

Stochastic Spectral Descent for Restricted Boltzmann Machines: Supplemental Material

David Carlson¹

Volkan Cevher²

Lawrence Carin¹

¹ Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Duke University

² Laboratory for Information and Inference Systems (LIONS), EPFL

A Theorem proofs

Proof. Proof of Theorem 1.

The Hessian of the lse function is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla^2 lse_{\boldsymbol{\omega}}(\mathbf{u}) &= \frac{\text{diag}(\boldsymbol{\omega} \odot \exp(\mathbf{u}))}{\boldsymbol{\omega}^T \exp(\mathbf{u})} \\ &- \frac{(\boldsymbol{\omega} \odot \exp(\mathbf{u}))(\boldsymbol{\omega} \odot \exp(\mathbf{u}))^T}{(\boldsymbol{\omega}^T \exp(\mathbf{u}))^2} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.1})$$

There are two terms in the Hessian matrix. The first term is

$$\frac{\text{diag}(\boldsymbol{\omega} \odot \exp(\mathbf{u}))}{\boldsymbol{\omega}^T \exp(\mathbf{u})}$$

This is a diagonal matrix where the diagonal entries are nonnegative and sum to one. The second term is

$$-\frac{(\boldsymbol{\omega} \odot \exp(\mathbf{u}))(\boldsymbol{\omega} \odot \exp(\mathbf{u}))^T}{(\boldsymbol{\omega}^T \exp(\mathbf{u}))^2}$$

This term is a rank-one matrix with a negative eigenvalue.

Writing Taylor's theorem:

$$\begin{aligned} lse_{\boldsymbol{\omega}}(\mathbf{v}) &= lse_{\boldsymbol{\omega}}(\mathbf{u}) + \langle \nabla lse_{\boldsymbol{\omega}}(\mathbf{u}), \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u} \rangle \\ &+ \int_0^1 (1-t)(\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u})^T \nabla^2 lse_{\boldsymbol{\omega}}(\mathbf{u} + t(\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u}))(\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u}) dt \end{aligned}$$

The terms in the integral can be bound

$$\begin{aligned} &(\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u})^T \nabla^2 lse_{\boldsymbol{\omega}}(\mathbf{u} + t(\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u}))(\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u}) \\ &\leq (\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u}) \frac{\text{diag}(\boldsymbol{\omega} \odot \exp(\mathbf{u} + t(\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u})))}{\boldsymbol{\omega}^T \exp(\mathbf{u} + t(\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u}))} (\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u}) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.2})$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum_{j=1}^J \frac{\omega_j \exp(u_j + t(v_j - u_j))}{\boldsymbol{\omega}^T \exp(\mathbf{u} + t(\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u}))} (v_j - u_j)^2 \\ &\leq \max_{\mathbf{c} \geq 0, \|\mathbf{c}\|_1 = 1} \sum_{j=1}^J c_j (v_j - u_j)^2 \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.3})$$

$$= \|\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u}\|_{\infty}^2 \quad (\text{A.4})$$

Eq. A.2 follows because the second term in the Hessian will give a nonpositive value and Eq. A.3 follows because the diagonal entries are nonnegative and sum to 1. The integral has an upper bound of $\frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u}\|_{\infty}^2$. \square

Proof. Proof of Theorem 2.

The log partition function can be written as a sum over only the hidden units to give a similar form to Theorem 1. Define the set $\{h_i\}_{i=1}^{2^J}$ as the set of unique binary vectors $\{0, 1\}^J$, and let $\mathbf{H} \in \{0, 1\}^{J \times 2^J}$ be the matrix form of this set.

$$f(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \log \sum_{i=1}^{2^J} \omega_i \exp(\mathbf{h}_i^T \mathbf{b}) \quad (\text{A.5})$$

$$\omega_i = \sum_{m=1}^M \log(1 + \exp(\mathbf{W}_{m, \cdot} \mathbf{h}_i + c_m)) \quad (\text{A.6})$$

Equation A.5 can be equivalently written as

$$f(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \log \boldsymbol{\omega}^T \exp(\mathbf{H}^T \mathbf{b}) \quad (\text{A.7})$$

with $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ not dependent on \mathbf{b} . Plugging into Equation 17,

$$\begin{aligned} f(\{\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}^k, \mathbf{W}^k\}) &\leq f(\boldsymbol{\theta}^k) \\ &+ \langle \nabla_{\mathbf{H}^T \mathbf{b}} lse_{\boldsymbol{\omega}}(\mathbf{H}^T \mathbf{b}^k), \mathbf{H}^T (\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{b}^k) \rangle \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{H}^T (\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{b}^k)\|_{\infty}^2 \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.8})$$

To rewrite the inner product term, note that

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{\mathbf{H}^T \mathbf{b}} lse_{\boldsymbol{\omega}}(\mathbf{H}^T \mathbf{b}^k) &= \mathbf{H}^T \nabla_{\mathbf{b}} f(\boldsymbol{\theta}^k) \quad (\text{A.9}) \\ (\nabla_{\mathbf{H}^T \mathbf{b}} lse_{\boldsymbol{\omega}}(\mathbf{H}^T \mathbf{b}^k))^T \mathbf{H} (\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{b}^k) &= (\nabla_{\mathbf{b}} f(\boldsymbol{\theta}^k))^T (\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{b}^k) \end{aligned}$$

The bound is simplified as

$$\|\mathbf{H}^T (\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{b}^k)\|_{\infty} = \max_i |h_i^T (\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{b}^k)| \leq J \|\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{b}^k\|_{\infty}$$

Alternatively, this could be bound as

$$\|\mathbf{H}^T (\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{b}^k)\|_{\infty} \leq \sqrt{J} \|\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{b}^k\|_2 \quad (\text{A.10})$$

$$\|\mathbf{H}^T (\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{b}^k)\|_{\infty} \leq \|\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{b}^k\|_1 \quad (\text{A.11})$$

The proof on \mathbf{c} follows with the same techniques. \square

Proof. Proof of Theorem 3.

As in the proof for Theorem 2, let $\mathbf{H} \in \{0, 1\}^{J \times 2^J}$

and $\mathbf{V} \in \{0, 1\}^{M \times 2^M}$, where each column is an unique binary vector. Define $\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{V}^T \mathbf{W} \mathbf{H}$ and $\Omega_{ij} = \mathbf{v}_i^T \mathbf{c} + \mathbf{h}_j^T \mathbf{b}$. Let $\mathbf{u} = \text{vec}(\mathbf{U})$ and $\boldsymbol{\omega} = \text{vec}(\Omega)$. The log partition function is equivalently written

$$f(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \log \sum_{i=1}^{2^M} \sum_{j=1}^{2^J} \Omega_{ij} \exp \mathbf{U}_{ij} \quad (\text{A.12})$$

$$f(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \log (\boldsymbol{\omega}^T \exp \mathbf{u}) \quad (\text{A.13})$$

Plugging this form into Equation 17:

$$\begin{aligned} lse_{\boldsymbol{\omega}}(\mathbf{u}) &\geq lse_{\boldsymbol{\omega}}(\mathbf{u}^k) + \langle \nabla_{\mathbf{u}} lse_{\boldsymbol{\omega}}(\mathbf{u}^k), \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}^k \rangle \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \|\text{vec}(\mathbf{U} - \mathbf{U}^k)\|_{\infty}^2 \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.14})$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \nabla_{\mathbf{u}} lse_{\boldsymbol{\omega}}(\mathbf{u}), \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}^k \rangle &= \text{tr}((\nabla_{\mathbf{U}} lse_{\Omega}(\mathbf{U}))^T (\mathbf{U} - \mathbf{U}^k)) \\ \nabla_{\mathbf{U}} lse_{\Omega}(\mathbf{U}) \mathbf{H}^T &= \nabla_{\mathbf{W}} f(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.15})$$

Writing the inner product in terms of \mathbf{W} gives

$$\text{tr}((\nabla_{\mathbf{U}} lse_{\Omega}(\mathbf{U}))^T (\mathbf{U} - \mathbf{U}^k)) = \text{tr}((\nabla_{\mathbf{W}})^T (\mathbf{W} - \mathbf{W}^k)) \quad (\text{A.16})$$

The bound is simplified:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\text{vec}(\mathbf{U} - \mathbf{U}^k)\|_{\infty} &= \max_{i,j} |\mathbf{v}_i^T (\mathbf{W} - \mathbf{W}^k) \mathbf{h}_j| \\ &\leq \sqrt{MJ} \|\mathbf{W} - \mathbf{W}^k\|_{S^{\infty}} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.17})$$

Combining these two elements proves Theorem 3. \square

B Derivation of optimal steps

Proof. Proof of \mathbf{b}^* in Equation 25.

We want to find the minimizer of

$$\min_{\mathbf{b}} \langle \nabla_{\mathbf{b}} F(\boldsymbol{\theta}^k), \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{b}^k \rangle + \frac{J}{2} \|\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{b}^k\|_{\infty}^2$$

First, add an additional variable a such that the minimizer of the expanded problem is the same as the original problem

$$= \min_{\mathbf{b}, a, |b_j| \leq a, a \geq 0} \langle \nabla_{\mathbf{b}} F(\boldsymbol{\theta}^k), \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{b}^k \rangle + \frac{J}{2} a^2 \quad (\text{B.1})$$

This is straightforward to solve:

$$= \min_{a, a \geq 0} \langle \nabla_{\mathbf{b}} F(\boldsymbol{\theta}^k), -a \times \text{sign}(\nabla_{\mathbf{b}} F(\boldsymbol{\theta}^k)) \rangle + \frac{J}{2} a^2$$

$$a^* = \frac{1}{J} \|\nabla_{\mathbf{b}} F(\boldsymbol{\theta}^k)\|_1 \quad (\text{B.2})$$

$$\mathbf{b}^* = \mathbf{b} - \frac{1}{J} \|\nabla_{\mathbf{b}} F(\boldsymbol{\theta}^k)\|_1 \times \text{sign}(\nabla_{\mathbf{b}} F(\boldsymbol{\theta}^k)) \quad (\text{B.3})$$

\square

Proof. Proof of \mathbf{W}^* in Equation 28.

Let $\mathbf{D} = \mathbf{W} - \mathbf{W}^k$, and decompose $\mathbf{D} = \mathbf{A} \mathbf{R} \mathbf{B}^T$, with \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{B} denoting the left and right singular vectors of $\nabla_{\mathbf{W}} F(\boldsymbol{\theta}^k)$. Then we want to minimize the quantity

$$\min_{\mathbf{D}} \text{tr}(\nabla_{\mathbf{W}} F(\boldsymbol{\theta}^k) \mathbf{D}) + \frac{MJ}{2} \|\mathbf{D}\|_{S^{\infty}}^2$$

As in the proof on the biases, add an additional variable that will give the same minimizer and solve for the solution.

$$\begin{aligned} &= \min_{\mathbf{D}, a, \|\mathbf{D}\|_{S^{\infty}} < a} \text{tr}(\nabla_{\mathbf{W}} F(\boldsymbol{\theta}^k) \mathbf{D}) + \frac{MJ}{2} a^2 \\ &= \min_{\mathbf{D}, a, \|\mathbf{D}\|_{S^{\infty}} < a} \text{tr}(\nabla_{\mathbf{W}} F(\boldsymbol{\theta}^k) \mathbf{D}) + \frac{MJ}{2} a^2 \\ &= \min_{a, \mathbf{F}, \|\mathbf{F}\|_{S^{\infty}} < a} \boldsymbol{\lambda}^T \text{diag}(\mathbf{R}) + \frac{MJ}{2} a^2 \end{aligned}$$

Letting \mathbf{I}_M denote the M -dimensional identity matrix, this gives:

$$\mathbf{R}^* = \frac{-a}{MJ} \mathbf{I}_M \quad (\text{B.4})$$

$$a = \|\boldsymbol{\lambda}\|_1 \quad (\text{B.5})$$

$$\mathbf{R}^* = \left(\frac{-1}{MJ} \|\boldsymbol{\lambda}\|_1 \times \mathbf{I}_M \right) \quad (\text{B.6})$$

\square

C Discussion of using ℓ_2 bound instead of ℓ_{∞} bound on lse function

[Böhning, 1992] introduces a bound on the lse function

$$\begin{aligned} lse_1(\mathbf{v}) &\leq lse_1(\mathbf{u}) + \langle \nabla_{\mathbf{u}} lse_1(\mathbf{u}), \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u} \rangle \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u})^T \mathbf{B} (\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u}) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C.1})$$

$$\mathbf{B} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\mathbf{I}_J - \frac{1}{J} \mathbf{1}_J \mathbf{1}_J^T \right] \quad (\text{C.2})$$

Where \mathbf{I} is the J -dimensional identity matrix and $\mathbf{1}_J$ is a J -dimensional ones vector. This is trivially extended to use a nonnegative vector $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ in place of $\mathbf{1}_J$. The quadratic term is equivalently written

$$\frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u})^T \mathbf{B} (\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u}) = \frac{1}{4} \|\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u}\|_2^2 - \frac{1}{4} \text{mean}(\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u})^2 \quad (\text{C.3})$$

Because of the differences of logsumexp functions, the mean term drops out and so this bound gives

$$\begin{aligned} lse_{\boldsymbol{\omega}}(\mathbf{v}) &\leq lse_{\boldsymbol{\omega}}(\mathbf{u}) + \langle \nabla_{\mathbf{u}} lse_{\boldsymbol{\omega}}(\mathbf{u}), \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u} \rangle \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2 \times 2} \|\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u}\|_2^2 \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C.4})$$

Using Equation C.4 instead of Equation 17 in the proofs in Supplemental Section A leads to looser

bounds due to the high-dimensional nature of the observation space. However, it should be noted that it may be possible to bound this more tightly.

First, examining the bound on the matrix \mathbf{W} ,

$$\frac{1}{4} \|\text{vec}(\mathbf{U} - \mathbf{U}^k)\|_2^2 \quad (\text{C.5})$$

$$= \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{2^M} \sum_{j=1}^{2^J} (\mathbf{v}_i^T (\mathbf{W} - \mathbf{W}^k) \mathbf{u}_j)^2 \quad (\text{C.6})$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{2^M} \sum_{j=1}^{2^J} \mathbf{v}_i^T ((\mathbf{W} - \mathbf{W}^k) \odot (\mathbf{W} - \mathbf{W}^k)) \mathbf{u}_j \quad (\text{C.7})$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{1}{4} \text{tr}(((\mathbf{W} - \mathbf{W}^k) \odot (\mathbf{W} - \mathbf{W}^k)) \sum_{i=1}^{2^M} \sum_{j=1}^{2^J} \mathbf{h}_j \mathbf{v}_i^T) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \text{tr}(((\mathbf{W} - \mathbf{W}^k) \odot (\mathbf{W} - \mathbf{W}^k)) (\frac{2^{M+J}}{4} \mathbf{1}_{J \times M})) \\ &= \frac{2^{M+J}}{16} \|\mathbf{W} - \mathbf{W}\|_F^2 \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C.8})$$

For realistic problems sizes of RBMs, the bound that comes out of the logsumexp ∞ -norm bound is exponentially tighter than the bound using logsumexp ℓ_2 norm bound.

Similar analysis on the bias terms reveals a bounding term equations

$$\begin{aligned} f(\{\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}^k, \mathbf{W}^k\}) &\leq f(\boldsymbol{\theta}^k) + \langle \nabla_{\mathbf{b}} f(\boldsymbol{\theta}^k), \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{b}^k \rangle \\ &\quad + \frac{2^J}{8} \|\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{b}^k\|_\infty^2 \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C.9})$$

$$\begin{aligned} f(\{\mathbf{b}^k, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{W}^k\}) &\leq f(\boldsymbol{\theta}^k) + \langle \nabla_{\mathbf{c}} f(\boldsymbol{\theta}^k), \mathbf{c} - \mathbf{c}^k \rangle \\ &\quad + \frac{2^M}{8} \|\mathbf{c} - \mathbf{c}^k\|_\infty^2 \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C.10})$$