## 9. Supplementary Material

### 9.1. Proof of Lemma 6.1

Note that the update rule (24) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{Z}^{k+1}:=\mathbf{Z}^{k}+\mathbf{W} \mathbf{Z}^{k}-\tilde{\mathbf{W}} \mathbf{Z}^{k-1}-\alpha\left(\hat{\mathcal{B}}^{k}\left(\mathbf{Z}^{k+1}\right)-\hat{\mathcal{B}}^{k-1}\left(\mathbf{Z}^{k}\right)\right) \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

from the definition of $\tilde{\mathbf{W}}$. To prove the first part of the lemma, by summing (47) from $k=1$ to $t$ and (25), one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{Z}^{t+1}=(\mathbf{W}-\tilde{\mathbf{W}}) \sum_{k=0}^{t} \mathbf{Z}^{k}+\tilde{\mathbf{W}} \mathbf{Z}^{t}-\alpha \hat{\mathcal{B}}^{t}\left(\mathbf{Z}^{t+1}\right) \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the definition of $\mathbf{U}$ and $\mathbf{Q}^{t}$ and the identity $\mathbf{I}=2 \tilde{\mathbf{W}}-\mathbf{W}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha \hat{\mathcal{B}}^{t}\left(\mathbf{Z}^{t+1}\right)=\tilde{\mathbf{W}}\left(\mathbf{Z}^{t}-\mathbf{Z}^{t+1}\right)-\mathbf{U} \mathbf{Q}^{t+1} \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

By subtracting the optimality condition (15), we have the result.
From first part, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle\mathbf{Z}^{t+1}-\mathbf{Z}^{*}, \alpha\left[\mathcal{B}\left(\mathbf{Z}^{*}\right)-\hat{\mathcal{B}}^{t}\left(\mathbf{Z}^{t+1}\right)\right]\right\rangle \\
= & \left\langle\mathbf{Z}^{t+1}-\mathbf{Z}^{*},-\tilde{\mathbf{W}}\left(\mathbf{Z}^{t}-\mathbf{Z}^{t+1}\right)+\mathbf{U}\left(\mathbf{Q}^{t+1}-\mathbf{Q}^{*}\right)\right\rangle \\
= & \left\langle\mathbf{Z}^{t+1}-\mathbf{Z}^{*}, \mathbf{Z}^{t+1}-\mathbf{Z}^{t}\right\rangle_{\tilde{\mathbf{W}}}+\left\langle\mathbf{Z}^{t+1}-\mathbf{Z}^{*}, \mathbf{U}\left(\mathbf{Q}^{t+1}-\mathbf{Q}^{*}\right)\right\rangle \\
= & \left\langle\mathbf{Z}^{t+1}-\mathbf{Z}^{*}, \mathbf{Z}^{t+1}-\mathbf{Z}^{t}\right\rangle_{\tilde{\mathbf{W}}}+\left\langle\mathbf{Q}^{t+1}-\mathbf{Q}^{t}, \mathbf{Q}^{t+1}-\mathbf{Q}^{*}\right\rangle, \tag{50}
\end{align*}
$$

where the last equality uses the definition of $\mathbf{Q}^{t}$ and that $\mathbf{U Z} \mathbf{Z}^{*}=\mathbf{0}$. By applying the generalized Law of cosines $2\langle a, b\rangle=\|a\|^{2}+\|b\|^{2}-\|a-b\|^{2}$ with $a=\mathbf{X}^{t+1}-\mathbf{X}^{*}$ and $b=\mathbf{X}^{t+1}-\mathbf{X}^{t}$, we have the second part.

### 9.2. Proof of Lemma 6.2

We have $T^{t+1} \geq \frac{1}{L} S^{t+1}$ from the definition of cocoerciveness. Expanding the definition of $\hat{\mathcal{B}}^{t}\left(\mathbf{Z}^{t+1}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbb{E}\left\langle\mathbf{Z}^{t+1}-\mathbf{Z}^{*}, \mathcal{B}\left(\mathbf{Z}^{*}\right)-\hat{\mathcal{B}}^{t}\left(\mathbf{Z}^{t+1}\right)\right\rangle \\
= & \sum_{n=1}^{N}-\mathbb{E}_{i_{n}^{t}}\left\langle\mathbf{z}_{n, i_{n}^{t}}^{t+1}-\mathbf{z}^{*}, \mathcal{B}_{n, i_{n}^{t}}\left(\mathbf{z}_{n, i_{n}^{t}}^{t+1}\right)-\mathcal{B}_{n, i_{n}^{t}}\left(\mathbf{z}^{*}\right)\right\rangle \\
& +\mathbb{E}_{i_{n}^{t}}\left\langle\mathbf{z}_{n, i_{n}^{t}}^{t+1}-\mathbf{z}^{*},\left[\mathcal{B}_{n, i_{n}^{t}}\left(\mathbf{y}_{n, i_{n}^{t}}^{t}\right)-\mathcal{B}_{n, i_{n}^{t}}\left(\mathbf{z}^{*}\right)\right]-\left[\frac{1}{q} \sum_{i=1}^{q} \mathcal{B}_{n, i}\left(\mathbf{y}_{n, i}^{t}\right)-\mathcal{B}_{n}\left(\mathbf{z}^{*}\right)\right]\right\rangle . \tag{51}
\end{align*}
$$

The first term is exactly $-\frac{1}{2} T^{t+1}$, and is bounded by $-\frac{1}{2} T^{t+1} \leq-\frac{\theta}{2 L} S^{t+1}-\frac{1-\theta}{2} T^{t+1}$ for $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$. Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}_{i_{n}^{t}}\left\{\left[\mathcal{B}_{n, i_{n}^{t}}\left(\mathbf{y}_{n, i_{n}^{t}}^{t}\right)-\mathcal{B}_{n, i_{n}^{t}}\left(\mathbf{z}^{*}\right)\right]-\left[\frac{1}{q} \sum_{i=1}^{q} \mathcal{B}_{n, i}\left(\mathbf{y}_{n, i}^{t}\right)-\mathcal{B}_{n}\left(\mathbf{z}^{*}\right)\right]\right\}=\mathbf{0} \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\mathbf{z}_{n}^{t}$ is independent of $i_{n}^{t}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}_{i_{n}^{t}}\left\langle\mathbf{z}_{n}^{t}-\mathbf{z}^{*},\left[\mathcal{B}_{n, i_{n}^{t}}\left(\mathbf{y}_{n, i_{n}^{t}}^{t}\right)-\mathcal{B}_{n, i_{n}^{t}}\left(\mathbf{z}^{*}\right)\right]-\left[\frac{1}{q} \sum_{i=1}^{q} \mathcal{B}_{n, i}\left(\mathbf{y}_{n, i}^{t}\right)-\mathcal{B}_{n}\left(\mathbf{z}^{*}\right)\right]\right\rangle=0 \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

We bound the second term by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{n=1}^{N} \mathbb{E}_{i_{n}^{t}}\left\langle\mathbf{z}_{n, i_{n}^{t}}^{t+1}-\mathbf{z}^{*},\left[\mathcal{B}_{n, i_{n}^{t}}\left(\mathbf{y}_{n, i_{n}^{t}}^{t}\right)-\mathcal{B}_{n, i_{n}^{t}}\left(\mathbf{z}^{*}\right)\right]-\left[\frac{1}{q} \sum_{i=1}^{q} \mathcal{B}_{n, i}\left(\mathbf{y}_{n, i}^{t}\right)-\mathcal{B}_{n}\left(\mathbf{z}^{*}\right)\right]\right\rangle \\
= & \sum_{n=1}^{N} \mathbb{E}_{i_{n}^{t}}\left\langle\mathbf{z}_{n, i_{n}^{t}}^{t+1}-\mathbf{z}_{n}^{t},\left[\mathcal{B}_{n, i_{n}^{t}}\left(\mathbf{y}_{n, i_{n}^{t}}^{t}\right)-\mathcal{B}_{n, i_{n}^{t}}\left(\mathbf{z}^{*}\right)\right]-\left[\frac{1}{q} \sum_{i=1}^{q} \mathcal{B}_{n, i}\left(\mathbf{y}_{n, i}^{t}\right)-\mathcal{B}_{n}\left(\mathbf{z}^{*}\right)\right]\right\rangle \\
\leq & \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{\eta}{2} \mathbb{E}_{i_{n}^{t}}\left\|\left[\mathcal{B}_{n, i_{n}^{t}}\left(\mathbf{y}_{n, i_{n}^{t}}^{t}\right)-\mathcal{B}_{n, i_{n}^{t}}\left(\mathbf{z}^{*}\right)\right]-\left[\frac{1}{q} \sum_{i=1}^{q} \mathcal{B}_{n, i}\left(\mathbf{y}_{n, i}^{t}\right)-\mathcal{B}_{n}\left(\mathbf{z}^{*}\right)\right]\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2 \eta} \mathbb{E}_{i_{n}^{t}}\left\|\mathbf{z}_{n, i_{n}^{t}}^{t+1}-\mathbf{z}_{n}^{t}\right\|^{2} \\
\leq & \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{\eta}{2} \mathbb{E}_{i_{n}^{t}}\left\|\mathcal{B}_{n, i_{n}^{t}}\left(\mathbf{y}_{n, i_{n}^{t}}^{t}\right)-\mathcal{B}_{n, i_{n}^{t}}\left(\mathbf{z}^{*}\right)\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2 \eta} \mathbb{E}_{i_{n}^{t}}\left\|\mathbf{z}_{n, i_{n}^{t}}^{t+1}-\mathbf{z}_{n}^{t}\right\|^{2} \\
= & \frac{1}{2 \eta} \mathbb{E}\left\|\mathbf{Z}^{t+1}-\mathbf{Z}^{t}\right\|^{2}+\frac{\eta}{4} D^{t}, \tag{54}
\end{align*}
$$

where we use $\langle a, b\rangle \leq \frac{1}{2 \eta}\|a\|^{2}+\frac{\eta}{2}\|b\|^{2}$ in first inequality and $\|a-\mathbb{E} a\|^{2} \leq\|a\|^{2}$ in the second one.

### 9.3. Proof of Lemma 6.3

From the definition of $\hat{\mathcal{B}}^{t}\left(\mathbf{Z}^{t+1}\right)$, on node $n$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\mathcal{B}}_{n}^{t}\left(\mathbf{z}_{n}^{t+1}\right)-\mathcal{B}_{n}\left(\mathbf{z}^{*}\right)=\left[\mathcal{B}_{n, i_{n}^{t}}\left(\mathbf{z}_{n, i_{n}^{t}}^{t+1}\right)-\mathcal{B}_{n, i_{n}^{t}}\left(\mathbf{z}^{*}\right)\right]-\left[\mathcal{B}_{n, i_{n}^{t}}\left(\mathbf{y}_{n, i_{n}^{t}}^{t}\right)-\mathcal{B}_{n, i_{n}^{t}}\left(\mathbf{z}^{*}\right)\right]+\left[\frac{1}{q} \sum_{i=1}^{q} \mathcal{B}_{n, i}\left(\mathbf{y}_{n, i}^{t}\right)-\mathcal{B}_{n}\left(\mathbf{z}^{*}\right)\right] \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using $\|a+b\|^{2} \leq 2\|a\|^{2}+2\|b\|^{2}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbb{E}\left\|\hat{\mathcal{B}}^{t}\left(\mathbf{Z}^{t+1}\right)-\mathcal{B}\left(\mathbf{Z}^{*}\right)\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq \sum_{n=1}^{N} 2 \mathbb{E}_{i_{n}^{t}}\left\|\mathcal{B}_{n, i_{n}^{t}}\left(\mathbf{z}_{n, i_{n}^{t}}^{t+1}\right)-\mathcal{B}_{n, i_{n}^{t}}\left(\mathbf{z}^{*}\right)\right\|^{2}+2 \mathbb{E}_{i_{n}^{t}}\left\|\left[\mathcal{B}_{n, i_{n}^{t}}\left(\mathbf{y}_{n, i_{n}^{t}}^{t}\right)-\mathcal{B}_{n, i_{n}^{t}}\left(\mathbf{z}^{*}\right)\right]-\left[\frac{1}{q} \sum_{i=1}^{q} \mathcal{B}_{n, i}\left(\mathbf{y}_{n, i}^{t}\right)-\mathcal{B}_{n}\left(\mathbf{z}^{*}\right)\right]\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq S^{t+1}+D^{t} \tag{56}
\end{align*}
$$

where the last inequality uses the definition of $D^{t}$ and $S^{t+1}$ and $\|a-\mathbb{E} a\|^{2} \leq\|a\|^{2}$.

### 9.4. Proof of Lemma 6.4

Expand $\left\|\mathbf{X}^{t}-\mathbf{X}^{*}\right\|_{M}^{2}$ by the definition of $\mathbf{X}^{t}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{M}$ and suppose $\mathbf{Z}^{t+1}$ and $\mathbf{Q}^{t+1}$ are generated from some fixed $i_{n}^{t}, n \in[N]$. Using $\|a+b\|^{2} \leq 2\|a\|^{2}+2\|b\|^{2}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\mathbf{X}^{t}-\mathbf{X}^{*}\right\|_{M}^{2} & =\left\|\mathbf{Z}^{t}-\mathbf{Z}^{*}\right\|_{\tilde{\mathbf{W}}}^{2}+\left\|\mathbf{Q}^{t}-\mathbf{Q}^{*}\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq 2\left\|\mathbf{Z}^{t+1}-\mathbf{Z}^{t}\right\|_{\tilde{\mathbf{W}}}^{2}+2\left\|\mathbf{Z}^{t+1}-\mathbf{Z}^{*}\right\|_{\tilde{\mathbf{W}}}^{2}+2\left\|\mathbf{Q}^{t+1}-\mathbf{Q}^{t}\right\|^{2}+2\left\|\mathbf{Q}^{t+1}-\mathbf{Q}^{*}\right\|^{2} \tag{57}
\end{align*}
$$

We now bound the second term and last term. Using

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathbf{Z}^{t+1}-\mathbf{Z}^{*}\right\|_{\tilde{\mathbf{W}}}^{2} \leq\left\|\mathbf{Z}^{t+1}-\mathbf{Z}^{*}\right\|^{2} \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

since $\tilde{\mathbf{W}} \preccurlyeq I$, and the $\mu$-strongly monotonicity of $\mathcal{B}_{n, i_{n}^{t}}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathbf{Z}^{t+1}-\mathbf{Z}^{*}\right\|_{\tilde{\mathbf{W}}}^{2} \leq \frac{1}{\mu} \sum_{n=1}^{N}\left\langle\mathbf{z}_{n, i_{n}^{t}}^{t+1}-\mathbf{z}^{*}, \mathcal{B}_{n, i_{n}^{t}}\left(\mathbf{z}_{n, i_{n}^{t}}^{t+1}\right)-\mathcal{B}_{n, i_{n}^{t}}\left(\mathbf{z}^{*}\right)\right\rangle \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the construction of $\mathbf{Q}^{t+1}$ and $\mathbf{Q}^{*}$, every column of $\mathbf{Q}^{t+1}-\mathbf{Q}^{*}$ is in $\operatorname{span}(U)$, thus we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma\left\|\mathbf{Q}^{t+1}-\mathbf{Q}^{*}\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|U\left(\mathbf{Q}^{t+1}-\mathbf{Q}^{*}\right)\right\|^{2} \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\gamma$ is the smallest nonzero singular value of $U^{2}=\tilde{\mathbf{W}}-W$. From Lemma 6.1, we write

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|U\left(\mathbf{Q}^{t+1}-\mathbf{Q}^{*}\right)\right\|^{2} & =\left\|\alpha\left[\hat{\mathcal{B}}^{t}\left(\mathbf{Z}^{t+1}\right)-\mathcal{B}\left(\mathbf{Z}^{*}\right)\right]+\tilde{\mathbf{W}}\left(\mathbf{Z}^{t+1}-\mathbf{Z}^{t}\right)\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq 2 \alpha^{2}\left\|\hat{\mathcal{B}}^{t}\left(\mathbf{Z}^{t+1}\right)-\mathcal{B}\left(\mathbf{Z}^{*}\right)\right\|^{2}+2\left\|\mathbf{Z}^{t+1}-\mathbf{Z}^{t}\right\|_{\tilde{\mathbf{W}}}^{2} \tag{61}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting these two upper bounds into (57), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\mathbf{X}^{t}-\mathbf{X}^{*}\right\|_{M}^{2} \leq(2 & \left.+\frac{4}{\gamma}\right)\left\|\mathbf{Z}^{t+1}-\mathbf{Z}^{t}\right\|_{\tilde{\mathbf{W}}}^{2}+2\left\|\mathbf{Q}^{t+1}-\mathbf{Q}^{t}\right\|^{2}+\frac{2}{\mu} \sum_{n=1}^{N}\left\langle\mathbf{z}_{n, i_{n}^{t}}^{t+1}-\mathbf{z}^{*}, \mathcal{B}_{n, i_{n}^{t}}\left(\mathbf{z}_{n, i_{n}^{t}}^{t+1}\right)-\mathcal{B}_{n, i_{n}^{t}}\left(\mathbf{z}^{*}\right)\right\rangle \\
& +\frac{4 \alpha^{2}}{\gamma}\left\|\hat{\mathcal{B}}^{t}\left(\mathbf{Z}^{t+1}\right)-\mathcal{B}\left(\mathbf{Z}^{*}\right)\right\|^{2} \tag{62}
\end{align*}
$$

Taking expectation and using Lemma 6.3, we have the result.

### 9.5. Proof of Theorem 6.1

From Lemma 6.1 and 6.2, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbb{E}\left\|\mathbf{X}^{t+1}-\mathbf{X}^{*}\right\|_{\mathbf{M}}^{2}-\left\|\mathbf{X}^{t}-\mathbf{X}^{*}\right\|_{\mathbf{M}}^{2}+\mathbb{E}\left\|\mathbf{X}^{t+1}-\mathbf{X}^{t}\right\|_{\mathbf{M}}^{2} \\
& =2 \alpha \mathbb{E}\left\langle\mathbf{Z}^{t+1}-\mathbf{Z}^{*}, \mathcal{B}\left(\mathbf{Z}^{*}\right)-\hat{\mathcal{B}}^{t}\left(\mathbf{Z}^{t+1}\right)\right\rangle \\
& \leq \frac{\alpha}{\eta} \mathbb{E}\left\|\mathbf{Z}^{t+1}-\mathbf{Z}^{t}\right\|^{2}+\frac{\eta \alpha}{2} D^{t}-\frac{\theta \alpha}{L} S^{t+1}-(1-\theta) \alpha T^{t+1} \tag{63}
\end{align*}
$$

Also for $D^{t+1}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{E} D^{t+1} & =\sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{2}{q} \sum_{i=1}^{q} \mathbb{E}_{i_{n}^{t}}\left\|\mathcal{B}_{n, i}\left(\mathbf{y}_{n, i}^{t+1}\right)-\mathcal{B}_{n, i}\left(\mathbf{z}^{*}\right)\right\|^{2} \\
& =\sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{2}{q} \sum_{i=1}^{q}\left\{\frac{1}{q}\left\|\mathcal{B}_{n, i}\left(\mathbf{z}_{n, i}^{t+1}\right)-\mathcal{B}_{n, i}\left(\mathbf{z}^{*}\right)\right\|^{2}+\left(1-\frac{1}{q}\right)\left\|\mathcal{B}_{n, i}\left(\mathbf{y}_{n, i}^{t}\right)-\mathcal{B}_{n, i}\left(\mathbf{z}^{*}\right)\right\|^{2}\right\} \\
& =\left(1-\frac{1}{q}\right) D^{t}+\frac{1}{q} S^{t+1} \tag{64}
\end{align*}
$$

By adding $c D^{t+1}$ and rearranging terms, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\mathbf{X}^{t+1}-\mathbf{X}^{*}\right\|_{M}^{2}+c D^{t+1}\right] \leq & \left\|\mathbf{X}^{t}-\mathbf{X}^{*}\right\|_{\mathbf{M}}^{2}-\mathbb{E}\left\|\mathbf{X}^{t+1}-\mathbf{X}^{t}\right\|_{\mathbf{M}}^{2}+\left(1-\frac{1}{q}\right) c D^{t}+\frac{c}{q} S^{t+1} \\
& +\frac{\alpha}{\eta} \mathbb{E}\left\|\mathbf{Z}^{t+1}-\mathbf{Z}^{t}\right\|^{2}+\frac{\eta \alpha}{2} D^{t}-\frac{\theta \alpha}{L} S^{t+1}-(1-\theta) \alpha T^{t+1} \tag{65}
\end{align*}
$$

If we further have

$$
\begin{align*}
(1-\delta)\left[\left\|\mathbf{X}^{t}-\mathbf{X}^{*}\right\|_{M}^{2}+c D^{t}\right] \geq & \left\|\mathbf{X}^{t}-\mathbf{X}^{*}\right\|_{\mathbf{M}}^{2}-\mathbb{E}\left\|\mathbf{X}^{t+1}-\mathbf{X}^{t}\right\|_{\mathbf{M}}^{2}+\left(1-\frac{1}{q}\right) c D^{t}+\frac{c}{q} S^{t+1} \\
& +\frac{\alpha}{\eta} \mathbb{E}\left\|\mathbf{Z}^{t+1}-\mathbf{Z}^{t}\right\|^{2}+\frac{\eta \alpha}{2} D^{t}-\frac{\theta \alpha}{L} S^{t+1}-(1-\theta) \alpha T^{t+1} \tag{66}
\end{align*}
$$

then we have the result. The above inequality is equivalent to

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\frac{c}{q}-c \delta-\frac{\alpha \eta}{2}\right) D^{t}+\left(\frac{\alpha \theta}{L}-\frac{c}{q}\right) S^{t+1}+\alpha(1-\theta) T^{t+1} \\
\geq & \underbrace{\delta\left\|\mathbf{X}^{t}-\mathbf{X}^{*}\right\|_{\mathbf{M}}^{2}-\left\|\mathbf{X}^{t+1}-\mathbf{X}^{t}\right\|_{\mathbf{M}}^{2}+\frac{\alpha}{\eta} \mathbb{E}\left\|\mathbf{Z}^{t+1}-\mathbf{Z}^{t}\right\|^{2}}_{\Lambda} \tag{67}
\end{align*}
$$

and hence a sufficient condition is that an upper bound of the right hand side is less than the left hand side.
To bound $\Lambda$, using Lemma 6.4 for the first term, the definition of $\left\|\mathbf{X}^{t+1}-\mathbf{X}^{t}\right\|_{\mathbf{M}}^{2}$ for the second term, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2}\left\|\mathbf{Z}^{t+1}-\mathbf{Z}^{t}\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|\mathbf{Z}^{t+1}-\mathbf{Z}^{t}\right\|_{\tilde{\mathbf{W}}}^{2} \tag{68}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the third term since $\frac{1}{2} I \preccurlyeq \tilde{\mathbf{W}}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\Lambda \leq & \delta\left[\left(2+\frac{4}{\gamma}\right) \mathbb{E}\left\|\mathbf{Z}^{t+1}-\mathbf{Z}^{t}\right\|_{\tilde{\mathbf{W}}}^{2}+\frac{1}{\mu} T^{t+1}+2 \mathbb{E}\left\|\mathbf{Q}^{t+1}-\mathbf{Q}^{t}\right\|^{2}+\frac{4 \alpha^{2}}{\gamma}\left(S^{t+1}+D^{t}\right)\right] \\
& -\mathbb{E}\left\|\mathbf{Z}^{t+1}-\mathbf{Z}^{t}\right\|_{\tilde{\mathbf{W}}}^{2}-\mathbb{E}\left\|\mathbf{Q}^{t+1}-\mathbf{Q}^{t}\right\|^{2}+\frac{2 \alpha}{\eta} \mathbb{E}\left\|\mathbf{Z}^{t+1}-\mathbf{Z}^{t}\right\|_{\tilde{\mathbf{W}}}^{2} \tag{69}
\end{align*}
$$

Uniting like terms gives us the following sufficient condition for Theorem 6.1 to stand:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\frac{c}{q}-c \delta-\frac{\alpha \eta}{2}-\frac{4 \delta \alpha^{2}}{\gamma}\right) D^{t}+\left(\frac{\alpha \theta}{L}-\frac{c}{q}-\frac{4 \delta \alpha^{2}}{\gamma}\right) S^{t+1}+\left(\alpha(1-\theta)-\frac{\delta}{\mu}\right) T^{t+1} \\
& +(1-2 \delta) \mathbb{E}\left\|\mathbf{Q}^{t+1}-\mathbf{Q}^{t}\right\|^{2}+\left(1-\left(2+\frac{4}{\gamma}\right) \delta-\frac{2 \alpha}{\eta}\right) \mathbb{E}\left\|\mathbf{Z}^{t+1}-\mathbf{Z}^{t}\right\|_{\tilde{\mathbf{W}}}^{2} \geq 0 \tag{70}
\end{align*}
$$

Since every term in the above inequality is nonnegative, this inequality holds when every bracket is nonnegative. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=\frac{\tau}{L}, \eta=4 \alpha, \theta=\frac{1}{2}, c=\frac{m q}{L^{2}}, \tag{71}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tau$ and $m$ are constant to be set. The non-negativity of of the first two brackets equivalents to

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
c\left(\frac{1}{3 q}-\delta\right)+\frac{2 m}{3 L^{2}}-\frac{2 \tau^{2}}{L^{2}}-\frac{\delta}{\gamma} \frac{4 \tau^{2}}{L^{2}} \geq 0  \tag{72}\\
\frac{\tau}{2 L^{2}}-\frac{m}{L^{2}}-\frac{\delta}{\gamma} \frac{4 \tau^{2}}{L^{2}} \geq 0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Taking $\tau=\frac{1}{24}, m=\frac{1}{96}, \delta \leq \min \left\{\frac{\gamma}{12}, \frac{\mu}{48 L}, \frac{1}{3 q}, \frac{1}{4}\right\}$, we have the result.

### 9.6. Resolvent of Logistic Regression

In Logistic Regression, each component operator $\mathcal{B}_{n, i}$ is defined as $\mathcal{B}_{n, i}(\mathbf{z})=\frac{-y_{n, i}}{1+\exp \left(y_{n, i} \mathbf{a}_{n, i}^{\top} \mathbf{z}\right)} \mathbf{a}_{n, i}$, where $\mathbf{a}_{n, i} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ is the feature vector of a sample and $y_{n, i} \in\{-1,+1\}$ is its class label. The resolvent, $\mathcal{J}_{\alpha \mathcal{B}_{n, i}}(\mathbf{z})$, does not admit a closed form solution, but can be computed efficiently by the following newton iteration: let $a_{0}=0, b=\mathbf{a}_{n, i}^{\top} \mathbf{z}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{k}=\frac{-y_{n, i}}{1+\exp \left(y_{n, i} a_{k}\right)} \text { and } a_{k+1}=a_{k}-\frac{\alpha e_{k}+a_{k}-b}{1-\alpha y_{n, i} e_{k}-\alpha e_{k}^{2}} \tag{73}
\end{equation*}
$$

When the iterate converges, the resolvent is obtain by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{J}_{\alpha \mathcal{B}_{n, i}}(\mathbf{z})=\mathbf{z}-\left(b-a_{k}\right) \mathbf{a}_{n, i} . \tag{74}
\end{equation*}
$$

In our experiments, 20 newton iteration is sufficient for DSBA.

### 9.7. Resolvent of AUC maximization

In the $\ell_{2}$-relaxed AUC maximization, the variable $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{R}^{d+3}$ is a $d+3$-dimensional augmented vector, where $d$ is the dimension of the dataset. For simplicity, we decompose $\mathbf{z}$ as $\mathbf{z}=\left[\mathbf{w}^{\top} ; a ; b ; \theta\right]$ with $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}, a \in \mathbb{R}, b \in \mathbb{R}, \theta \in \mathbb{R}$. For a positive sample, i.e. $y_{n, i}=+1$, the component operator $\mathcal{B}_{n, i}$ is then defined as

$$
\mathcal{B}_{n, i}(\mathbf{z})=\left[\begin{array}{c}
2(1-p)\left(\left(\mathbf{a}_{n, i}^{\top} \mathbf{w}-a\right)-(1+\theta)\right) \mathbf{a}_{n, i}  \tag{75}\\
-2(1-p)\left(\mathbf{a}_{n, i}^{\top} \mathbf{w}-a\right) \\
0 \\
2 p(1-p) \theta+2(1-p) \mathbf{a}_{n, i}^{\top} \mathbf{w}
\end{array}\right]
$$

and for a negative sample, i.e. $y_{n, i}=-1$

$$
\mathcal{B}_{n, i}(\mathbf{z})=\left[\begin{array}{c}
2 p\left(\left(\mathbf{a}_{n, i}^{\top} \mathbf{w}-b\right)+(1+\theta)\right) \mathbf{a}_{n, i}  \tag{76}\\
0 \\
-2 p\left(\mathbf{a}_{n, i}^{\top} \mathbf{w}-b\right) \\
2 p(1-p) \theta-2 p \mathbf{a}_{n, i}^{\top} \mathbf{w}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $p=\frac{\text { \#positive samples }}{\text { \#samples }}$ is the positive ratio of the dataset. Similar to RR, the resolvent of $\mathcal{B}_{n, i}$ also admits a closed form solution, which we now derive. For a positive sample, define

$$
\mathbf{A}^{+}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1+2(1-p) \alpha & -2(1-p) \alpha & 0 & -2(1-p) \alpha  \tag{77}\\
-2(1-p) \alpha & 1+2(1-p) \alpha & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
2(1-p) \alpha & 0 & 0 & 1+2 p(1-p) \alpha
\end{array}\right]
$$

and

$$
\mathbf{b}^{+}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{a}_{n, i}^{\top} \mathbf{w}+2(1-p) \alpha  \tag{78}\\
a \\
b \\
\theta
\end{array}\right]
$$

Let $\mathbf{b}_{r}^{+}=\left(\mathbf{A}^{+}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{b}^{+} \in \mathbb{R}^{4}$ and decompose it as $\mathbf{b}_{r}^{+}=\left[z_{r}^{+} ; a_{r}^{+} ; b_{r}^{+} ; \theta_{r}^{+}\right]$. The resolvent is obtain as

$$
\mathcal{J}_{\alpha \mathcal{B}_{n, i}}(\mathbf{z})=\mathbf{z}_{r}^{+}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
{\left[\mathbf{w}-2(1-p) \alpha\left[\left(z_{r}^{+}-a\right)-(1+\theta)\right] \mathbf{a}_{n, i}\right.}  \tag{79}\\
a_{r}^{+} \\
b_{r}^{+} \\
\theta_{r}^{+}
\end{array}\right]
$$

We can do the similar derivation for a negative sample. Define

$$
\mathbf{A}^{-}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1+2 p \alpha & 0 & -2 p \alpha & 2 p \alpha  \tag{80}\\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
-2 p \alpha & 0 & 1+2 p \alpha & 0 \\
-2 p \alpha & 0 & 0 & 1+2 p(1-p) \alpha
\end{array}\right]
$$

and

$$
\mathbf{b}^{+}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{a}_{n, i}^{\top} \mathbf{w}-2 p \alpha  \tag{81}\\
a \\
b \\
\theta
\end{array}\right]
$$

Let $\mathbf{b}_{r}^{-}=\left(\mathbf{A}^{-}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{b}^{-} \in \mathbb{R}^{4}$ and decompose it as $\mathbf{b}_{r}^{-}=\left[z_{r}^{-} ; a_{r}^{-} ; b_{r}^{-} ; \theta_{r}^{-}\right]$The resolvent is obtain as

$$
\mathcal{J}_{\alpha \mathcal{B}_{n, i}}(\mathbf{z})=\mathbf{z}_{r}^{+}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
{\left[\mathbf{w}-2 p \alpha\left[\left(z_{r}^{-}-b\right)-(1+\theta)\right] \mathbf{a}_{n, i}\right.}  \tag{82}\\
a_{r}^{-} \\
b_{r}^{-} \\
\theta_{r}^{-}
\end{array}\right]
$$

