

7. Proof of Lemma 2.2 – Local Packing Set

Towards the proof of Lemma 2.2, we develop a modified version of the Varshamov-Gilbert Lemma adapted to our specific model: the set of characteristic vectors of the S - T paths of a (p, k, d) -layer graph G .

Let $\delta_H(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ denote the Hamming distance between two points $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \{0, 1\}^p$:

$$\delta_H(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \triangleq |\{i : x_i \neq y_i\}|.$$

Lemma 7.4. *Consider a (p, k, d) -layer graph G on p vertices and the collection $\mathcal{P}(G)$ of S - T paths in G . Let*

$$\Omega \triangleq \{\mathbf{x} \in \{0, 1\}^p : \text{supp}(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathcal{P}(G)\},$$

i.e., the set of characteristic vectors of all S - T paths in G . For every $\xi \in (0, 1)$, there exists a set, $\Omega_\xi \subset \Omega$ such that

$$\delta_H(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) > 2(1 - \xi) \cdot k, \quad \forall \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \Omega_\xi, \mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{y}, \quad (29)$$

and

$$\log |\Omega_\xi| \geq \log \frac{p-2}{k} + (\xi \cdot k - 1) \cdot \log d - k \cdot H(\xi), \quad (30)$$

where $H(\cdot)$ is the binary entropy function.

Proof. Consider a labeling $1, \dots, p$ of the p vertices in G , such that variable ω_i is associated with vertex i . Each point $\omega \in \Omega$ is the characteristic vector of a set in $\mathcal{P}(G)$; nonzero entries of ω correspond to vertices along an S - T path in G . With a slight abuse of notation, we refer to ω as a path in G . Due to the structure of the (p, k, d) -layer graph G , all points in Ω have exactly $k + 2$ nonzero entries, *i.e.*,

$$\delta_H(\omega, \mathbf{0}) = k + 2, \quad \forall \omega \in \Omega.$$

Each vertex in ω lies in a distinct layer of G . In turn, for any pair of points $\omega, \omega' \in \Omega$,

$$\delta_H(\omega, \omega') = 2 \cdot (k - |\{i : \omega_i = \omega'_i = 1\}| - 2). \quad (31)$$

Note that the Hamming distance between the two points is a linear function of the number of their common nonzero entries, while it can take only even values with a maximum value of $2k$.

Without loss of generality, let S and T corresponding to vertices 1 and p , respectively. Then, the above imply that

$$\omega_1 = \omega_p = 1, \quad \forall \omega \in \Omega.$$

Consider a fixed point $\hat{\omega} \in \Omega$, and let $\mathcal{B}(\hat{\omega}, r)$ denote the Hamming ball of radius r centered at $\hat{\omega}$, *i.e.*,

$$\mathcal{B}(\hat{\omega}, r) \triangleq \{\omega \in \{0, 1\}^p : \delta_H(\hat{\omega}, \omega) \leq r\}.$$

The intersection $\mathcal{B}(\hat{\omega}, r) \cap \Omega$ corresponds to S - T paths in G that have at least $k - r/2$ additional vertices in common with $\hat{\omega}$ besides vertices 1 and p that are common to all paths in Ω :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}(\hat{\omega}, r) \cap \Omega &= \{\omega \in \Omega : \delta_H(\hat{\omega}, \omega) \leq r\} \\ &= \{\omega \in \Omega : |\{i : \hat{\omega}_i = \omega_i = 1\}| \geq k - \frac{r}{2} + 2\}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality is due to (31). In fact, due to the structure of G , the set $\mathcal{B}(\hat{\omega}, r) \cap \Omega$ corresponds to the S - T paths that *meet* $\hat{\omega}$ in at least $k - r/2$ intermediate layers. Taking into account that $|\Gamma_{\text{in}}(v)| = |\Gamma_{\text{out}}(v)| = d$, for all vertices v in $V(G)$ (except those in the first and last layer),

$$|\mathcal{B}(\hat{\omega}, r) \cap \Omega| \leq \binom{k}{k - \frac{r}{2}} \cdot d^{k - (k - \frac{r}{2})} = \binom{k}{k - \frac{r}{2}} \cdot d^{\frac{r}{2}}.$$

Now, consider a *maximal* set $\Omega_\xi \subset \Omega$ satisfying (29), *i.e.*, a set that cannot be augmented by any other point in Ω . The union of balls $\mathcal{B}(\omega, 2(1 - \xi) \cdot (k - 1))$ over all $\omega \in \Omega_\xi$ covers Ω . To verify that, note that if there exists $\omega' \in \Omega \setminus \Omega_\xi$ such that $\delta_H(\omega, \omega') > 2(1 - \xi) \cdot (k - 1)$, $\forall \omega \in \Omega_\xi$, then $\Omega_\xi \cup \{\omega'\}$ satisfies (29) contradicting the maximality of Ω_ξ . Based on the above,

$$\begin{aligned} |\Omega| &\leq \sum_{\omega \in \Omega_\xi} |\mathcal{B}(\omega, 2(1 - \xi) \cdot k) \cap \Omega| \\ &\leq \sum_{\omega \in \Omega_\xi} \binom{k}{k - (1 - \xi)k} \cdot d^{(1 - \xi) \cdot k} \\ &\leq \sum_{\omega \in \Omega_\xi} \binom{k}{\xi k} \cdot d^{(1 - \xi) \cdot k} \\ &\leq |\Omega_\xi| \cdot 2^{k \cdot H(\xi)} \cdot d^{(1 - \xi) \cdot k}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking into account that

$$|\Omega| = |\mathcal{P}(G)| = \frac{p-2}{k} \cdot d^{k-1},$$

we conclude that

$$\frac{p-2}{k} \cdot d^{k-1} \leq |\Omega_\xi| \cdot 2^{k \cdot H(\xi)} \cdot d^{(1 - \xi) \cdot k},$$

from which the desired result follows. \square

Lemma 2.2. (Local Packing) *Consider a (p, k, d) -layer graph G on p vertices with $k \geq 4$ and $\log d \geq 4 \cdot H(3/4)$. For any $\epsilon \in (0, 1]$, there exists a set $\mathcal{X}_\epsilon \subset \mathcal{X}(G)$ such that*

$$\epsilon/\sqrt{2} < \|\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_j\|_2 \leq \sqrt{2} \cdot \epsilon,$$

for all $\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j \in \mathcal{X}_\epsilon$, $\mathbf{x}_i \neq \mathbf{x}_j$, and

$$\log |\mathcal{X}_\epsilon| \geq \log \frac{p-2}{k} + \frac{1}{4} \cdot k \log d.$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, consider a labeling $1, \dots, p$ of the p vertices in G , such that S and T correspond to vertices 1 and p , respectively. Let

$$\Omega \triangleq \{\mathbf{x} \in \{0, 1\}^p : \text{supp}(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathcal{P}(G)\},$$

where $\mathcal{P}(G)$ is the collection of S - T paths in G . By Lemma 7.4, and for $\xi = 3/4$, there exists a set $\Omega_\xi \subseteq \Omega$ such that

$$\delta_H(\boldsymbol{\omega}_i, \boldsymbol{\omega}_j) > \frac{1}{2} \cdot k, \quad (32)$$

$\forall \boldsymbol{\omega}_i, \boldsymbol{\omega}_j \in \Omega_\xi, \boldsymbol{\omega}_i \neq \boldsymbol{\omega}_j$, and,

$$\begin{aligned} \log |\Omega_\xi| &\geq \log \frac{p-2}{k} + \left(\frac{3}{4} \cdot k - 1\right) \log d - k \cdot H\left(\frac{3}{4}\right) \\ &\geq \log \frac{p-2}{k} + \frac{2}{4} \cdot k \cdot \log d - k \cdot H\left(\frac{3}{4}\right) \\ &\geq \log \frac{p-2}{k} + \frac{1}{4} \cdot k \cdot \log d \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

where the second and third inequalities hold under the assumptions of the lemma; $k \geq 4$ and $\log d \geq 4 \cdot H(3/4)$.

Consider the bijective mapping $\psi : \Omega_\xi \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^p$ defined as

$$\psi(\boldsymbol{\omega}) = \left[\sqrt{\frac{(1-\epsilon^2)}{2}} \cdot \omega_1, \frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{k}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega}_{2:p-1}, \sqrt{\frac{(1-\epsilon^2)}{2}} \cdot \omega_p \right].$$

We show that the set

$$\mathcal{X}_\epsilon \triangleq \{\psi(\boldsymbol{\omega}) : \boldsymbol{\omega} \in \Omega_\xi\}.$$

has the desired properties. First, to verify that \mathcal{X}_ϵ is a subset of $\mathcal{X}(G)$, note that $\forall \boldsymbol{\omega} \in \Omega_\xi \subset \Omega$,

$$\text{supp}(\psi(\boldsymbol{\omega})) = \text{supp}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) \in \mathcal{P}(G), \quad (34)$$

and

$$\|\psi(\boldsymbol{\omega})\|_2^2 = 2 \cdot \frac{(1-\epsilon^2)}{2} + \frac{\epsilon^2}{k} \cdot \sum_{i=2}^{p-1} \omega_i = 1.$$

Second, for all pairs of points $\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j \in \mathcal{X}_\epsilon$,

$$\|\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_j\|_2^2 = \delta_H(\boldsymbol{\omega}_i, \boldsymbol{\omega}_j) \cdot \frac{\epsilon^2}{k} \leq 2 \cdot k \cdot \frac{\epsilon^2}{k} = 2 \cdot \epsilon^2.$$

The inequality follows from the fact that $\delta_H(\boldsymbol{\omega}, \mathbf{0}) = k + 2$, $\omega_1 = 1$ and $\omega_p = 1, \forall \boldsymbol{\omega} \in \Omega_\xi$, and in turn

$$\delta_H(\boldsymbol{\omega}_i, \boldsymbol{\omega}_j) \leq 2 \cdot k.$$

Similarly, for all pairs $\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j \in \mathcal{X}_\epsilon, \mathbf{x}_i \neq \mathbf{x}_j$,

$$\|\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_j\|_2 = \delta_H(\boldsymbol{\omega}_i, \boldsymbol{\omega}_j) \cdot \frac{\epsilon^2}{k} \geq \frac{1}{2} \cdot k \cdot \frac{\epsilon^2}{k} = \frac{\epsilon^2}{2},$$

where the inequality is due to (32). Finally, the lower bound on the cardinality of \mathcal{X}_ϵ follows immediately from (33) and the fact that $|\mathcal{X}_\epsilon| = |\Omega_\xi|$, which completes the proof. \square

8. Details in proof of Lemma 1

We want to show that if

$$\epsilon^2 = \min \left\{ 1, \frac{C' \cdot (1 + \beta)}{\beta^2} \cdot \frac{\log \frac{p-2}{k} + \frac{k}{4} \cdot \log d}{n} \right\},$$

for an appropriate choice of $C' > 0$, then the following two conditions (Eq. (13)) are satisfied:

$$n \cdot \frac{2\epsilon^2\beta^2}{(1+\beta)} \frac{1}{\log |\mathcal{X}_\epsilon|} \leq \frac{1}{4} \quad \text{and} \quad \log |\mathcal{X}_\epsilon| \geq 4 \log 2.$$

For the second inequality, recall that by Lemma 2.2,

$$\log |\mathcal{X}_\epsilon| \geq \log \frac{p-2}{k} + \frac{1}{4} \cdot k \log d > 0. \quad (35)$$

Under the assumptions of Thm. 1 on the parameters k and d (note that $p-2 \geq k \cdot d$ by the structure of G),

$$\log |\mathcal{X}_\epsilon| \geq \log \frac{p-2}{k} + \frac{k}{4} \cdot \log d \geq 4 \cdot H(3/4) \geq 4 \log 2,$$

which is the desired result.

For the first inequality, we consider two cases:

- First, we consider the case where $\epsilon^2 = 1$, i.e.,

$$\epsilon^2 = 1 \leq \frac{C' \cdot (1 + \beta)}{\beta^2} \cdot \frac{\log \frac{p-2}{k} + \frac{k}{4} \cdot \log d}{n}.$$

Equivalently,

$$n \cdot \frac{2\epsilon^2\beta^2}{(1+\beta)} \leq 2 \cdot C' \cdot \left(\log \frac{p-2}{k} + \frac{k}{4} \cdot \log d \right). \quad (36)$$

- In the second case,

$$\epsilon^2 = \frac{C' \cdot (1 + \beta)}{\beta^2} \cdot \frac{\log \frac{p-2}{k} + \frac{k}{4} \cdot \log d}{n},$$

which implies that

$$n \cdot \frac{2\epsilon^2\beta^2}{(1+\beta)} = 2 \cdot C' \cdot \left(\log \frac{p-2}{k} + \frac{k}{4} \cdot \log d \right). \quad (37)$$

Combining (36) or (37), with (35), we obtain

$$n \cdot \frac{2\epsilon^2\beta^2}{(1+\beta)} \frac{1}{\log |\mathcal{X}_\epsilon|} \leq 2 \cdot C' \leq \frac{1}{4}$$

for $C' \leq 1/8$.

We conclude that for ϵ chosen as in (12), the conditions in (13) hold.

9. Other

and

Assumption 1. *There exist i.i.d. random vectors $\mathbf{z}_1, \dots, \mathbf{z}_n \in \mathbb{R}^p$, such that $\mathbb{E}\mathbf{z}_i = \mathbf{0}$ and $\mathbb{E}\mathbf{z}_i\mathbf{z}_i^\top = \mathbb{I}_p$,*

$$\sup_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{S}_2^{p-1}} \|\mathbf{z}_i^\top \mathbf{x}\|_{\psi_2} \leq K, \quad (39)$$

where $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^p$ and $K > 0$ is a constant depending on the distribution of \mathbf{z}_i s.

$$\mathbf{y} = \mu + \Sigma^{1/2} \mathbf{z}_i \quad (38)$$