
Geometric Conditions for Subspace-Sparse Recovery

Supplementary Material

Chong You

Center for Imaging Science, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD, 21218, USA

CYOU@CIS.JHU.EDU

René Vidal

Center for Imaging Science, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD, 21218, USA

RVIDAL@CIS.JHU.EDU

Appendices

A. Proof of Lemma 2

Lemma. *If the inlier dictionary $\Phi \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times M}$ has full column rank, then the set of dual points, $\mathcal{D}(\Phi)$, contains exactly 2^M points specified by $\{\Phi(\Phi^\top \Phi)^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u} \in U_M\}$, where $U_M := \{[u_1, \dots, u_M], u_i = \pm 1, i = 1, \dots, M\}$.*

Proof. It can be seen in the proof of Theorem 2 that there are possibly at most 2^M dual points in the case where Φ is of full column rank. So in order to prove the result, it is enough to show that the set $\{\Phi(\Phi^\top \Phi)^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u} \in U_M\}$ contains 2^M points, and each of them is a dual point.

To show that there are 2^M different points, notice that U_M has 2^M points, so we are left to show that for any $\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2 \in U_M$ with $\mathbf{u}_1 \neq \mathbf{u}_2$, it has $\Phi(\Phi^\top \Phi)^{-1} \mathbf{u}_1 \neq \Phi(\Phi^\top \Phi)^{-1} \mathbf{u}_2$. This can be easily established by noticing that $\text{rank}(\Phi(\Phi^\top \Phi)^{-1}) = \text{rank}(\Phi) = M$, i.e., $\Phi(\Phi^\top \Phi)^{-1}$ is also of full rank, so its null space contains only the origin.

Now we show that $\Phi(\Phi^\top \Phi)^{-1} \mathbf{u}_0$ is a dual point for any $\mathbf{u}_0 \in U_M$. Denote $\eta_0 = \Phi(\Phi^\top \Phi)^{-1} \mathbf{u}_0$. By definition, we need to show that η_0 is an extreme point of the set $\mathcal{K}^\circ(\pm \Phi) = \{\eta \in \mathcal{R}(\Phi) : \|\Phi^\top \eta\|_\infty \leq 1\}$. First, η_0 is in $\mathcal{K}^\circ(\pm \Phi)$ because $\|\Phi^\top \eta_0\|_\infty = \|\mathbf{u}_0\|_\infty = 1$. Second, suppose there are two points, $\eta_1, \eta_2 \in \mathcal{K}^\circ(\pm \Phi)$, such that

$$\eta_0 = (1 - \lambda)\eta_1 + \lambda\eta_2 \quad (1)$$

for some $\lambda \in (0, 1)$, we need to show that it must be the case that $\eta_1 = \eta_2$. Notice that the columns of $\Phi(\Phi^\top \Phi)^{-1}$ span the space $\mathcal{R}(\Phi)$ and that $\eta_1, \eta_2 \in \mathcal{K}^\circ(\pm \Phi) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(\Phi)$, there exists $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2$ such that $\eta_i = \Phi(\Phi^\top \Phi)^{-1} \mathbf{x}_i, i = 1, 2$. Then by using (1), it has

$$\Phi(\Phi^\top \Phi)^{-1} \mathbf{u}_0 = (1 - \lambda)\Phi(\Phi^\top \Phi)^{-1} \mathbf{x}_1 + \lambda\Phi(\Phi^\top \Phi)^{-1} \mathbf{x}_2,$$

and by left multiplying Φ^\top , we have

$$\mathbf{u}_0 = (1 - \lambda)\mathbf{x}_1 + \lambda\mathbf{x}_2. \quad (2)$$

Now, consider the equation for each entry separately in (2), i.e., $[\mathbf{u}_0]_i = (1 - \lambda)[\mathbf{x}_1]_i + \lambda[\mathbf{x}_2]_i$, where i indexes an entry in the vector. The left hand side, being ± 1 , is an extreme point of the set $[-1, 1]$, while the right hand side is the convex combination of two points in $[-1, 1]$, so it necessarily has that $[\mathbf{x}_1]_i = [\mathbf{x}_2]_i$. This is true for all entries i , so $\mathbf{x}_1 = \mathbf{x}_2$, thus $\eta_1 = \eta_2$, which shows that η_0 is indeed an extreme point. \square

B. Proof of Theorem 14

Theorem. *Given a dictionary Π . If it has $\mu(\Pi) < \frac{1}{2M-1}$, then for any partition of Π into Φ and Ψ where Φ has M columns, it has $\text{rank}(\Phi) = M$ and that PRC and DRC hold.*

Proof. If $\mu(\Pi) < 1/(2M - 1)$, then it must have $\text{rank}(\Phi) = M$, this is an established result in sparse recovery. In the following, we show that PRC holds.

We start by giving an upper bound on $R(\mathcal{K}^\circ(\pm \Phi))$. From Lemma 2, given any $\eta \in \mathcal{K}^\circ(\pm \Phi)$ where $\eta \neq 0$, it can be written as $\eta = \Phi(\Phi^\top \Phi)^{-1} \mathbf{u}$ for some $\mathbf{u} \neq 0$ with $\|\mathbf{u}\|_\infty \leq 1$. Thus,

$$\|\eta\|_2^2 = \eta^\top \eta = \mathbf{u}^\top (\Phi^\top \Phi)^{-1} \mathbf{u} \leq M \cdot \frac{\mathbf{u}^\top (\Phi^\top \Phi)^{-1} \mathbf{u}}{\mathbf{u}^\top \mathbf{u}}.$$

Denote $\lambda_{\max}(\cdot), \lambda_{\min}(\cdot)$ to be the maximum and minimum eigenvalue of a symmetric matrix, respectively. We get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\eta\|_2^2 &\leq M \cdot \max_{\mathbf{u} \neq 0} \frac{\mathbf{u}^\top (\Phi^\top \Phi)^{-1} \mathbf{u}}{\mathbf{u}^\top \mathbf{u}} \\ &= M \cdot \lambda_{\max}(\Phi^\top \Phi)^{-1} = \frac{M}{\lambda_{\min}(\Phi^\top \Phi)}. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that $\Phi^\top \Phi$ is very close to identity matrix, i.e., its diagonals are 1 and the magnitude of each off-diagonal entry is bounded above by $\mu(\Pi)$. By using Gersgorin's disc theorem, $\lambda_{\min}(\Phi^\top \Phi) \geq 1 - (M - 1)\mu(\Pi)$, so

$$\|\eta\|_2^2 \leq \frac{M}{1 - (M - 1)\mu(\Pi)}.$$

As a consequence, $R(\mathcal{K}^o(\pm\Phi)) \leq \sqrt{\frac{M}{1-(M-1)\mu(\Pi)}}$.

In the second step, we give an upper bound for the right hand side of PRC. By definition,

$$\mu(\Psi, \mathcal{R}(\Phi)) = \max_{\substack{\eta \in \mathcal{R}(\Phi), \\ \|\eta\|_2=1}} \|\Psi^\top \eta\|_\infty.$$

We thus need to bound $\|\Psi^\top \eta\|_\infty$ for any $\eta \in \mathcal{R}(\Phi)$ with $\|\eta\|_2 = 1$. Consider the optimization program

$$\mathbf{x}^* = \arg \min_{\mathbf{x}} \|\mathbf{x}\|_1 \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \eta = \Phi \mathbf{x}.$$

and its dual program

$$\max_{\omega} \langle \omega, \eta \rangle \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \|\Phi^\top \omega\|_\infty \leq 1.$$

The strong duality holds since the primal problem is feasible, and the objective of the dual is bounded by $\|\omega\|_2 \|\eta\|_2 \leq R(\mathcal{K}^o(\pm\Phi))$. Consequently, it has $\|\mathbf{x}^*\|_1 \leq R(\mathcal{K}^o(\pm\Phi))$. This leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Psi^\top \eta\|_\infty &= \|\Psi^\top \Phi \mathbf{x}^*\|_\infty \leq \|\Psi^\top \Phi\|_\infty \|\mathbf{x}^*\|_1 \\ &\leq \mu(\Pi) R(\mathcal{K}^o(\pm\Phi)), \end{aligned}$$

in which $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ for matrix treats the matrix as a vector.

Now we combine the results from the above two parts.

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(\Psi, \mathcal{R}(\Phi)) &\leq \mu(\Pi) R(\mathcal{K}^o(\pm\Phi)) \\ &= r(\mathcal{K}(\pm\Phi)) (\mu(\Pi) R(\mathcal{K}^o(\pm\Phi)))^2 \\ &\leq r(\mathcal{K}(\pm\Phi)) \frac{M}{1 - (M-1)\mu(\Pi)}, \end{aligned}$$

in which

$$\frac{M}{1 - (M-1)\mu(\Pi)} = 1 + \frac{\mu(\Pi)(2M-1) - 1}{1 - (M-1)\mu} < 1,$$

thus $\mu(\Psi, \mathcal{R}(\Phi)) < r(\mathcal{K}(\pm\Phi))$, which is the PRC. \square

References