

A Bilinear Maximization Guarantees

Lemma 3.2. For any real $m \times n$, rank- r matrix $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}$ and arbitrary norm-bounded sets $\mathcal{X} \subset \mathbb{R}^{m \times k}$ and $\mathcal{Y} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n \times k}$, let

$$(\tilde{\mathbf{X}}_*, \tilde{\mathbf{Y}}_*) \triangleq \arg \max_{\mathbf{X} \in \mathcal{X}, \mathbf{Y} \in \mathcal{Y}} \text{Tr}(\mathbf{X}^\top \tilde{\mathbf{A}} \mathbf{Y}).$$

If there exist operators $P_{\mathcal{X}} : \mathbb{R}^{m \times k} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ such that

$$P_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathbf{L}) = \arg \max_{\mathbf{X} \in \mathcal{X}} \text{Tr}(\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{L})$$

and similarly, $P_{\mathcal{Y}} : \mathbb{R}^{n \times k} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ such that

$$P_{\mathcal{Y}}(\mathbf{R}) = \arg \max_{\mathbf{Y} \in \mathcal{Y}} \text{Tr}(\mathbf{R}^\top \mathbf{Y})$$

with running times $T_{\mathcal{X}}$ and $T_{\mathcal{Y}}$, respectively, then Algorithm 1 outputs $\tilde{\mathbf{X}} \in \mathcal{X}$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{Y}} \in \mathcal{Y}$ such that

$$\text{Tr}(\tilde{\mathbf{X}}^\top \tilde{\mathbf{A}} \tilde{\mathbf{Y}}) \geq \text{Tr}(\tilde{\mathbf{X}}_*^\top \tilde{\mathbf{A}} \tilde{\mathbf{Y}}_*) - 2\epsilon\sqrt{k} \cdot \|\tilde{\mathbf{A}}\|_2 \cdot \mu_{\mathcal{X}} \cdot \mu_{\mathcal{Y}},$$

where $\mu_{\mathcal{X}} \triangleq \max_{\mathbf{X} \in \mathcal{X}} \|\mathbf{X}\|_F$ and $\mu_{\mathcal{Y}} \triangleq \max_{\mathbf{Y} \in \mathcal{Y}} \|\mathbf{Y}\|_F$, in time $O((2\sqrt{r}/\epsilon)^{r \cdot k} \cdot (T_{\mathcal{X}} + T_{\mathcal{Y}} + (m+n)r)) + T_{\text{svd}}(r)$.

Proof. In the sequel, $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}$, $\tilde{\mathbf{\Sigma}}$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{V}}$ are used to denote the r -truncated singular value decomposition of $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}$.

Without loss of generality, we assume that $\mu_{\mathcal{X}} = \mu_{\mathcal{Y}} = 1$ since the variables in \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} can be normalized by $\mu_{\mathcal{X}}$ and $\mu_{\mathcal{Y}}$, respectively, while simultaneously scaling the singular values of $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}$ by a factor of $\mu_{\mathcal{X}} \cdot \mu_{\mathcal{Y}}$. Then, $\|\mathbf{Y}\|_{\infty,2} \leq 1$, $\forall \mathbf{Y} \in \mathcal{Y}$, where $\|\mathbf{Y}\|_{\infty,2}$ denotes the maximum of the ℓ_2 -norm of the columns of \mathbf{Y} .

Let $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}_*, \tilde{\mathbf{Y}}_*$ be the optimal pair on $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}$, i.e.,

$$(\tilde{\mathbf{X}}_*, \tilde{\mathbf{Y}}_*) \triangleq \arg \max_{\mathbf{X} \in \mathcal{X}, \mathbf{Y} \in \mathcal{Y}} \text{Tr}(\mathbf{X}^\top \tilde{\mathbf{A}} \mathbf{Y})$$

and define the $r \times k$ matrix $\tilde{\mathbf{C}}_* \triangleq \tilde{\mathbf{V}}^\top \tilde{\mathbf{Y}}_*$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{\mathbf{C}}_*\|_{\infty,2} &= \|\tilde{\mathbf{V}}^\top \tilde{\mathbf{Y}}_*\|_{\infty,2} \\ &= \max_{1 \leq i \leq k} \|\tilde{\mathbf{V}}^\top [\tilde{\mathbf{Y}}_*]_{:,i}\|_2 \leq 1, \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

with the last inequality following from the facts that $\|\mathbf{Y}\|_{\infty,2} \leq 1 \forall \mathbf{Y} \in \mathcal{Y}$ and the columns of $\tilde{\mathbf{V}}$ are orthonormal. Alg. 1 iterates over the points in $(\mathbb{B}_2^{r-1})^{\otimes k}$. The latter is used to describe the set of $r \times k$ matrices whose columns have ℓ_2 norm at most equal to 1. At each point, the algorithm computes a candidate solution. By (14), the ϵ -net contains an $r \times k$ matrix $\mathbf{C}_\#$ such that

$$\|\mathbf{C}_\# - \tilde{\mathbf{C}}_*\|_{\infty,2} \leq \epsilon.$$

Let $\mathbf{X}_\#, \mathbf{Y}_\#$ be the candidate pair computed at $\mathbf{C}_\#$ by the two step maximization, i.e.,

$$\mathbf{X}_\# \triangleq \arg \max_{\mathbf{X} \in \mathcal{X}} \text{Tr}(\mathbf{X}^\top \tilde{\mathbf{U}} \tilde{\mathbf{\Sigma}} \mathbf{C}_\#)$$

and

$$\mathbf{Y}_\# \triangleq \arg \max_{\mathbf{Y} \in \mathcal{Y}} \text{Tr}(\mathbf{X}_\#^\top \tilde{\mathbf{A}} \mathbf{Y}). \quad (15)$$

We show that the objective values achieved by the candidate pair $\mathbf{X}_\#, \mathbf{Y}_\#$ satisfies the inequality of the lemma implying the desired result.

By the definition of $\tilde{\mathbf{C}}_*$ and the linearity of the trace,

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{Tr}(\tilde{\mathbf{X}}_*^\top \tilde{\mathbf{A}} \tilde{\mathbf{Y}}_*) \\ &= \text{Tr}(\tilde{\mathbf{X}}_*^\top \tilde{\mathbf{U}} \tilde{\mathbf{\Sigma}} \tilde{\mathbf{C}}_*) \\ &= \text{Tr}(\tilde{\mathbf{X}}_*^\top \tilde{\mathbf{U}} \tilde{\mathbf{\Sigma}} \mathbf{C}_\#) + \text{Tr}(\tilde{\mathbf{X}}_*^\top \tilde{\mathbf{U}} \tilde{\mathbf{\Sigma}} (\tilde{\mathbf{C}}_* - \mathbf{C}_\#)) \\ &\leq \text{Tr}(\mathbf{X}_\#^\top \tilde{\mathbf{U}} \tilde{\mathbf{\Sigma}} \mathbf{C}_\#) + \text{Tr}(\tilde{\mathbf{X}}_*^\top \tilde{\mathbf{U}} \tilde{\mathbf{\Sigma}} (\tilde{\mathbf{C}}_* - \mathbf{C}_\#)). \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

The inequality follows from the fact that (by definition (15)) $\mathbf{X}_\#$ maximizes the first term over all $\mathbf{X} \in \mathcal{X}$. We compute an upper bound on the right hand side of (16). Define

$$\hat{\mathbf{Y}} \triangleq \arg \min_{\mathbf{Y} \in \mathcal{Y}} \|\tilde{\mathbf{V}}^\top \mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{C}_\#\|_{\infty,2}.$$

(We note that $\hat{\mathbf{Y}}$ is used for the analysis and is never explicitly calculated.) Further, define the $r \times k$ matrix $\hat{\mathbf{C}} \triangleq \tilde{\mathbf{V}}^\top \hat{\mathbf{Y}}$. By the linearity of the trace operator

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{Tr}(\mathbf{X}_\#^\top \tilde{\mathbf{U}} \tilde{\mathbf{\Sigma}} \mathbf{C}_\#) \\ &= \text{Tr}(\mathbf{X}_\#^\top \tilde{\mathbf{U}} \tilde{\mathbf{\Sigma}} \hat{\mathbf{C}}) + \text{Tr}(\mathbf{X}_\#^\top \tilde{\mathbf{U}} \tilde{\mathbf{\Sigma}} (\mathbf{C}_\# - \hat{\mathbf{C}})) \\ &= \text{Tr}(\mathbf{X}_\#^\top \tilde{\mathbf{U}} \tilde{\mathbf{\Sigma}} \tilde{\mathbf{V}}^\top \hat{\mathbf{Y}}) + \text{Tr}(\mathbf{X}_\#^\top \tilde{\mathbf{U}} \tilde{\mathbf{\Sigma}} (\mathbf{C}_\# - \hat{\mathbf{C}})) \\ &\leq \text{Tr}(\mathbf{X}_\#^\top \tilde{\mathbf{U}} \tilde{\mathbf{\Sigma}} \tilde{\mathbf{V}}^\top \mathbf{Y}_\#) + \text{Tr}(\mathbf{X}_\#^\top \tilde{\mathbf{U}} \tilde{\mathbf{\Sigma}} (\mathbf{C}_\# - \hat{\mathbf{C}})) \\ &= \text{Tr}(\mathbf{X}_\#^\top \tilde{\mathbf{A}} \mathbf{Y}_\#) + \text{Tr}(\mathbf{X}_\#^\top \tilde{\mathbf{U}} \tilde{\mathbf{\Sigma}} (\mathbf{C}_\# - \hat{\mathbf{C}})). \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

The inequality follows from the fact that (by definition (15)) $\mathbf{Y}_\#$ maximizes the first term over all $\mathbf{Y} \in \mathcal{Y}$. Combining (17) and (16), and rearranging the terms, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{Tr}(\tilde{\mathbf{X}}_*^\top \tilde{\mathbf{A}} \tilde{\mathbf{Y}}_*) - \text{Tr}(\mathbf{X}_\#^\top \tilde{\mathbf{A}} \mathbf{Y}_\#) \\ &\leq \text{Tr}(\tilde{\mathbf{X}}_*^\top \tilde{\mathbf{U}} \tilde{\mathbf{\Sigma}} (\tilde{\mathbf{C}}_* - \mathbf{C}_\#)) + \text{Tr}(\mathbf{X}_\#^\top \tilde{\mathbf{U}} \tilde{\mathbf{\Sigma}} (\mathbf{C}_\# - \hat{\mathbf{C}})). \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

By Lemma C.10,

$$\begin{aligned} &|\text{Tr}(\tilde{\mathbf{X}}_*^\top \tilde{\mathbf{U}} \tilde{\mathbf{\Sigma}} (\tilde{\mathbf{C}}_* - \mathbf{C}_\#))| \\ &\leq \|\tilde{\mathbf{X}}_*^\top \tilde{\mathbf{U}}\|_F \cdot \|\tilde{\mathbf{\Sigma}}\|_2 \cdot \|\tilde{\mathbf{C}}_* - \mathbf{C}_\#\|_F \\ &\leq \|\tilde{\mathbf{X}}_*\|_F \cdot \sigma_1(\tilde{\mathbf{A}}) \cdot \sqrt{k} \cdot \epsilon \\ &\leq \max_{\mathbf{X} \in \mathcal{X}} \|\mathbf{X}\|_F \cdot \sigma_1(\tilde{\mathbf{A}}) \cdot \sqrt{k} \cdot \epsilon \\ &\leq \sigma_1(\tilde{\mathbf{A}}) \cdot \sqrt{k} \cdot \epsilon. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} |\text{Tr}(\mathbf{X}_\#^\top \tilde{\mathbf{U}} \tilde{\Sigma} (\mathbf{C}_\# - \hat{\mathbf{C}}))| &\leq \|\mathbf{X}_\# \tilde{\mathbf{U}}\|_F \cdot \|\tilde{\Sigma}\|_2 \cdot \|\mathbf{C}_\# - \hat{\mathbf{C}}\|_F \\ &\leq \max_{\mathbf{X} \in \mathcal{X}} \|\mathbf{X}\|_F \cdot \sigma_1(\tilde{\mathbf{A}}) \cdot \sqrt{k} \cdot \epsilon \\ &\leq \sigma_1(\tilde{\mathbf{A}}) \cdot \sqrt{k} \cdot \epsilon. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

The second inequality follows from the fact that by the definition of $\hat{\mathbf{C}}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\hat{\mathbf{C}} - \mathbf{C}_\# \|_{\infty,2} &= \|\tilde{\mathbf{V}}^\top \hat{\mathbf{Y}} - \mathbf{C}_\# \|_{\infty,2} \leq \|\tilde{\mathbf{V}}^\top \tilde{\mathbf{Y}}_\star - \mathbf{C}_\# \|_{\infty,2} \\ &= \|\tilde{\mathbf{C}}_\star - \mathbf{C}_\# \|_{\infty,2} \leq \epsilon, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\|\hat{\mathbf{C}} - \mathbf{C}_\# \|_F \leq \sqrt{k} \cdot \epsilon.$$

Continuing from (18) under (19) and (20),

$$\text{Tr}(\mathbf{X}_\#^\top \tilde{\mathbf{A}} \mathbf{Y}_\#) \geq \text{Tr}(\tilde{\mathbf{X}}_\star^\top \tilde{\mathbf{A}} \tilde{\mathbf{Y}}_\star) - 2 \cdot \epsilon \cdot \sqrt{k} \cdot \sigma_1(\tilde{\mathbf{A}}).$$

Recalling that the singular values of $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}$ have been scaled by a factor of $\mu_{\mathcal{X}} \cdot \mu_{\mathcal{Y}}$ yields the desired result.

The runtime of Alg. 1 follows from the cost per iteration and the cardinality of the ϵ -net. Matrix multiplications can exploit the truncated singular value decomposition of $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}$ which is performed only once. \square

Lemma A.6. *For any $\mathbf{A}, \tilde{\mathbf{A}} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, and norm-bounded sets $\mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{m \times k}$ and $\mathcal{Y} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n \times k}$, let*

$$(\mathbf{X}_\star, \mathbf{Y}_\star) \triangleq \arg \max_{\mathbf{X} \in \mathcal{X}, \mathbf{Y} \in \mathcal{Y}} \text{Tr}(\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{A} \mathbf{Y}),$$

and

$$(\tilde{\mathbf{X}}_\star, \tilde{\mathbf{Y}}_\star) \triangleq \arg \max_{\mathbf{X} \in \mathcal{X}, \mathbf{Y} \in \mathcal{Y}} \text{Tr}(\mathbf{X}^\top \tilde{\mathbf{A}} \mathbf{Y}).$$

For any $(\tilde{\mathbf{X}}, \tilde{\mathbf{Y}}) \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}$ such that

$$\text{Tr}(\tilde{\mathbf{X}}^\top \tilde{\mathbf{A}} \tilde{\mathbf{Y}}) \geq \gamma \cdot \text{Tr}(\tilde{\mathbf{X}}_\star^\top \tilde{\mathbf{A}} \tilde{\mathbf{Y}}_\star) - C$$

for some $0 < \gamma \leq 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}(\tilde{\mathbf{X}}^\top \mathbf{A} \tilde{\mathbf{Y}}) &\geq \gamma \cdot \text{Tr}(\mathbf{X}_\star^\top \mathbf{A} \mathbf{Y}_\star) - C \\ &\quad - 2 \cdot \|\mathbf{A} - \tilde{\mathbf{A}}\|_2 \cdot \mu_{\mathcal{X}} \cdot \mu_{\mathcal{Y}}. \end{aligned}$$

where $\mu_{\mathcal{X}} \triangleq \max_{\mathbf{X} \in \mathcal{X}} \|\mathbf{X}\|_F$ and $\mu_{\mathcal{Y}} \triangleq \max_{\mathbf{Y} \in \mathcal{Y}} \|\mathbf{Y}\|_F$.

Proof. By the optimality of $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}_\star, \tilde{\mathbf{Y}}_\star$ for $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}$, we have

$$\text{Tr}(\tilde{\mathbf{X}}_\star^\top \tilde{\mathbf{A}} \tilde{\mathbf{Y}}_\star) \geq \text{Tr}(\mathbf{X}_\star^\top \tilde{\mathbf{A}} \mathbf{Y}_\star).$$

In turn, for any $(\tilde{\mathbf{X}}, \tilde{\mathbf{Y}}) \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}$ such that

$$\text{Tr}(\tilde{\mathbf{X}}^\top \tilde{\mathbf{A}} \tilde{\mathbf{Y}}) \geq \gamma \cdot \text{Tr}(\tilde{\mathbf{X}}_\star^\top \tilde{\mathbf{A}} \tilde{\mathbf{Y}}_\star) - C$$

for some $0 < \gamma < 1$ (if such pairs exist), we have

$$\text{Tr}(\tilde{\mathbf{X}}^\top \tilde{\mathbf{A}} \tilde{\mathbf{Y}}) \geq \gamma \cdot \text{Tr}(\mathbf{X}_\star^\top \tilde{\mathbf{A}} \mathbf{Y}_\star) - C. \quad (21)$$

By the linearity of the trace operator,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}(\tilde{\mathbf{X}}^\top \tilde{\mathbf{A}} \tilde{\mathbf{Y}}) &= \text{Tr}(\tilde{\mathbf{X}}^\top \mathbf{A} \tilde{\mathbf{Y}}) - \text{Tr}(\tilde{\mathbf{X}}^\top (\mathbf{A} - \tilde{\mathbf{A}}) \tilde{\mathbf{Y}}) \\ &\leq \text{Tr}(\tilde{\mathbf{X}}^\top \mathbf{A} \tilde{\mathbf{Y}}) + |\text{Tr}(\tilde{\mathbf{X}}^\top (\mathbf{A} - \tilde{\mathbf{A}}) \tilde{\mathbf{Y}})|. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

By Lemma C.10,

$$\begin{aligned} |\text{Tr}(\tilde{\mathbf{X}}^\top (\mathbf{A} - \tilde{\mathbf{A}}) \tilde{\mathbf{Y}})| &\leq \|\tilde{\mathbf{X}}\|_F \cdot \|\tilde{\mathbf{Y}}\|_F \cdot \|\mathbf{A} - \tilde{\mathbf{A}}\|_2 \\ &\leq \|\mathbf{A} - \tilde{\mathbf{A}}\|_2 \cdot \max_{\mathbf{X} \in \mathcal{X}} \|\mathbf{X}\|_F \cdot \max_{\mathbf{Y} \in \mathcal{Y}} \|\mathbf{Y}\|_F \triangleq R. \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

Continuing from (22),

$$\text{Tr}(\tilde{\mathbf{X}}^\top \tilde{\mathbf{A}} \tilde{\mathbf{Y}}) \leq \text{Tr}(\tilde{\mathbf{X}}^\top \mathbf{A} \tilde{\mathbf{Y}}) + R. \quad (24)$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}(\mathbf{X}_\star^\top \tilde{\mathbf{A}} \mathbf{Y}_\star) &= \text{Tr}(\mathbf{X}_\star^\top \mathbf{A} \mathbf{Y}_\star) - \text{Tr}(\mathbf{X}_\star^\top (\mathbf{A} - \tilde{\mathbf{A}}) \mathbf{Y}_\star) \\ &\geq \text{Tr}(\mathbf{X}_\star^\top \mathbf{A} \mathbf{Y}_\star) - |\text{Tr}(\mathbf{X}_\star^\top (\mathbf{A} - \tilde{\mathbf{A}}) \mathbf{Y}_\star)| \\ &\geq \text{Tr}(\mathbf{X}_\star^\top \mathbf{A} \mathbf{Y}_\star) - R. \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

Combining the above, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}(\tilde{\mathbf{X}}^\top \mathbf{A} \tilde{\mathbf{Y}}) &\geq \text{Tr}(\tilde{\mathbf{X}}^\top \tilde{\mathbf{A}} \tilde{\mathbf{Y}}) - R \\ &\geq \gamma \cdot \text{Tr}(\mathbf{X}_\star^\top \tilde{\mathbf{A}} \mathbf{Y}_\star) - R - C \\ &\geq \gamma \cdot (\text{Tr}(\mathbf{X}_\star^\top \mathbf{A} \mathbf{Y}_\star) - R) - R - C \\ &= \gamma \cdot \text{Tr}(\mathbf{X}_\star^\top \mathbf{A} \mathbf{Y}_\star) - (1 + \gamma) \cdot R - C \\ &\geq \gamma \cdot \text{Tr}(\mathbf{X}_\star^\top \mathbf{A} \mathbf{Y}_\star) - 2 \cdot R - C, \end{aligned}$$

where the first inequality follows from (24) the second from (21), the third from (25), and the last from the fact that $R \geq 0$. This concludes the proof. \square

Lemma 3.3. *For any $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, let*

$$(\mathbf{X}_\star, \mathbf{Y}_\star) \triangleq \arg \max_{\mathbf{X} \in \mathcal{X}, \mathbf{Y} \in \mathcal{Y}} \text{Tr}(\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{A} \mathbf{Y}),$$

where $\mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{m \times k}$ and $\mathcal{Y} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n \times k}$ are sets satisfying the conditions of Lemma 3.2. Let $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}$ be a rank- r approximation of \mathbf{A} , and $\tilde{\mathbf{X}} \in \mathcal{X}$, $\tilde{\mathbf{Y}} \in \mathcal{Y}$ be the output of Alg. 1 with input $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}$ and accuracy ϵ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}(\mathbf{X}_\star^\top \mathbf{A} \mathbf{Y}_\star) - \text{Tr}(\tilde{\mathbf{X}}^\top \tilde{\mathbf{A}} \tilde{\mathbf{Y}}) &\leq 2 \cdot \left(\epsilon \sqrt{k} \cdot \|\tilde{\mathbf{A}}\|_2 + \|\mathbf{A} - \tilde{\mathbf{A}}\|_2 \right) \cdot \mu_{\mathcal{X}} \cdot \mu_{\mathcal{Y}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mu_{\mathcal{X}} \triangleq \max_{\mathbf{X} \in \mathcal{X}} \|\mathbf{X}\|_F$ and $\mu_{\mathcal{Y}} \triangleq \max_{\mathbf{Y} \in \mathcal{Y}} \|\mathbf{Y}\|_F$.

Proof. The proof follows the approximation guarantees of Alg. 1 in Lemma 3.2 and Lemma A.6. \square

B Correctness of Algorithm 2

In the sequel, we use $\|\mathbf{X}\|_{\infty,1}$ to denote the maximum of the ℓ_1 norm of the rows of \mathbf{X} . When $\mathbf{X} \in \{0,1\}^{d \times k}$, the constraint $\|\mathbf{X}\|_{\infty,1} = 1$ effectively implies that each row of \mathbf{X} has exactly one nonzero entry.

Lemma 4.4. *Let $\mathcal{X} \triangleq \{\mathbf{X} \in \{0,1\}^{d \times k} : \|\mathbf{X}\|_{\infty,1} = 1\}$. For any $d \times k$ real matrix \mathbf{L} , Algorithm 2 outputs*

$$\tilde{\mathbf{X}} = \arg \max_{\mathbf{X} \in \mathcal{X}} \text{Tr}(\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{L}),$$

in time $O(k \cdot d)$

Proof. By construction, each row of \mathbf{X} has exactly one nonzero entry. Let $j_i \in [k]$ denote the index of the nonzero entry in the i th row of \mathbf{X} . For any $\mathbf{X} \in \mathcal{X}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}(\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{L}) &= \sum_{j=1}^k \mathbf{x}_j^\top \mathbf{l}_j = \sum_{j=1}^k \sum_{i \in \text{supp}(\mathbf{x}_j)} 1 \cdot L_{ij} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^d L_{ij_i} \leq \sum_{i=1}^d \max_{j \in [k]} L_{ij}. \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

Algorithm 2 achieves equality in (26) due to the choice of j_i in line 3. Finally, the running time follows immediately from the $O(k)$ time required to determine the maximum entry of each of the d rows of \mathbf{L} . \square

C Auxiliary Lemmas

Lemma C.7. *Let a_1, \dots, a_n and b_1, \dots, b_n be $2n$ real numbers and let p and q be two numbers such that $1/p + 1/q = 1$ and $p > 1$. We have*

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i \right| \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |a_i|^p \right)^{1/p} \cdot \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |b_i|^q \right)^{1/q}.$$

Lemma C.8. *For any $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times k}$,*

$$|\langle \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} \rangle| \triangleq |\text{Tr}(\mathbf{A}^\top \mathbf{B})| \leq \|\mathbf{A}\|_F \|\mathbf{B}\|_F.$$

Proof. Treating \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{B} as vectors, the lemma follows immediately from Lemma C.7 for $p = q = 2$. \square

Lemma C.9. *For any two real matrices \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{B} of appropriate dimensions,*

$$\|\mathbf{AB}\|_F \leq \min\{\|\mathbf{A}\|_2 \|\mathbf{B}\|_F, \|\mathbf{A}\|_F \|\mathbf{B}\|_2\}.$$

Proof. Let \mathbf{b}_i denote the i th column of \mathbf{B} . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{AB}\|_F^2 &= \sum_i \|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{b}_i\|_2^2 \leq \sum_i \|\mathbf{A}\|_2^2 \|\mathbf{b}_i\|_2^2 \\ &= \|\mathbf{A}\|_2^2 \sum_i \|\mathbf{b}_i\|_2^2 = \|\mathbf{A}\|_2^2 \|\mathbf{B}\|_F^2. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, using the previous inequality,

$$\|\mathbf{AB}\|_F^2 = \|\mathbf{B}^\top \mathbf{A}^\top\|_F^2 \leq \|\mathbf{B}^\top\|_2^2 \|\mathbf{A}^\top\|_F^2 = \|\mathbf{B}\|_2^2 \|\mathbf{A}\|_F^2.$$

The desired result follows combining the two upper bounds. \square

Lemma C.10. *For any real $m \times k$ matrix \mathbf{X} , $m \times n$ matrix \mathbf{A} , and $n \times k$ matrix \mathbf{Y} ,*

$$|\text{Tr}(\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{A} \mathbf{Y})| \leq \|\mathbf{X}\|_F \cdot \|\mathbf{A}\|_2 \cdot \|\mathbf{Y}\|_F.$$

Proof. We have

$$|\text{Tr}(\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{A} \mathbf{Y})| \leq \|\mathbf{X}\|_F \cdot \|\mathbf{A} \mathbf{Y}\|_F \leq \|\mathbf{X}\|_F \cdot \|\mathbf{A}\|_2 \cdot \|\mathbf{Y}\|_F,$$

with the first inequality following from Lemma C.8 on $|\langle \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{A} \mathbf{Y} \rangle|$ and the second from Lemma C.9. \square

Lemma C.11. *For any real $m \times n$ matrix \mathbf{A} , and pair of $m \times k$ matrix \mathbf{X} and $n \times k$ matrix \mathbf{Y} such that $\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{I}_k$ and $\mathbf{Y}^\top \mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{I}_k$ with $k \leq \min\{m, n\}$, the following holds:*

$$|\text{Tr}(\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{A} \mathbf{Y})| \leq \sqrt{k} \cdot \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \sigma_i^2(\mathbf{A}) \right)^{1/2}.$$

Proof. By Lemma C.8,

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{A} \mathbf{Y} \rangle| &= |\text{Tr}(\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{A} \mathbf{Y})| \\ &\leq \|\mathbf{X}\|_F \cdot \|\mathbf{A} \mathbf{Y}\|_F = \sqrt{k} \cdot \|\mathbf{A} \mathbf{Y}\|_F. \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from the fact that $\|\mathbf{X}\|_F^2 = \text{Tr}(\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X}) = \text{Tr}(\mathbf{I}_k) = k$. Further, for any \mathbf{Y} such that $\mathbf{Y}^\top \mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{I}_k$,

$$\|\mathbf{A} \mathbf{Y}\|_F^2 \leq \max_{\substack{\hat{\mathbf{Y}} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times k} \\ \hat{\mathbf{Y}}^\top \hat{\mathbf{Y}} = \mathbf{I}_k}} \|\mathbf{A} \hat{\mathbf{Y}}\|_F^2 = \sum_{i=1}^k \sigma_i^2(\mathbf{A}). \quad (27)$$

Combining the two inequalities, the result follows. \square

Lemma C.12. *For any real $m \times n$ matrix \mathbf{A} , and any $k \leq \min\{m, n\}$,*

$$\max_{\substack{\mathbf{Y} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times k} \\ \mathbf{Y}^\top \mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{I}_k}} \|\mathbf{A} \mathbf{Y}\|_F = \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \sigma_i^2(\mathbf{A}) \right)^{1/2}.$$

The above equality is realized when the k columns of \mathbf{Y} coincide with the k leading right singular vectors of \mathbf{A} .

Proof. Let $\mathbf{U}\Sigma\mathbf{V}^\top$ be the singular value decomposition of \mathbf{A} , with $\Sigma_{jj} = \sigma_j$ being the j th largest singular value of \mathbf{A} , $j = 1, \dots, d$, where $d \triangleq \min\{m, n\}$. Due to the invariance of the Frobenius norm under unitary multiplication,

$$\|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{Y}\|_{\text{F}}^2 = \|\mathbf{U}\Sigma\mathbf{V}^\top\mathbf{Y}\|_{\text{F}}^2 = \|\Sigma\mathbf{V}^\top\mathbf{Y}\|_{\text{F}}^2. \quad (28)$$

Continuing from (28),

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Sigma\mathbf{V}^\top\mathbf{Y}\|_{\text{F}}^2 &= \text{Tr}(\mathbf{Y}^\top\mathbf{V}\Sigma^2\mathbf{V}^\top\mathbf{Y}) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k \mathbf{y}_i^\top \left(\sum_{j=1}^d \sigma_j^2 \cdot \mathbf{v}_j \mathbf{v}_j^\top \right) \mathbf{y}_i \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^d \sigma_j^2 \cdot \sum_{i=1}^k (\mathbf{v}_j^\top \mathbf{y}_i)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Let $z_j \triangleq \sum_{i=1}^k (\mathbf{v}_j^\top \mathbf{y}_i)^2$, $j = 1, \dots, d$. Note that each individual z_j satisfies

$$0 \leq z_j \triangleq \sum_{i=1}^k (\mathbf{v}_j^\top \mathbf{y}_i)^2 \leq \|\mathbf{v}_j\|^2 = 1,$$

where the last inequality follows from the fact that the columns of \mathbf{Y} are orthonormal. Further,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^d z_j &= \sum_{j=1}^d \sum_{i=1}^k (\mathbf{v}_j^\top \mathbf{y}_i)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^d (\mathbf{v}_j^\top \mathbf{y}_i)^2 \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k \|\mathbf{y}_i\|^2 = k. \end{aligned}$$

Combining the above, we conclude that

$$\|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{Y}\|_{\text{F}}^2 = \sum_{j=1}^d \sigma_j^2 \cdot z_j \leq \sigma_1^2 + \dots + \sigma_k^2. \quad (29)$$

Finally, it is straightforward to verify that if $\mathbf{y}_i = \mathbf{v}_i$, $i = 1, \dots, k$, then (29) holds with equality. \square

Lemma C.13. *For any real $m \times n$ matrix \mathbf{A} , let $\sigma_i(\mathbf{A})$ be the i th largest singular value. For any $r, k \leq \min\{m, n\}$,*

$$\sum_{i=r+1}^{r+k} \sigma_i(\mathbf{A}) \leq \frac{k}{\sqrt{r+k}} \|\mathbf{A}\|_{\text{F}}.$$

Proof. By the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=r+1}^{r+k} \sigma_i(\mathbf{A}) &= \sum_{i=r+1}^{r+k} |\sigma_i(\mathbf{A})| \leq \left(\sum_{i=r+1}^{r+k} \sigma_i^2(\mathbf{A}) \right)^{1/2} \|\mathbf{1}_k\|_2 \\ &= \sqrt{k} \cdot \left(\sum_{i=r+1}^{r+k} \sigma_i^2(\mathbf{A}) \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\sigma_{r+1}(\mathbf{A}), \dots, \sigma_{r+k}(\mathbf{A})$ are the k smallest among the $r+k$ largest singular values. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=r+1}^{r+k} \sigma_i^2(\mathbf{A}) &\leq \frac{k}{r+k} \sum_{i=1}^{r+k} \sigma_i^2(\mathbf{A}) \leq \frac{k}{r+k} \sum_{i=1}^l \sigma_i^2(\mathbf{A}) \\ &= \frac{k}{r+k} \|\mathbf{A}\|_{\text{F}}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Combining the two inequalities, the desired result follows. \square

Corollary 1. *For any real $m \times n$ matrix \mathbf{A} , the r th largest singular value $\sigma_r(\mathbf{A})$ satisfies $\sigma_r(\mathbf{A}) \leq \|\mathbf{A}\|_{\text{F}}/\sqrt{r}$.*

Proof. It follows immediately from Lemma C.13. \square

First, we define the $\|\cdot\|_{\infty,2}$ norm of a matrix as the l_2 norm of the column with the maximum l_2 norm, i.e., for an $r \times k$ matrix \mathbf{C}

$$\|\mathbf{C}\|_{\infty,2} = \max_{1 \leq i \leq k} \|\mathbf{c}_i\|_2.$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{C}\|_{\text{F}}^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^k \|\mathbf{c}_i\|_2^2 \leq k \cdot \max_{1 \leq i \leq k} \|\mathbf{c}_i\|_2^2 \\ &= k \cdot \left(\max_{1 \leq i \leq k} \|\mathbf{c}_i\|_2 \right)^2 = k \cdot \|\mathbf{C}\|_{\infty,2}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$