## A. Proof of Things

Proof of Theorem 1. The proof of this theorem is split into smaller lemmas that are proven individually.

- That $\tau_{P}$ is a strict adversarial divergence which is equivalent to $\tau_{W}$ is proven in Lemma 4, thus showing that $\tau_{P}$ fulfills Requirement 1.
- $\tau_{P}$ fulfills Requirement 2 by design.
- The existence of an optimal critic in $\mathrm{OC}_{\tau_{P}}(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q})$ follows directly from Lemma 3.
- That there exists a critic $f^{*} \in \mathrm{OC}_{\tau_{P}}(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q})$ that fulfills Eq. 5 is because Lemma 3 ensures that a continuous differentiable $f^{*}$ exists in $\mathrm{OC}_{\tau_{P}}(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q})$ which fulfills Eq. 9. Because Eq. 9 holds for $f^{*} \in C(X)$, the same reasoning as the end of the proof of Lemma 7 can be used to show Requirement 4

We prepare by showing a few basic lemmas used in the remaining proofs
Lemma 1 (concavity of $\tau_{P}(\mathbb{P} \| \mathbb{Q} ; \cdot)$ ). The mapping $C^{1}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, f \mapsto \tau_{P}(\mathbb{P} \| \mathbb{Q} ; f)$ is concave.
Proof. The concavity of $f \mapsto \mathbb{E}_{x \sim \mathbb{P}}[f(x)]-\mathbb{E}_{x^{\prime} \sim \mathbb{Q}}\left[f\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right]$ is trivial. Now consider $\gamma \in(0,1)$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}_{x \sim \mathbb{P}, x^{\prime} \sim \mathbb{Q}}\left[\frac{\left(\gamma\left(f(x)-f\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)+(1-\gamma)\left(\hat{f}(x)-\hat{f}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)\right)^{2}}{\left\|x-x^{\prime}\right\|}\right] \\
\leq & \mathbb{E}_{x \sim \mathbb{P}, x^{\prime} \sim \mathbb{Q}}\left[\frac{\gamma\left(f(x)-f\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)^{2}+(1-\gamma)\left(\hat{f}(x)-\hat{f}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)^{2}}{\left\|x-x^{\prime}\right\|}\right] \\
= & \gamma \mathbb{E}_{x \sim \mathbb{P}, x^{\prime} \sim \mathbb{Q}}\left[\frac{\left(f(x)-f\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)^{2}}{\left\|x-x^{\prime}\right\|}\right]+(1-\gamma) \mathbb{E}_{x \sim \mathbb{P}, x^{\prime} \sim \mathbb{Q}}\left[\frac{\left(\hat{f}(x)-\hat{f}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)^{2}}{\left\|x-x^{\prime}\right\|}\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

thus showing concavity of $\tau_{P}(\mathbb{P} \| \mathbb{Q} ; \cdot)$.
Lemma 2 (necessary and sufficient condition for maximum). Assume $\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q} \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ fulfill assumptions 1 and 2. Then for any $f \in \mathrm{OC}_{\tau_{P}}(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q})$ it must hold that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{x^{\prime} \sim \mathbb{Q}}\left(\mathbb{E}_{x \sim \mathbb{P}}\left[\frac{f(x)-f\left(x^{\prime}\right)}{\left\|x-x^{\prime}\right\|}\right]=\frac{1}{2 \lambda}\right)=1 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{x \sim \mathbb{P}}\left(\mathbb{E}_{x^{\prime} \sim \mathbb{Q}}\left[\frac{f(x)-f\left(x^{\prime}\right)}{\left\|x-x^{\prime}\right\|}\right]=\frac{1}{2 \lambda}\right)=1 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further, if $f \in C^{1}(X)$ and fulfills Eq. 7 and 8 , then $f \in \mathrm{OC}_{\tau_{P}}(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q})$
Proof. Since in Lemma 1 it was shown that the the mapping $f \mapsto \tau_{P}(\mathbb{P} \| \mathbb{Q}, f)$ is concave, $f \in \mathrm{OC}_{\tau}(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q})$ if and only if $f \in C^{1}(X)$ and $f$ is a local maximum of $\tau_{P}(\mathbb{P} \| \mathbb{Q} ; \cdot)$. This is equivalent to saying that all $u_{1}, u_{2} \in C^{1}(X)$ with $\operatorname{supp}\left(u_{1}\right) \cap \operatorname{supp}(\mathbb{Q})=\emptyset$ and $\operatorname{supp}\left(u_{2}\right) \cap \operatorname{supp}(\mathbb{P})=\emptyset$ it holds

$$
\left.\nabla_{(\varepsilon, \rho)}\left[\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}\left[f+\varepsilon u_{1}\right]-\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}\left[f+\rho u_{2}\right]-\lambda \mathbb{E}_{x \sim \mathbb{P}, x^{\prime} \sim \mathbb{Q}}\left[\frac{\left(\left(f+\varepsilon u_{1}\right)(x)-\left(f+\rho u_{2}\right)\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)^{2}}{\left\|x-x^{\prime}\right\|}\right]\right]\right|_{\varepsilon=0, \rho=0}=0
$$

which holds if and only if

$$
\mathbb{E}_{x \sim \mathbb{P}}\left[u_{1}(x)\left(1-2 \lambda \mathbb{E}_{x^{\prime} \sim \mathbb{Q}}\left[\frac{\left(f(x)-f\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)}{\left\|x-x^{\prime}\right\|}\right]\right)\right]=0
$$

and

$$
\mathbb{E}_{x^{\prime} \sim \mathbb{Q}}\left[u_{2}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\left(1-2 \lambda \mathbb{E}_{x \sim \mathbb{P}}\left[\frac{\left(f(x)-f\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)}{\left\|x-x^{\prime}\right\|}\right]\right)\right]=0
$$

proving that Eq. 7 and 8 are necessary and sufficient.

Lemma 3. Let $\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q} \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ be probability measures fulfilling Assumptions 1 and 2. Define an open subset of $X, \Omega \subseteq X$, such that $\operatorname{supp}(\mathbb{Q}) \subseteq \Omega$ and $\inf _{x \in \operatorname{supp}(\mathbb{P}), x^{\prime} \in \Omega}\left\|x-x^{\prime}\right\|>0$. Then there exists a $f \in \mathcal{F}=C^{1}(X)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall x^{\prime} \in \Omega: \quad \mathbb{E}_{x \sim \mathbb{P}}\left[\frac{f(x)-f\left(x^{\prime}\right)}{\left\|x-x^{\prime}\right\|}\right]=\frac{1}{2 \lambda} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall x \in \operatorname{supp}(\mathbb{P}): \quad \mathbb{E}_{x^{\prime} \sim \mathbb{Q}}\left[\frac{f(x)-f\left(x^{\prime}\right)}{\left\|x-x^{\prime}\right\|}\right]=\frac{1}{2 \lambda} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\tau_{P}(\mathbb{P} \| \mathbb{Q} ; f)=\tau_{P}(\mathbb{P} \| \mathbb{Q})$.
Proof. Since $\tau(\mathbb{P} \| \mathbb{Q} ; f)=\tau(\mathbb{P} \| \mathbb{Q} ; f+c)$ for any $c \in \mathbb{R}$ and is only affected by values of $f$ on supp $(\mathbb{P}) \cup \Omega$ we first start by considering

$$
\mathcal{F}=\left\{f \in C^{1}(\operatorname{supp}(\mathbb{P}) \cup \Omega) \left\lvert\, \mathbb{E}_{x \sim \mathbb{P}, x^{\prime} \sim \mathbb{Q}}\left[\frac{f\left(x^{\prime}\right)}{\left\|x-x^{\prime}\right\|}\right]=0\right.\right\}
$$

Observe that Eq. 9 holds if

$$
x^{\prime} \in \Omega: \quad f\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\frac{\mathbb{E}_{x \sim \mathbb{P}}\left[\frac{f(x)}{\left\|x-x^{\prime}\right\|}\right]-\frac{1}{2 \lambda}}{\mathbb{E}_{x \sim \mathbb{P}}\left[\frac{1}{\left\|x-x^{\prime}\right\|}\right]}
$$

and similarly for Eq. 10

$$
\forall x \in \operatorname{supp}(\mathbb{P}): \quad f(x)=\frac{\mathbb{E}_{x^{\prime} \sim \mathbb{Q}}\left[\frac{f\left(x^{\prime}\right)}{\left\|x-x^{\prime}\right\|}\right]+\frac{1}{2 \lambda}}{\mathbb{E}_{x^{\prime} \sim \mathbb{Q}}\left[\frac{1}{\left\|x-x^{\prime}\right\|}\right]}
$$

Now it's clear that if the mapping $T: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ defined by

$$
T(f)(x):= \begin{cases}\left.\frac{\mathbb{E}_{x^{\prime} \sim \mathbb{Q}}\left[\frac{f\left(x^{\prime}\right)}{\left.\| x-x^{\prime}\right)}\right]+\frac{1}{2 \lambda}}{\mathbb{E}_{x^{\prime} \sim Q}\left[\frac{1}{1}-x^{\prime} \|\right.}\right] & x \in \operatorname{supp}(\mathbb{P})  \tag{11}\\ \frac{\mathbb{E}_{x^{\prime} \sim \sim}\left[\frac{f\left(x^{\prime}\right)}{\left.\| x-x^{\prime}\right]}\right]-\frac{1}{2 \lambda}}{\mathbb{E}_{x^{\prime} \sim \mathbb{P}}\left[\frac{1}{\left\|x-x^{\prime}\right\|}\right]} & x \in \Omega\end{cases}
$$

admit a fix point $f^{*} \in \mathcal{F}$, i.e. $T\left(f^{*}\right)=f^{*}$, then $f^{*}$ is a solution to Eq. 9 and 10 , and with that a solution to Eq. 7 and 8 and $\tau_{P}\left(\mathbb{P} \| \mathbb{Q} ; f^{*}\right)=\tau_{P}(\mathbb{P} \| \mathbb{Q})$.
Define the mapping $S: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ by

$$
S(f)(x)=\frac{f(x)}{2 \lambda \mathbb{E}_{\tilde{x} \sim \mathbb{P}, x^{\prime} \sim \mathbb{Q}}\left[\frac{f(\tilde{x})-f\left(x^{\prime}\right)}{\left\|\tilde{x}-x^{\prime}\right\|}\right]}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}_{\tilde{x} \sim \mathbb{P}, x^{\prime} \sim \mathbb{Q}}\left[\frac{S(f)(\tilde{x})-S(f)\left(x^{\prime}\right)}{\left\|\tilde{x}-x^{\prime}\right\|}\right]=\frac{1}{2 \lambda} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
S(S(f))(x)=\frac{S(f)(x)}{2 \lambda \mathbb{E}_{\tilde{x} \sim \mathbb{P}, x^{\prime} \sim \mathbb{Q}}\left[\frac{S(f)(\tilde{x})-S(f)\left(x^{\prime}\right)}{\left\|\tilde{x}-x^{\prime}\right\|}\right]}=\frac{S(f)(x)}{2 \lambda \frac{1}{2 \lambda}}=S(f)(x)
$$

making $S$ a projection. By the same reasoning, if $\mathbb{E}_{\tilde{x} \sim \mathbb{P}, x^{\prime} \sim \mathbb{Q}}\left[\frac{f(\tilde{x})-f\left(x^{\prime}\right)}{\left\|\tilde{x}-x^{\prime}\right\|}\right]=\frac{1}{2 \lambda}$ then $f$ is a fix-point of $S$, i.e. $S(f)=f$. Assume $f$ is such a function, then by definition of $T$ in Eq. 11

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}_{\tilde{x} \sim \mathbb{P}, x^{\prime} \sim \mathbb{Q}}\left[\frac{T(f)(\tilde{x})-T(f)\left(x^{\prime}\right)}{\left\|\tilde{x}-x^{\prime}\right\|}\right] & =\mathbb{E}_{\tilde{x} \sim \mathbb{P}}\left[\mathbb{E}_{x^{\prime} \sim \mathbb{Q}}\left[\frac{T(f)(\tilde{x})}{\left\|\tilde{x}-x^{\prime}\right\|}\right]\right]-\mathbb{E}_{x^{\prime} \sim \mathbb{Q}}\left[\mathbb{E}_{\tilde{x} \sim \mathbb{P}}\left[\frac{T(f)\left(x^{\prime}\right)}{\left\|\tilde{x}-x^{\prime}\right\|}\right]\right] \\
& =\mathbb{E}_{\tilde{x} \sim \mathbb{P}}\left[\mathbb{E}_{x^{\prime} \sim \mathbb{Q}}\left[\frac{f\left(x^{\prime}\right)}{\left\|\tilde{x}-x^{\prime}\right\|}\right]+\frac{1}{2 \lambda}\right]-\mathbb{E}_{x^{\prime} \sim \mathbb{Q}}\left[\mathbb{E}_{\tilde{x} \sim \mathbb{P}}\left[\frac{f(\tilde{x})}{\left\|\tilde{x}-x^{\prime}\right\|}\right]-\frac{1}{2 \lambda}\right] \\
& =-\mathbb{E}_{\tilde{x} \sim \mathbb{P}, x^{\prime} \sim \mathbb{Q}}\left[\frac{f(\tilde{x})-f\left(x^{\prime}\right)}{\left\|\tilde{x}-x^{\prime}\right\|}\right]+2 \frac{1}{2 \lambda} \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \lambda} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $S(T(S(f)))=T(S(f))$. We can define $S(\mathcal{F})=\{S(f) \mid f \in \mathcal{F}\}$ and see that $T: S(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow S(\mathcal{F})$. Further, since $S(\cdot)$ only multiplies with a scalar, $S(F) \subseteq \mathcal{F}$.
Let $f_{1}, f_{2} \in S(\mathcal{F})$. From Eq. 12 we get

$$
\mathbb{E}_{x \sim \mathbb{P}, x^{\prime} \sim \mathbb{Q}}\left[\frac{f_{1}\left(x^{\prime}\right)-f_{2}\left(x^{\prime}\right)}{\left\|x-x^{\prime}\right\|}\right]=\mathbb{E}_{x \sim \mathbb{P}, x^{\prime} \sim \mathbb{Q}}\left[\frac{f_{1}(x)-f_{2}(x)}{\left\|x-x^{\prime}\right\|}\right] .
$$

Now since for every $f \in \mathcal{F}$ it holds by design that $\mathbb{E}_{x \sim \mathbb{P}, x^{\prime} \sim \mathbb{Q}}\left[\frac{f\left(x^{\prime}\right)}{\left\|x-x^{\prime}\right\|}\right]=0$ and since $S(\mathcal{F}) \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ we see that $f_{1}, f_{2} \in S(\mathcal{F})$ that

$$
\mathbb{E}_{x \sim \mathbb{P}, x^{\prime} \sim \mathbb{Q}}\left[\frac{f_{1}\left(x^{\prime}\right)-f_{2}\left(x^{\prime}\right)}{\left\|x-x^{\prime}\right\|}\right]=\mathbb{E}_{x \sim \mathbb{P}, x^{\prime} \sim \mathbb{Q}}\left[\frac{f_{1}(x)-f_{2}(x)}{\left\|x-x^{\prime}\right\|}\right]=0
$$

Using this with the continuity of $f_{1}, f_{2}$, there must exist $x_{1} \in \operatorname{supp}(\mathbb{P})$ with

$$
\mathbb{E}_{x^{\prime} \sim \mathbb{Q}}\left[\frac{f_{1}\left(x^{\prime}\right)-f_{2}\left(x^{\prime}\right)}{\left\|x_{1}-x^{\prime}\right\|}\right]=0
$$

With this (and compactness of our domain), $\mathbb{Q}$ must have mass in both positive and negative regions of $f_{1}-f_{2}$ and exists a constant $p<1$ such that for all $f_{1}, f_{2} \in S(\mathcal{F})$ it holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{x \in \operatorname{supp}(\mathbb{P})}\left|\mathbb{E}_{x^{\prime} \sim \mathbb{Q}}\left[\frac{f_{1}\left(x^{\prime}\right)-f_{2}\left(x^{\prime}\right)}{\left\|x-x^{\prime}\right\|}\right]\right| \leq p \sup _{x \in \operatorname{supp}(\mathbb{P})} \mathbb{E}_{x^{\prime} \sim \mathbb{Q}}\left[\frac{1}{\left\|x-x^{\prime}\right\|}\right] \sup _{x^{\prime} \in \Omega}\left|f_{1}\left(x^{\prime}\right)-f_{2}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right| \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

To show the existence of a fix-point for $T$ in the Banach Space $\left(\mathcal{F},\|\cdot\|_{\infty}\right)$ we use the Banach fixed-point theorem to show that $T$ has a fixed point in the metric space $\left(S(\mathcal{F}),\|\cdot\|_{\infty}\right)$ (remember that $T: S(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow S(\mathcal{F})$ and $\left.S(\mathcal{F}) \subseteq \mathcal{F}\right)$. If $f_{1}, f_{2} \in S(\mathcal{F})$ then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sup _{x \in \operatorname{supp}(\mathbb{P})}\left|T\left(f_{1}\right)(x)-T\left(f_{2}\right)(x)\right| & =\sup _{x \in \operatorname{supp}(\mathbb{P})}\left|\frac{\mathbb{E}_{x^{\prime} \sim \mathbb{Q}}\left[\frac{f_{1}\left(x^{\prime}\right)-f_{2}\left(x^{\prime}\right)}{\left\|x-x^{\prime}\right\|}\right]}{\mathbb{E}_{x^{\prime} \sim \mathbb{Q}}\left[\frac{1}{\left\|x-x^{\prime}\right\|}\right]}\right| \\
& \leq p \sup _{x^{\prime} \in \operatorname{supp}(\mathbb{Q})}\left|f_{1}\left(x^{\prime}\right)-f_{2}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right| \quad \text { using Eq. } 13
\end{aligned}
$$

The same trick can be used to find some some $q<1$ and show

$$
\sup _{x^{\prime} \in \Omega}\left|T\left(f_{1}\right)\left(x^{\prime}\right)-T\left(f_{2}\right)\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq q \sup _{x \in \operatorname{supp}(\mathbb{P})}\left|f_{1}(x)-f_{2}(x)\right|
$$

thereby showing

$$
\left\|T\left(f_{1}\right)-T\left(f_{2}\right)\right\|_{\infty}<\max (p, q)\left\|f_{1}-f_{2}\right\|_{\infty}
$$

The Banach fix-point theorem then delivers the existence of a fix-point $f^{*} \in S(\mathcal{F})$ for $T$.
Finally, we can use the Tietze extension theorem to extend $f^{*}$ to all of $X$, thus finding a fix point for $T$ in $C^{1}(X)$ and proving the lemma.
Lemma 4. $\tau_{P}$ is a strict adversarial divergence and $\tau_{P}$ and $\tau_{W}$ are equivalent.
Proof. Let $\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q} \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ be two probability measures fulfilling Assumptions 1 and 2 with $\mathbb{P} \neq \mathbb{Q}$. It's shown in (Sriperumbudur et al., 2010) that $\mu=\tau_{W}(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q})>0$, meaning there exists a function $f \in C(X),\|f\|_{L} \leq 1$ such that

$$
\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}[f]-\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}[f]=\mu>0
$$

The Stone-Weierstrass theorem tells us that there exists a $f^{\prime} \in C_{\infty}(X)$ such that $\left\|f-f^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{\mu}{4}$ and thus $\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}\left[f^{\prime}\right]-\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}\left[f^{\prime}\right] \geq$ $\frac{\mu}{2}$. Now consider the function $\varepsilon f^{\prime}$ with $\varepsilon>0$, it's clear that

$$
\tau_{P}(\mathbb{P} \| \mathbb{Q}) \geq \tau_{P}\left(\mathbb{P} \| \mathbb{Q} ; \varepsilon f^{\prime}\right)=\varepsilon(\underbrace{\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}\left[f^{\prime}\right]-\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}\left[f^{\prime}\right]}_{\geq \frac{\mu}{2}})-\varepsilon^{2} \lambda \mathbb{E}_{x \sim \mathbb{P}, x^{\prime} \sim \mathbb{Q}}\left[\frac{\left(f^{\prime}(x)-f^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)^{2}}{\left\|x-x^{\prime}\right\|}\right]
$$

and so for a sufficiently small $\varepsilon>0$ we'll get $\tau_{P}\left(\mathbb{P} \| \mathbb{Q} ; \varepsilon f^{\prime}\right)>0$ meaning $\tau_{P}(\mathbb{P} \| \mathbb{Q})>0$ and $\tau_{P}$ is a strict adversarial divergence.

To show equivalence, we note that

$$
\tau_{P}(\mathbb{P} \| \mathbb{Q}) \leq \sup _{m \in C\left(X^{2}\right)} \mathbb{E}_{x \sim \mathbb{P}, x^{\prime} \sim \mathbb{Q}}\left[m\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)\left(1-\lambda \frac{m\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)}{\left\|x-x^{\prime}\right\|}\right)\right]
$$

therefore for any optimum it must hold $m\left(x, x^{\prime}\right) \leq \frac{\left\|x-x^{\prime}\right\|}{2 \lambda}$, and thus (similar to Lemma 2) any optimal solution will be Lipschitz continuous with a the Lipschitz constant independent of $\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q}$. Thus $\tau_{W}(\mathbb{P} \| \mathbb{Q}) \geq \gamma \tau_{P}(\mathbb{P} \| \mathbb{Q})$ for $\gamma>0$, from which we directly get equivalence.

Proof of Theorem 2. We start by applying Lemma 5 giving us

- $\mathrm{OC}_{\tau_{F}}\left(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q}_{\theta_{0}}^{\prime}\right) \neq \emptyset$.
- For any $\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q} \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ fulfilling Assumptions 1 and 2 , it holds that $\tau_{F}(\mathbb{P} \| \mathbb{Q})=\tau_{P}(\mathbb{P} \| \mathbb{Q})$, meaning $\tau_{F}$ is like $\tau_{P}$ a strict adversarial divergence which is equivalent to $\tau_{W}$, showing Requirement 1.
- $\tau_{F}$ fulfills Requirement 2 by design.
- Every $f^{*} \in \mathrm{OC}_{\tau_{F}}\left(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q}_{\theta_{0}}^{\prime}\right)$ is in $\mathrm{OC}_{\tau_{P}}\left(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q}_{\theta_{0}}^{\prime}\right) \subseteq C^{1}(X)$, therefore $f^{*}$ the gradient $\left.\nabla_{\theta} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}_{\theta}}\left[f^{*}\right]\right|_{\theta_{0}}$ exists. Further Lemma 7 shows that the update rule $\left.\nabla_{\theta} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}_{\theta}}\left[f^{*}\right]\right|_{\theta_{0}}$ is unique, thus showing Requirement 3 .
- Lemma 7 gives us every $f^{*} \in \mathrm{OC}_{\tau_{F}}\left(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q}_{\theta_{0}}^{\prime}\right)$ with the corresponding update rule fulfills Requirement 4 , thus proving Theorem 2.

Before we can show this theorem, we must prove a few interesting lemmas about $\tau_{F}$. The following lemma is quite powerful; since $\tau_{P}(\mathbb{P} \| \mathbb{Q})=\tau_{F}(\mathbb{P} \| \mathbb{Q})$ and $\mathrm{OC}_{\tau_{F}}(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q}) \subseteq \mathrm{OC}_{\tau_{P}}(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q})$ any property that's proven for $\tau_{P}$ automatically holds for $\tau_{F}$.
Lemma 5. If let $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q} \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ be probability measures fulfilling Assumptions 1 and 2 . Then

1. there exists $f^{*} \in \mathrm{OC}_{\tau_{P}}(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q})$ so that $\tau_{F}\left(\mathbb{P} \| \mathbb{Q} ; f^{*}\right)=\tau_{P}\left(\mathbb{P} \| \mathbb{Q} ; f^{*}\right)$,
2. $\tau_{P}(\mathbb{P} \| \mathbb{Q})=\tau_{F}(\mathbb{P} \| \mathbb{Q})$,
3. $\emptyset \neq \mathrm{OC}_{\tau_{F}}(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q})$,
4. $\mathrm{OC}_{\tau_{F}}(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q}) \subseteq \mathrm{OC}_{\tau_{P}}(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q})$.

Clain (4) is especially helpful, now anything that has been proven for all $f^{*} \in \mathrm{OC}_{\tau_{P}}(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q})$ automatically holds for all $f^{*} \in \mathrm{OC}_{\tau_{F}}(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q})$

Proof. For convenience define

$$
G(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q} ; f):=\mathbb{E}_{x^{\prime} \sim \mathbb{Q}}\left[\left(\left\|\left.\nabla_{x} f(x)\right|_{x^{\prime}}\right\|-\frac{\left\|\mathbb{E}_{\tilde{x} \sim \mathbb{P}}\left[\left(\tilde{x}-x^{\prime}\right) \frac{f(\tilde{x})-f\left(x^{\prime}\right)}{\left\|x^{\prime}-\tilde{x}\right\|^{3}}\right]\right\|^{2}}{\mathbb{E}_{\tilde{x} \sim \mathbb{P}}\left[\frac{1}{\left\|x^{\prime}-\tilde{x}\right\|}\right]}\right)^{2}\right]
$$

( $G$ is for gradient penalty) and note that

$$
\tau_{F}(\mathbb{P} \| \mathbb{Q} ; f)=\tau_{P}(\mathbb{P} \| \mathbb{Q} ; f)-\underbrace{G(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q} ; f)}_{\geq 0}
$$

Therefore it's clear that $\tau_{F}(\mathbb{P} \| \mathbb{Q}) \leq \tau_{P}(\mathbb{P} \| \mathbb{Q})$

Claim (1). Let $\Omega \subseteq X$ be an open set such that $\operatorname{supp}(\mathbb{Q}) \subseteq \Omega$ and $\Omega \cap \operatorname{supp}(\mathbb{P})=\emptyset$. Then Lemma 3 tells us there is a $f \in \mathrm{OC}_{\tau_{P}}(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q})$ (and thus $f \in C^{1}(X)$ ) such that

$$
\forall x^{\prime} \in \Omega: \quad \mathbb{E}_{\tilde{x} \sim \mathbb{P}}\left[\frac{f(\tilde{x})-f\left(x^{\prime}\right)}{\left\|\tilde{x}-x^{\prime}\right\|}\right]=\frac{1}{2 \lambda}
$$

and thus, because $\operatorname{supp}(\mathbb{Q}) \subseteq \Omega$ open and $f \in C^{1}(X)$,

$$
\forall x^{\prime} \in \operatorname{supp}(\mathbb{Q}):\left.\quad \nabla_{x} \mathbb{E}_{\tilde{x} \sim \mathbb{P}}\left[\frac{f(\tilde{x})-f(x)}{\|\tilde{x}-x\|}\right]\right|_{x^{\prime}}=0
$$

Now taking the gradients with respect to $x^{\prime}$ gives us

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\nabla_{x} \mathbb{E}_{\tilde{x} \sim \mathbb{P}}\left[\frac{f(\tilde{x})-f(x)}{\|\tilde{x}-x\|}\right]\right|_{x^{\prime}}=-\left.\nabla_{x} f(x)\right|_{x^{\prime}} \mathbb{E}_{\tilde{x} \sim \mathbb{P}}\left[\frac{1}{\left\|\tilde{x}-x^{\prime}\right\|}\right]+\mathbb{E}_{\tilde{x} \sim \mathbb{P}}\left[\left(\tilde{x}-x^{\prime}\right) \frac{f(\tilde{x})-f\left(x^{\prime}\right)}{\left\|\tilde{x}-x^{\prime}\right\|^{3}}\right] \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

meaning

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall x^{\prime} \in \operatorname{supp}(\mathbb{Q}):\left.\quad \nabla_{x} f(x)\right|_{x^{\prime}}=\frac{\mathbb{E}_{\tilde{x} \sim \mathbb{P}}\left[\left(\tilde{x}-x^{\prime}\right) \frac{f(\tilde{x})-f\left(x^{\prime}\right)}{\left\|\tilde{x}-x^{\prime}\right\|^{3}}\right]}{\mathbb{E}_{\tilde{x} \sim \mathbb{P}}\left[\frac{1}{\left\|\tilde{x}-x^{\prime}\right\|}\right]} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

thus $G(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q} ; f)=0$, showing the claim.
Claims (2) and (3). The claims are a direct result of Claim (1); for every $\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q} \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ there exists a

$$
f^{*} \in \mathrm{OC}_{\tau_{P}}(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q})
$$

such that $G\left(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q} ; f^{*}\right)=0$. Therefore

$$
\tau_{P}(\mathbb{P} \| \mathbb{Q}) \geq \tau_{F}(\mathbb{P} \| \mathbb{Q}) \geq \tau_{F}\left(\mathbb{P} \| \mathbb{Q} ; f^{*}\right)=\tau_{P}\left(\mathbb{P} \| \mathbb{Q} ; f^{*}\right)=\tau_{P}(\mathbb{P} \| \mathbb{Q})
$$

thus showing both $\tau_{P}(\mathbb{P} \| \mathbb{Q})=\tau_{F}(\mathbb{P} \| \mathbb{Q})$ and $f^{*} \in \mathrm{OC}_{\tau_{F}}(\mathbb{P} \| \mathbb{Q})$.
Claim (4). This claim is a direct result of claim (2); since $\tau_{P}(\mathbb{P} \| \mathbb{Q})=\tau_{F}(\mathbb{P} \| \mathbb{Q})$, that means that if $f^{*} \in$ OC $_{\tau_{F}}(\mathbb{P} \| \mathbb{Q})$, then

$$
\tau_{F}(\mathbb{P} \| \mathbb{Q})=\tau_{F}\left(\mathbb{P} \| \mathbb{Q} ; f^{*}\right)=\tau_{P}\left(\mathbb{P} \| \mathbb{Q} ; f^{*}\right)-\underbrace{G(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q} ; f)}_{\geq 0} \leq \tau_{P}\left(\mathbb{P} \| \mathbb{Q} ; f^{*}\right) \leq \tau_{P}(\mathbb{P} \| \mathbb{Q})=\tau_{F}(\mathbb{P} \| \mathbb{Q})
$$

thus $\tau_{P}\left(\mathbb{P} \| \mathbb{Q} ; f^{*}\right)=\tau_{P}(\mathbb{P} \| \mathbb{Q})$ and $f^{*} \in \mathrm{OC}_{\tau_{P}}(\mathbb{P} \| \mathbb{Q})$.
Lemma 6. For every $f^{*} \in \mathrm{OC}_{\tau_{F}}\left(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q}^{\prime}\right)$ it holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall x^{\prime} \in \operatorname{supp}\left(\mathbb{Q}^{\prime}\right):\left.\quad \nabla_{x} \mathbb{E}_{\tilde{x} \sim \mathbb{P}}\left[\frac{f^{*}(\tilde{x})-f^{*}(x)}{\|\tilde{x}-x\|}\right]\right|_{x^{\prime}}=0 \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Set

$$
v=\frac{\mathbb{E}_{\tilde{x} \sim \mathbb{P}}\left[\left(\tilde{x}-x^{\prime}\right) \frac{f^{*}(\tilde{x})-f^{*}\left(x^{\prime}\right)}{\left\|x^{\prime}-\tilde{x}\right\|^{3}}\right]}{\left\|\mathbb{E}_{\tilde{x} \sim \mathbb{P}}\left[\left(\tilde{x}-x^{\prime}\right) \frac{f^{*}(\tilde{x})-f^{*}\left(x^{\prime}\right)}{\left\|x^{\prime}-\tilde{x}\right\|^{3}}\right]\right\|}
$$

and note that due to construction of $\mathbb{Q}^{\prime}$ and $v, v$ is such that for almost all $x^{\prime} \in \operatorname{supp}\left(\mathbb{Q}^{\prime}\right)$ there exists an $a \neq 0$ where for all $\varepsilon \in[0,|a|]$ it holds $x^{\prime}+\varepsilon \operatorname{sign}(a) v \in \operatorname{supp}\left(\mathbb{Q}^{\prime}\right)$.
Since $f^{*} \in C^{1}(X)$ it holds

$$
\left.\frac{d}{d \varepsilon} f^{*}\left(x^{\prime}+\varepsilon v\right)\right|_{\varepsilon=0}=\left\langle v, \nabla_{x} f^{*}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle
$$

Using Eq. 7 we see,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}_{x \sim \mathbb{P}}\left[\frac{f^{*}(x)-f^{*}\left(x^{\prime}+\varepsilon v\right)}{\left\|x-\left(x^{\prime}+\varepsilon v\right)\right\|}\right] \\
= & \varepsilon\left\langle v,\left.\nabla_{\hat{x}} \mathbb{E}_{x \sim \mathbb{P}}\left[\frac{f^{*}(x)-f^{*}(\hat{x})}{\|x-\hat{x}\|}\right]\right|_{x^{\prime}}\right\rangle+\mathcal{O}\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right) \\
= & \frac{\mathbb{E}_{\tilde{x} \sim \mathbb{P}}\left[\left\langle\varepsilon\left(\tilde{x}-x^{\prime}\right),\left.\nabla_{\tilde{x}} \mathbb{E}_{x \sim \mathbb{P}}\left[\frac{f^{*}(x)-f^{*}(\hat{x})}{\|x-\tilde{x}\|}\right]\right|_{x^{\prime}}\right\rangle \frac{f^{*}(\tilde{x})-f^{*}\left(x^{\prime}\right)}{\left\|x^{\prime}-\tilde{x}\right\|^{3}}\right]}{\left\|\mathbb{E}_{\tilde{x} \sim \mathbb{P}}\left[\left(\tilde{x}-x^{\prime}\right) \frac{f^{*}(\tilde{x})-f^{*}\left(x^{\prime}\right)}{\left\|x^{\prime}-\tilde{x}\right\|^{3}}\right]\right\|}+\mathcal{O}\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right) \\
= & \frac{\mathbb{E}_{\tilde{x} \sim \mathbb{P}}[\underbrace{\| \mathcal{O}\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right)}_{\left.\frac{\mathbb{E}_{x \sim \mathbb{P}}\left[\frac{f^{*}(x)-f^{*}\left(x^{\prime}+\varepsilon\left(\tilde{x}-x^{\prime}\right)\right)}{\left\|x-x^{\prime}+\varepsilon\left(\tilde{x}-x^{\prime}\right)\right\|}\right]}{\left\|\mathbb{E}_{\tilde{x} \sim \mathbb{P}}\left[\left(\tilde{x}-x^{\prime}\right) \frac{f^{*}(\tilde{x})-f^{*}\left(x^{\prime}\right)}{\left\|x^{\prime}-\tilde{x}\right\|^{3}}\right]\right\|} \frac{f^{*}(\tilde{x})-f^{*}\left(x^{\prime}\right)}{\left\|x^{\prime}-\tilde{x}\right\|^{3}}\right]}}{=} \begin{array}{l}
\mathbb{E}_{\tilde{x} \sim \mathbb{P}}\left[\frac{1}{2 \lambda} \frac{f^{*}(\tilde{x})-f^{*}\left(x^{\prime}\right)}{\left\|x^{\prime}-\tilde{x}\right\|^{3}}\right] \\
\left\|\mathbb{E}_{\tilde{x} \sim \mathbb{P}}\left[\left(\tilde{x}-x^{\prime}\right) \frac{f^{*}(\tilde{x})-f^{*}\left(x^{\prime}\right)}{\left\|x^{\prime}-\tilde{x}\right\|^{3}}\right]\right\|
\end{array}) \mathcal{O}\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which means

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\left.\frac{d}{d \varepsilon} \mathbb{E}_{x \sim \mathbb{P}}\left[\frac{f^{*}(x)-f^{*}\left(x^{\prime}+\varepsilon v\right)}{\left\|x-\left(x^{\prime}+\varepsilon v\right)\right\|}\right]\right|_{\varepsilon=0} \\
& =-\left.\frac{d}{d \varepsilon} f^{*}\left(x^{\prime}+\varepsilon v\right)\right|_{\varepsilon=0} \mathbb{E}_{\tilde{x} \sim \mathbb{P}}\left[\frac{1}{\left\|\tilde{x}-x^{\prime}\right\|}\right]-\mathbb{E}_{x \sim \mathbb{P}}\left[\left\langle v, x-x^{\prime}\right\rangle \frac{f^{*}(x)-f^{*}\left(x^{\prime}\right)}{\left\|x-x^{\prime}\right\|^{3}}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.\frac{d}{d \varepsilon} f^{*}\left(x^{\prime}+\varepsilon v\right)\right|_{\varepsilon=0} & =\left\langle v,\left.\nabla_{x} f^{*}(x)\right|_{x^{\prime}}\right\rangle \\
& =\frac{\mathbb{E}_{\tilde{x} \sim \mathbb{P}}\left[\left\langle v, \tilde{x}-x^{\prime}\right\rangle \frac{f^{*}(\tilde{x})-f^{*}\left(x^{\prime}\right)}{\left\|x-x^{\prime}\right\|^{3}}\right]}{\mathbb{E}_{\tilde{x} \sim \mathbb{P}}\left[\frac{1}{\left\|\tilde{x}-x^{\prime}\right\|}\right]} \\
& =\frac{\left\langle v, \mathbb{E}_{\tilde{x} \sim \mathbb{P}}\left[\left(\tilde{x}-x^{\prime}\right) \frac{f^{*}(\tilde{x})-f^{*}\left(x^{\prime}\right)}{\left\|x^{\prime}-\tilde{x}\right\|^{3}}\right]\right\rangle}{\mathbb{E}_{\tilde{x} \sim \mathbb{P}}\left[\frac{1}{\left\|\tilde{x}-x^{\prime}\right\|}\right]} \\
& =\frac{\left\|\mathbb{E}_{\tilde{x} \sim \mathbb{P}}\left[\left(\tilde{x}-x^{\prime}\right) \frac{f^{*}(\tilde{x})-f^{*}\left(x^{\prime}\right)}{\left\|x^{\prime}-\tilde{x}\right\|^{3}}\right]\right\|}{\mathbb{E}_{\tilde{x} \sim \mathbb{P}}\left[\frac{1}{\left\|\tilde{x}-x^{\prime}\right\|}\right]}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now from the proof of Lemma 5 claim (4), we know that since $G\left(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q} ; f^{*}\right)=0$ we get

$$
\left\|\left.\nabla_{x} f^{*}(x)\right|_{x^{\prime}}\right\|=\frac{\left\|\mathbb{E}_{\tilde{x} \sim \mathbb{P}}\left[\left(\tilde{x}-x^{\prime}\right) \frac{f^{*}(\tilde{x})-f^{*}\left(x^{\prime}\right)}{\left\|x^{\prime}-\tilde{x}\right\|^{3}}\right]\right\|}{\mathbb{E}_{\tilde{x} \sim \mathbb{P}}\left[\frac{1}{\left\|x^{\prime}-\tilde{x}\right\|}\right]}=\left\langle v,\left.\nabla_{x} f^{*}(x)\right|_{x^{\prime}}\right\rangle
$$

and since for $x \neq 0$ and $\|w\|=1$ it holds $\langle w, x\rangle=\|x\| \Leftrightarrow w\|x\|=x$ we discover $\nabla_{x} f^{*}(x)=v\left\|\left.\nabla_{x} f^{*}(x)\right|_{x^{\prime}}\right\|$ and thus

$$
\left.\nabla_{x} f^{*}(x)\right|_{x^{\prime}}=v\left\|\left.\nabla_{x} f^{*}(x)\right|_{x^{\prime}}\right\|=\frac{\mathbb{E}_{\tilde{x} \sim \mathbb{P}}\left[\left(\tilde{x}-x^{\prime}\right) \frac{f^{*}(\tilde{x})-f^{*}\left(x^{\prime}\right)}{\left\|x^{\prime}-\tilde{x}\right\|^{3}}\right]}{\mathbb{E}_{\tilde{x} \sim \mathbb{P}}\left[\frac{1}{\left\|x^{\prime}-\tilde{x}\right\|}\right]}
$$

and with

$$
\left.\nabla_{x} f^{*}(x)\right|_{x^{\prime}} \mathbb{E}_{\tilde{x} \sim \mathbb{P}}\left[\frac{1}{\left\|x^{\prime}-\tilde{x}\right\|}\right]=\mathbb{E}_{\tilde{x} \sim \mathbb{P}}\left[\left(\tilde{x}-x^{\prime}\right) \frac{f^{*}(\tilde{x})-f^{*}\left(x^{\prime}\right)}{\left\|x^{\prime}-\tilde{x}\right\|^{3}}\right]
$$

Plugging this into Eq. 14 gives us

$$
\forall x^{\prime} \in \operatorname{supp}\left(\mathbb{Q}^{\prime}\right):\left.\quad \nabla_{x} \mathbb{E}_{\tilde{x} \sim \mathbb{P}}\left[\frac{f^{*}(\tilde{x})-f^{*}(x)}{\|\tilde{x}-x\|}\right]\right|_{x^{\prime}}=0
$$

Lemma 7. Let $\mathbb{P}$ and $\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\theta}\right)_{\theta \in \Theta}$ in $\mathcal{P}(X)$ and fulfill Assumptions 1 and 2, further let $\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\theta}^{\prime}\right)_{\theta \in \Theta}$ be as defined in introduction to Theorem 2, then for any $f^{*} \in \mathrm{OC}_{\tau_{F}}\left(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q}_{\theta}^{\prime}\right)$

$$
\nabla_{\theta} \tau_{F}\left(\mathbb{P} \| \mathbb{Q}_{\theta}^{\prime}\right) \approx-\frac{1}{2} \nabla_{\theta} \mathbb{E}_{x^{\prime} \sim \mathbb{Q}_{\theta}^{\prime}}\left[f^{*}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right]
$$

thus $f^{*}$ fulfills Eq. 5 and $\tau_{F}$ fulfills Requirement 4. Further, if $\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q}_{\theta}$ are such that there exits an $f$ with $f(x)-f\left(x^{\prime}\right)=$ $\left\|x-x^{\prime}\right\|$ for all $x \in \operatorname{supp}(\mathbb{P})$ and $x^{\prime} \in \operatorname{supp}(\mathbb{Q})$ then

$$
\nabla_{\theta} \tau_{F}\left(\mathbb{P} \| \mathbb{Q}_{\theta}^{\prime}\right)=-\frac{1}{2} \nabla_{\theta} \mathbb{E}_{x^{\prime} \sim \mathbb{Q}_{\theta}^{\prime}}\left[f^{*}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right]
$$

Proof. Start off by noting that for some $f^{*} \in \mathrm{OC}_{\tau_{F}}\left(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q}_{\theta}\right)$, Theorem 1 from (Milgrom \& Segal, 2002) gives us

$$
\left.\nabla_{\theta} \tau_{F}\left(\mathbb{P} \| \mathbb{Q}_{\theta}^{\prime}\right)\right|_{\theta_{0}}=\left.\nabla_{\theta} \tau_{F}\left(\mathbb{P} \| \mathbb{Q}_{\theta}^{\prime} ; f^{*}\right)\right|_{\theta_{0}}
$$

Further, since for $f^{*} \in \mathrm{OC}_{\tau_{F}}\left(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q}_{\theta}\right)$ it holds

$$
\left\|\left.\nabla_{x} f^{*}(x)\right|_{x^{\prime}}\right\|=\frac{\left\|\mathbb{E}_{\tilde{x} \sim \mathbb{P}}\left[\left(\tilde{x}-x^{\prime}\right) \frac{f^{*}(\tilde{x})-f^{*}\left(x^{\prime}\right)}{\left\|x^{\prime}-\tilde{x}\right\|^{3}}\right]\right\|}{\mathbb{E}_{\tilde{x} \sim \mathbb{P}}\left[\frac{1}{\left\|x^{\prime}-\tilde{x}\right\|}\right]}
$$

the gradient of the gradient penalty part is zero, i.e.

$$
\nabla_{\theta} \mathbb{E}_{x \sim \mathbb{P}, x^{\prime} \sim \mathbb{Q}_{\theta}}\left[\left(\left\|\left.\nabla_{x} f^{*}(x)\right|_{x^{\prime}}\right\|-\frac{\left\|\mathbb{E}_{\tilde{x} \sim \mathbb{P}}\left[\left(\tilde{x}-x^{\prime}\right) \frac{f^{*}(\tilde{x})-f^{*}\left(x^{\prime}\right)}{\left\|x^{\prime}-\tilde{x}\right\|^{3}}\right]\right\|}{\mathbb{E}_{\tilde{x} \sim \mathbb{P}}\left[\frac{1}{\left\|x^{\prime}-\tilde{x}\right\|}\right]}\right)^{2}\right]=0 .
$$

One last point needs to be made before the main equation, which is for $x \in \operatorname{supp}(\mathbb{P})$

$$
\nabla_{\theta} \mathbb{E}_{x^{\prime} \sim \mathbb{Q}_{\theta}^{\prime}}\left[\frac{f^{*}(x)-f^{*}\left(x^{\prime}\right)}{\left\|x-x^{\prime}\right\|}\right] \approx 0
$$

This is from the motivation of the penalized Wasserstein GAN where for an optimal critic it should hold that $f^{*}(x)-f^{*}\left(x^{\prime}\right)$ is close to $c\left\|x-x^{\prime}\right\|$ for some constant $c$. Note that if $\mathbb{P}$ and $\mathbb{Q}_{\theta}$ are such that $f^{*}(x)-f^{*}\left(x^{\prime}\right)=c\left\|x-x^{\prime}\right\|$ is possible everywhere, then this term is equal to zero.

$$
\left.\nabla_{\theta} \tau_{F}\left(\mathbb{P} \| \mathbb{Q}_{\theta}^{\prime}\right)\right|_{\theta_{0}}=\left.\nabla_{\theta} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_{\theta}^{\prime}}\left[\left(f^{*}(x)-f^{*}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)\left(1-\lambda \frac{f^{*}(x)-f^{*}\left(x^{\prime}\right)}{\left\|x-x^{\prime}\right\|}\right)\right]\right|_{\theta_{0}}
$$

Since $\mathbb{Q}_{\theta}$ fulfills Assumption $1, \mathbb{Q}_{\theta} \sim g(\theta, z)$ where $g$ is differentiable in the first argument and $z \sim \mathbb{Z}$ ( $\mathbb{Z}$ was defined in Assumption 1). Therefore if we set $g_{\theta}(\cdot)=g(\theta, \cdot)$ we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\left.\nabla_{\theta} \tau_{F}\left(\mathbb{P} \| \mathbb{Q}^{\prime}\right)\right|_{\theta_{0}}= & \left.\nabla_{\theta} \mathbb{E}_{x, \tilde{x} \sim \mathbb{P}, z \sim \mathbb{Z}, \alpha \sim \mathcal{U}([0, \varepsilon])}\left[\left(f^{*}(x)-f^{*}\left(\alpha \tilde{x}+(1-\alpha) g_{\theta}(z)\right)\right)\left(1-\lambda \frac{f^{*}(x)-f^{*}\left(\alpha \tilde{x}+(1-\alpha) g_{\theta}(z)\right)}{\left\|x-\alpha \tilde{x}+(1-\alpha) g_{\theta}(z)\right\|}\right)\right]\right|_{\theta_{0}} \\
= & -\mathbb{E}_{x, \tilde{x} \sim \mathbb{P}, z \sim \mathbb{Z}, \alpha \sim \mathcal{U}([0, \varepsilon])}\left[\left.\nabla_{\theta} f^{*}\left(\alpha \tilde{x}+(1-\alpha) g_{\theta}(z)\right)\right|_{\theta_{0}}\left(1-\lambda \frac{f^{*}(x)-f^{*}\left(\alpha \tilde{x}+(1-\alpha) g_{\theta_{0}}(z)\right)}{\left\|x-\alpha \tilde{x}+(1-\alpha) g_{\theta_{0}}(z)\right\|}\right)\right]  \tag{17}\\
& -\lambda \mathbb{E}_{x, \tilde{x} \sim \mathbb{P}, z \sim \mathbb{Z}, \alpha \sim \mathcal{U}([0, \varepsilon])}\left[\left.\left(f^{*}(x)-f^{*}\left(\alpha \tilde{x}+(1-\alpha) g_{\theta_{0}}(z)\right)\right) \nabla_{\theta}\left(\frac{f^{*}(x)-f^{*}\left(\alpha \tilde{x}+(1-\alpha) g_{\theta}(z)\right)}{\left\|x-\alpha \tilde{x}+(1-\alpha) g_{\theta}(z)\right\|}\right)\right|_{\theta_{0}}\right] . \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

Now if we look at the 17 term of the equation, we see that it's equal to:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\mathbb{E}_{\tilde{x} \sim \mathbb{P}, z \sim \mathbb{Z}, \alpha \sim \mathcal{U}([0, \varepsilon])}[\left.\nabla_{\theta} f^{*}\left(\alpha \tilde{x}+(1-\alpha) g_{\theta}(z)\right)\right|_{\theta_{0}} \underbrace{\mathbb{E}_{x \sim \mathbb{P}}\left[1-\lambda \frac{f^{*}(x)-f^{*}\left(\alpha \tilde{x}+(1-\alpha) g_{\theta_{0}}(z)\right)}{\left\|x-\alpha \tilde{x}+(1-\alpha) g_{\theta_{0}}(z)\right\|}\right]}_{=\frac{1}{2}, \text { Eq. } 7 \text { from Lemma } 2}] \\
= & -\left.\frac{1}{2} \nabla_{\theta} \mathbb{E}_{x^{\prime} \sim \mathbb{Q}_{\theta}^{\prime}}\left[f^{*}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right]\right|_{\theta_{0}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and term 18 of the equation is equal to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\lambda \mathbb{E}_{x \sim \mathbb{P}}[\left.f^{*}(x) \underbrace{\nabla_{\theta} \mathbb{E}_{x^{\prime} \sim \mathbb{Q}_{\theta}^{\prime}}\left[\frac{f^{*}(x)-f^{*}\left(x^{\prime}\right)}{\left\|x-x^{\prime}\right\|}\right]}_{\approx 0, \text { See above }}\right|_{\theta_{0}}] \\
& +\lambda \mathbb{E}_{\tilde{x} \sim \mathbb{P}, z \sim \mathbb{Z}, \alpha \sim \mathcal{U}([0, \varepsilon])}[\left.f^{*}\left(\alpha \tilde{x}+(1-\alpha) g_{\theta_{0}}(z)\right) \underbrace{\nabla_{\theta} \mathbb{E}_{x \sim \mathbb{P}}\left[1-\lambda \frac{f^{*}(x)-f^{*}\left(\alpha \tilde{x}+(1-\alpha) g_{\theta}(z)\right)}{\left\|x-\alpha \tilde{x}+(1-\alpha) g_{\theta}(z)\right\|}\right]}_{=0 \text {, Eq. } 16}\right|_{\theta_{0}}]
\end{aligned}
$$

thus showing

$$
\left.\nabla_{\theta} \tau_{F}\left(\mathbb{P} \| \mathbb{Q}_{\theta}^{\prime}\right)\right|_{\theta_{0}} \approx-\left.\frac{1}{2} \nabla_{\theta} \mathbb{E}_{x^{\prime} \sim \mathbb{Q}_{\theta}^{\prime}}\left[f^{*}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right]\right|_{\theta_{0}}
$$

Lemma 8. Let $\tau_{I}$ be the WGAN-GP divergence defined in Eq. 3, let the target distribution be the Dirac distribution $\delta_{0}$ and the family of generated distributions be the uniform distributions $\mathcal{U}([0, \theta])$ with $\theta>0$. Then there is no $C \in \mathbb{R}$ that fulfills Eq. 5 for all $\theta>0$.

Proof. For convenience, we'll restrict ourselves to the $\lambda=1$ case, for $\lambda \neq 1$ the proof is similar. Assume that $f \in$ $\mathrm{OC}_{\tau_{I}}\left(\delta_{0}, \mathcal{U}([0, \theta])\right.$ and $f(0)=0$. Since $f$ is an optimal critic, for any function $u \in C^{1}(X)$ and any $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}$ it holds $\tau_{I}\left(\delta_{0} \| \mathcal{U}([0, \theta]) ; f\right) \geq \tau_{I}\left(\delta_{0} \| \mathcal{U}([0, \theta]) ; f+\varepsilon u\right)$. Therefore $\varepsilon=0$ is a maximum of the continuously differentiable function $\varepsilon \mapsto \tau_{I}\left(\delta_{0} \| \mathcal{U}([0, \theta]) ; f+\varepsilon u\right)$, and $\left.\frac{d}{d \varepsilon} \tau_{I}\left(\delta_{0} \| \mathcal{U}([0, \theta]) ; f+\varepsilon u\right)\right|_{\varepsilon=0}=0$. Therefore

$$
\left.\frac{d}{d \varepsilon} \tau_{I}\left(\delta_{0} \| \mathcal{U}([0, \theta]) ; f+\varepsilon u\right)\right|_{\varepsilon=0}=-\int_{0}^{\theta} u(t) d t-\int_{0}^{\theta} \frac{2}{t} \int_{0}^{t} u^{\prime}(x)\left(f^{\prime}(x)+1\right) d x d t=0
$$

multiplying by -1 and deriving with respect to $\theta$ gives us

$$
u(\theta)+\frac{2}{\theta} \int_{0}^{\theta} u^{\prime}(x)\left(f^{\prime}(x)+1\right) d x=0
$$

Since we already made the assumption that $f(0)=0$ and since $\tau_{I}(\mathbb{P} \| \mathbb{Q} ; f)=\tau_{I}(\mathbb{P} \| \mathbb{Q} ; f+c)$ for any constant $c$, we can assume that $u(0)=0$. This gives us $u(\theta)=\int_{0}^{\theta} u^{\prime}(x) d x$ and thus

$$
\int_{0}^{\theta} u^{\prime}(x) d x+\frac{2}{\theta} \int_{0}^{\theta} u^{\prime}(x)\left(f^{\prime}(x)+1\right) d x=\frac{2}{\theta} \int_{0}^{\theta} u^{\prime}(x)\left(\frac{\theta}{2}+f^{\prime}(x)+1\right) d x
$$

Therefore, for the optimal critic it holds $f^{\prime}(x)=-\left(\frac{\theta}{2}+1\right)$, and since $f(0)=0$ the optimal critic is $f(x)=-\left(\frac{\theta}{2}+1\right) x$. Now

$$
\frac{d}{d \theta} \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{U}([0, \theta])}[f]=-\frac{d}{d \theta} \int_{0}^{\theta}\left(\frac{\theta}{2}+1\right) x d x=-\left(\frac{\theta}{2}+1\right) \theta
$$

and

$$
\frac{d}{d \theta} \mathbb{E}_{\delta_{0} \otimes \mathcal{U}([0, \theta])}\left[r_{f}\right]=\frac{d}{d \theta} \frac{1}{\theta} \int_{0}^{\theta}\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)^{2} d x=\frac{d}{d \theta} \frac{\theta^{2}}{4}=\frac{\theta}{2}
$$

Therefore there exists no $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ such that Eq. 5 holds for every distribution in the WGAN-GP context.

## B. Experiments

## B.1. CelebA

The parameters used for CelebA training were:

```
'batch_size': 64,
'beta1' : 0.5,
'c_dim': 3,
'calculate_slope': True,
'checkpoint_dir': 'logs/1127_220919_.0001_.0001/checkpoints',
'checkpoint_name' : None,
'counter_start': 0,
'data_path': 'celebA_cropped/',
'dataset': 'celebA',
'discriminator_batch_norm' : False,
'epoch': 81,
'fid_batch_size': 100,
'fid_eval_steps': 5000,
'fid_n_samples': 50000,
'fid_sample_batchsize': 1000,
'fid_verbose': True,
'gan_method': 'penalized_wgan',
'gradient_penalty': 1.0,
'incept_path': 'inception-2015-12-05/classify_image_graph_def.pb',
'input_fname_pattern': '*.jpg',
'input_height': 64,
'input_width': None,
'is_crop': False,
'is_train': True,
'learning_rate_d': 0.0001,
'learning_rate_g': 0.0005,
'lipschitz_penalty': 0.5,
'load_checkpoint': False,
'log_dir': ' logs/0208_191248_.0001_.0005/logs',
'lr_decay_rate_d': 1.0,
'lr_decay_rate_g': 1.0,
'num_discriminator_updates': 1,
'optimize_penalty': False,
'output_height': 64,
'output_width': None,
'sample_dir': 'logs/0208_191248_.0001_.0005/samples',
'stats_path': 'stats/fid_stats_celeba.npz',
'train_size': inf,
'visualize': False
```

The learned networks (both generator and critic) are then fine-tuned with learning rates divided by 10 . Samples from the trained model can be viewed in figure 3 .


Figure 3. Images from a First Order GAN after training on CelebA data set.

## B.2. CIFAR-10

The parameters used for CIFAR-10 training were:

```
BATCH_SIZE: 64
BETA1_D: 0.0
BETA1_G: 0.0
BETA2_D: 0.9
BETA2_G: 0.9
BN_D: True
BN_G: True
CHECKPOINT_STEP: 5000
CRITIC_ITERS: 1
DATASET: cifar10
DATA_DIR: /data/cifar10/
DIM: 32
D_LR: 0.0003
FID_BATCH_SIZE: 200
FID_EVAL_SIZE: 50000
FID_SAMPLE_BATCH_SIZE: 1000
FID_STEP: 5000
GRADIENT_PENALTY: 1.0
G_LR: 0.0001
INCEPTION_DIR: /data/inception-2015-12-05
ITERS: 500000
ITER_START: 0
LAMBDA: 10
LIPSCHITZ_PENALTY: 0.5
LOAD_CHECKPOINT: False
LOG_DIR: logs/
MODE: fogan
N_GPUS: 1
OUTPUT_DIM: 3072
OUTPUT_STEP: 200
SAMPLES_DIR: /samples
SAVE_SAMPLES_STEP: 200
STAT_FILE: /stats/fid_stats_cifarl0_train.npz
TBOARD_DIR: /logs
TTUR: True
```

The learned networks (both generator and critic) are then fine-tuned with learning rates divided by 10 . Samples from the trained model can be viewed in figure 4.


Figure 4. Images from a First Order GAN after training on CIFAR-10 data set.

## B.3. LSUN

The parameters used for LSUN Bedrooms training were:

```
BATCH_SIZE: 64
    BETA1_D: 0.0
    BETA1_G: 0.0
    BETA2_D: 0.9
    BETA2_G: 0.9
    BN_D: True
    BN_G: True
    CHECKPOINT_STEP: 4000
    CRITIC_ITERS: 1
    DATASET: lsun
    DATA_DIR: /data/lsun
    DIM: 64
    D_LR: 0.0003
    FID_BATCH_SIZE: 200
    FID_EVAL_SIZE: 50000
    FID_SAMPLE_BATCH_SIZE: 1000
    FID_STEP: 4000
    GRADIENT_PENALTY: 1.0
    G_LR: 0.0001
    INCEPTION_DIR: /data/inception-2015-12-05
    ITERS: 500000
    ITER_START: 0
    LAMBDA: 10
    LIPSCHITZ_PENALTY: 0.5
    LOAD_CHECKPOINT: False
    LOG_DIR: /logs
    MODE: fogan
    N_GPUS: 1
    OUTPUT_DIM: 12288
    OUTPUT_STEP: 200
    SAMPLES_DIR: /samples
    SAVE_SAMPLES_STEP: 200
    STAT_FILE: /stats/fid_stats_lsun.npz
    TBOARD_DIR: /logs
    TTUR: True
```

The learned networks (both generator and critic) are then fine-tuned with learning rates divided by 10 . Samples from the trained model can be viewed in figure 5 .


Figure 5. Images from a First Order GAN after training on LSUN data set.
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Figure 6. Samples generated by First Order GAN trained on fhe One Billion Word benchmark with FOGAN (left) the original TTUR method (right).

## B.4. Billion Word

The parameters used for the Billion Word training were one run with the following settings, followed by a second run using initialized with the best saved model from the first run and learning rates divided by 10. Samples from our method and the WGAN-GP baseline can be found in figure 6

```
    'activation_d': 'relu',
'batch_norm_d': False,
'batch_norm_g': True,
'batch_size': 64,
'checkpoint_dir': 'logs/checkpoints/0201_181559_0.000300_0.000100',
'critic_iters' : 1,
'data_path': '1-billion-word-language-modeling-benchmark-r13output',
'dim': 512,
'gan_divergence': 'FOGAN',
'gradient_penalty': 1.0,
'is_train': True,
'iterations': 500000,
'jsd_test_interval': 2000,
'learning_rate_d': 0.0003,
'learning_rate_g': 0.0001,
'lipschitz_penalty': 0.1,
'load_checkpoint_dir': 'False',
'log_dir': 'logs/tboard/0201_181559_0.000300_0.000100',
'max_n_examples' : 10000000,
'n_ngrams': 6,
'num_sample_batches': 100,
'print_interval': 100,
'sample_dir': 'logs/samples/0201_181559_0.000300_0.000100',
'seq_len': 32,
'squared_divergence': False,
'use_fast_lang_model': True
```

