

Supplementary Material

We will make use of the following result throughout this section.

Proposition S1 Suppose f is L -smooth and m -strongly convex. Then for all x, y the following inequalities hold.

$$f(x) - f(y) \geq \nabla f(y)^T(x - y) + \frac{m}{2}\|x - y\|^2 \quad (\text{S1})$$

$$f(y) - f(x) \geq \nabla f(y)^T(y - x) - \frac{L}{2}\|y - x\|^2 \quad (\text{S2})$$

Proof. These inequalities follow from the definitions of L -smoothness and m -strong convexity. \blacksquare

A. Proof of Lemma 3

Applying (S1) with $(x, y) \mapsto (x_k, y_k)$, we obtain

$$f(x_k) - f(y_k) \geq \nabla f(y_k)^T(x_k - y_k) + \frac{m}{2}\|x_k - y_k\|^2.$$

Applying (S2) with $(x, y) \mapsto (y_k - \alpha \nabla f(y_k), y_k)$, we obtain

$$f(y_k) - f(y_k - \alpha \nabla f(y_k)) \geq \frac{\alpha}{2}(2 - L\alpha)\|\nabla f(y_k)\|^2.$$

Summing these inequalities, we obtain:

$$f(x_k) - f(y_k - \alpha \nabla f(y_k)) \geq \nabla f(y_k)^T(x_k - y_k) + \frac{m}{2}\|x_k - y_k\|^2 + \frac{\alpha}{2}(2 - L\alpha)\|\nabla f(y_k)\|^2. \quad (\text{S3})$$

Substituting $x_{k+1} = y_k - \alpha \nabla f(y_k)$ in the left-hand side of (S3), we can rewrite it as

$$\frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} x_k - y_k \\ \nabla f(y_k) \end{bmatrix}^T \left(\begin{bmatrix} m & 1 \\ 1 & \alpha(2 - L\alpha) \end{bmatrix} \otimes I_p \right) \begin{bmatrix} x_k - y_k \\ \nabla f(y_k) \end{bmatrix} \leq f(x_k) - f(x_{k+1}). \quad (\text{S4})$$

Substituting $y_k = (1 + \beta)x_k - \beta x_{k-1}$ into (S4), we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} x_k - x_* \\ x_{k-1} - x_* \\ \nabla f(y_k) \end{bmatrix}^T \left(\begin{bmatrix} \beta^2 m & -\beta^2 m & -\beta \\ -\beta^2 m & \beta^2 m & \beta \\ -\beta & \beta & \alpha(2 - L\alpha) \end{bmatrix} \otimes I_p \right) \begin{bmatrix} x_k - x_* \\ x_{k-1} - x_* \\ \nabla f(y_k) \end{bmatrix} \leq f(x_k) - f(x_{k+1}),$$

which directly leads to the formulation of \tilde{X}_1 in Lemma 3. Similarly, we apply (S1) with $(x, y) \mapsto (x_*, y_k)$ and obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} x_k - x_* \\ x_{k-1} - x_* \\ \nabla f(y_k) \end{bmatrix}^T \left(\begin{bmatrix} (1 + \beta)^2 m & -\beta(1 + \beta)m & -(1 + \beta) \\ -\beta(1 + \beta)m & \beta^2 m & \beta \\ -(1 + \beta) & \beta & \alpha(2 - L\alpha) \end{bmatrix} \otimes I_p \right) \begin{bmatrix} x_k - x_* \\ x_{k-1} - x_* \\ \nabla f(y_k) \end{bmatrix} \leq f(x_*) - f(x_{k+1})$$

which directly leads to the formulation of \tilde{X}_2 in Lemma 3. The rest of the proof is straightforward. Actually, we can choose $\tilde{X} := \rho^2 \tilde{X}_1 + (1 - \rho^2) \tilde{X}_2$ and we directly obtain

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_k - x_* \\ x_{k-1} - x_* \\ \nabla f(y_k) \end{bmatrix}^T (\tilde{X} \otimes I_p) \begin{bmatrix} x_k - x_* \\ x_{k-1} - x_* \\ \nabla f(y_k) \end{bmatrix} \leq -(f(x_{k+1}) - f(x_*)) + \rho^2(f(x_k) - f(x_*)).$$

Specifically, \tilde{X} may be computed as

$$\tilde{X} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} (1 + \beta)^2 m - (1 + 2\beta)m\rho^2 & (\rho^2 - 1 - \beta)\beta m & \rho^2 - 1 - \beta \\ (\rho^2 - 1 - \beta)\beta m & \beta^2 m & \beta \\ \rho^2 - 1 - \beta & \beta & \alpha(2 - L\alpha) \end{bmatrix}.$$

\blacksquare

B. Proof of Lemma 5

Applying (S2) with $(x, y) \mapsto (x_{k+1}, y_k)$, and making the substitutions $x_{k+1} = (1 + \beta)x_k - \beta x_{k-1} - \alpha \nabla f(y_k)$ and $y_k = (1 + \eta)x_k - \eta x_{k-1}$, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} f(y_k) - f(x_{k+1}) &\geq \nabla f(y_k)^T (y_k - x_{k+1}) - \frac{L}{2} \|x_{k+1} - y_k\|^2 \\ &= \nabla f(y_k)^T ((\beta - \eta)(x_{k-1} - x_k) + \alpha \nabla f(y_k)) - \frac{L}{2} \|(\beta - \eta)(x_{k-1} - x_k) + \alpha \nabla f(y_k)\|^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} x_k - x_* \\ x_{k-1} - x_* \\ \nabla f(y_k) \end{bmatrix}^T \left(\begin{bmatrix} -L(\beta - \eta)^2 & L(\beta - \eta)^2 & -(1 - L\alpha)(\beta - \eta) \\ L(\beta - \eta)^2 & -L(\beta - \eta)^2 & (1 - L\alpha)(\beta - \eta) \\ -(1 - L\alpha)(\beta - \eta) & (1 - L\alpha)(\beta - \eta) & \alpha(2 - L\alpha) \end{bmatrix} \otimes I_p \right) \begin{bmatrix} x_k - x_* \\ x_{k-1} - x_* \\ \nabla f(y_k) \end{bmatrix} \quad (\text{S5}) \end{aligned}$$

Applying (S1) with $(x, y) \mapsto (x_k, y_k)$ and substituting $y_k = (1 + \eta)x_k - \eta x_{k-1}$, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_k) - f(y_k) &\geq \nabla f(y_k)^T (x_k - y_k) + \frac{m}{2} \|x_k - y_k\|^2 \\ &= \eta \nabla f(y_k)^T (x_{k-1} - x_k) + \frac{m\eta^2}{2} \|x_{k-1} - x_k\|^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} x_k - x_* \\ x_{k-1} - x_* \\ \nabla f(y_k) \end{bmatrix}^T \left(\begin{bmatrix} \eta^2 m & -\eta^2 m & -\eta \\ -\eta^2 m & \eta^2 m & \eta \\ -\eta & \eta & 0 \end{bmatrix} \otimes I_p \right) \begin{bmatrix} x_k - x_* \\ x_{k-1} - x_* \\ \nabla f(y_k) \end{bmatrix} \quad (\text{S6}) \end{aligned}$$

Applying (S1) with $(x, y) \mapsto (x_*, y_k)$ and again substituting $y_k = (1 + \eta)x_k - \eta x_{k-1}$, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_*) - f(y_k) &\geq \nabla f(y_k)^T (x_* - y_k) + \frac{m}{2} \|x_* - y_k\|^2 \\ &= -\nabla f(y_k)^T ((1 + \eta)(x_k - x_*) - \eta(x_{k-1} - x_*)) + \frac{m}{2} \|(1 + \eta)(x_k - x_*) - \eta(x_{k-1} - x_*)\|^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} x_k - x_* \\ x_{k-1} - x_* \\ \nabla f(y_k) \end{bmatrix}^T \left(\begin{bmatrix} (1 + \eta)^2 m & -\eta(1 + \eta)m & -(1 + \eta) \\ -\eta(1 + \eta)m & \eta^2 m & \eta \\ -(1 + \eta) & \eta & 0 \end{bmatrix} \otimes I_p \right) \begin{bmatrix} x_k - x_* \\ x_{k-1} - x_* \\ \nabla f(y_k) \end{bmatrix} \quad (\text{S7}) \end{aligned}$$

By adding (S5)–(S7) with the definitions of \tilde{X}_1 , \tilde{X}_2 , and \tilde{X}_3 in Lemma 5, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} x_k - x_* \\ x_{k-1} - x_* \\ \nabla f(y_k) \end{bmatrix}^T ((\tilde{X}_1 + \tilde{X}_2) \otimes I_p) \begin{bmatrix} x_k - x_* \\ x_{k-1} - x_* \\ \nabla f(y_k) \end{bmatrix} &\leq f(x_k) - f(x_{k+1}) \\ \begin{bmatrix} x_k - x_* \\ x_{k-1} - x_* \\ \nabla f(y_k) \end{bmatrix}^T ((\tilde{X}_1 + \tilde{X}_3) \otimes I_p) \begin{bmatrix} x_k - x_* \\ x_{k-1} - x_* \\ \nabla f(y_k) \end{bmatrix} &\leq f(x_*) - f(x_{k+1}) \end{aligned}$$

The rest of the proof follows by substituting above expressions into the weighted sum with ρ^2 . ■

C. Proof of Lemma 8

Since f is L -smooth and convex, we can use the same proof technique as in Lemma 3 while setting $m = 0$ and $\alpha = \frac{1}{L}$. We can thus obtain the following inequalities that parallel (S4).

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} y_k - x_k \\ \nabla f(y_k) \end{bmatrix}^T \left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -\frac{1}{L} \end{bmatrix} \otimes I_p \right) \begin{bmatrix} y_k - x_k \\ \nabla f(y_k) \end{bmatrix} &\geq f(x_{k+1}) - f(x_k) \\ \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} y_k - x_* \\ \nabla f(y_k) \end{bmatrix}^T \left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -\frac{1}{L} \end{bmatrix} \otimes I_p \right) \begin{bmatrix} y_k - x_* \\ \nabla f(y_k) \end{bmatrix} &\geq f(x_{k+1}) - f(x_*) \end{aligned}$$

The conclusion of Lemma 8 follows once we substitute $y_k = (1 - \beta_k)x_k + \beta_k x_{k-1}$. ■