r}{\sigma} \left(q_{t,r} e^{v_t} + \sum_{t' \neq t} q_{t',r} \xi_{t'} + \tau \right)^{\sigma} - \sum_{c \in \pi} (|c| - \sigma) \log \left(q_{t,z_c} e^{v_t} + \sum_{t' \neq t} q_{t',z_c} \xi_t + \tau \right) + \text{const.}$$
(63)

Sampling α

We place a Gamma prior on $\alpha_r \sim \text{Gamma}(a_\alpha, b_\alpha)$. Then

$$\alpha_r | \dots \sim \text{Gamma}\left(a_{\alpha} + \sum_{c \in \pi} \mathbb{I}[z_c = r], b_{\alpha} + \sum_{r=1}^R \frac{(\sum_t q_{t,r} \xi_t + \tau)^{\sigma} - \tau^{\sigma}}{\sigma}\right).$$
(64)

Hence, we can easily sample α_r from Gamma distribution.

Sampling τ

We place a Gamma prior on $\tau \sim \text{Gamma}(a_{\tau}, b_{\tau})$. Then

$$p(\tau|\dots) \propto \tau^{a_{\tau}-1} e^{-b\tau} \exp\left[-\sum_{r=1}^{R} \frac{\alpha_r}{\sigma} \left\{ \left(\sum_{t=1}^{T} q_{t,r} \xi_t + \tau\right)^{\sigma} - \tau^{\sigma} \right\} \right] \prod_{c \in \pi} \left(\sum_{t=1}^{T} q_{t,z_c} \xi_t + \tau\right)^{\sigma-|c|}.$$
 (65)

Again, as in [7, 4], τ can easily be sampled via slice sampling in log domian. Let $\xi = \log(\tau)$.

$$\log p(\xi|\dots) = a_{\tau}\xi - b_{\tau}e^{\xi} - \sum_{r=1}^{R} \frac{\alpha_{r}}{\sigma} \left\{ \left(\sum_{t=1}^{T} q_{t,r}\xi_{t} + e^{\xi} \right)^{\sigma} - e^{\xi\sigma} \right\}$$
$$- \sum_{c \in \pi} (|c| - \sigma) \log \left(\sum_{t=1}^{T} q_{t,z_{c}}\xi_{t} + e^{\xi} \right) + \text{const.}$$
(66)

In practice, following [7], we just fixed $\tau = 10^{-3}$.

Sampling σ

We place a Beta prior on $\sigma \sim \text{Beta}(a_{\sigma}, b_{\sigma})$.

$$p(\sigma|\dots) \propto \sigma^{a_{\sigma}-1} (1-\sigma)^{b_{\sigma}-1} \exp\left[-\sum_{r=1}^{R} \frac{\alpha_r}{\sigma} \left\{ \left(\sum_{t=1}^{T} q_{t,r} \xi_t + \tau\right)^{\sigma} - \tau^{\sigma} \right\} \right] \prod_{c \in \pi} \frac{\Gamma(|c| - \sigma)}{(\sum_t q_{t,z_c} \xi_t + \tau)^{|c| - \sigma} \Gamma(1-\sigma)},$$
(67)

which can easily be sampled using slice sampling, as in [7].

References

- [1] J. F. C. Kingman. Completely random measures. Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 21(1):59–78, 1967.
- [2] L. F. James, A. Lijoi, and I. Prünster. Posterior analysis for normalized random measures with independent increments. *Scandinavian Journal of Statistics*, 36(1):76–97, 2009.
- [3] Y. W. Teh. Bayesian nonparametrics rough notes. 2012.
- [4] C. Chen, V. Rao, W. Buntine, and Y. W. Teh. Supplementary material for dependent normalized random measures. Proceedings of the International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML), 2013.
- [5] J. F. C. Kingman. Poisson Processes. Oxford Science Publications, 1993.
- [6] C. Chen, V. Rao, W. Buntine, and Y. W. Teh. Dependent normalized random measures. In *Proceedings* of the International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML), Atlanta, Georgia, USA, 2013.
- [7] S. Favaro and Y. W. Teh. MCMC for normalized random measure mixture models. *Statistical Science*, 28(3):335–359, 2013.
- [8] R. M. Neal. Slice sampling. The Annals of Statistics, 31(3):705–767, 2003.