## A. Algorithm pseudocode

```
Algorithm 1 Optimization with randomized telescopes
    Input: initial parameter \(\theta\), gradient routine \(g(\theta, i)\) which returns \(\bar{G}_{i}(\theta)\), compute costs \(\bar{C}\), exponential decay \(\alpha\), tuning
    frequency \(K\), horizon \(\bar{H}\), reference learning rate \(\bar{\eta}\)
    Initialize \(B=0\), next_tune \(=0, D_{i, j}=0\)
    repeat
        if next_tune \(<=B\) then
            \(\bar{D}, q, W, S \leftarrow \operatorname{tune}(\theta, \bar{D}, g, \bar{C}, \alpha, \bar{H})\)
            expectedCompute, expectedSquaredNorm = compute_and_variance \((\bar{D}, \bar{C}, S)\)
            \(\eta \leftarrow \bar{\eta} \frac{\text { expectedSquaredNorm }}{\bar{D}_{0, \bar{H}}}\)
            \(B+=\sum_{i=1}^{\bar{H}} \bar{C}(\bar{H})\)
            next_tune \(+=\bar{C}(\bar{H})\)
        end if
        \(N \sim q\)
        for \(n=1\) to \(N\) do
            \(G_{n} \leftarrow g(\theta, S[n])\)
        end for
        \(\hat{G} \leftarrow \sum_{n=1}^{N} G_{n} W(n, N)\)
        \(\theta \leftarrow \theta-\eta \hat{G}\)
        if compute reused then
            \(B+=\bar{C}(S[N])\)
        else
            \(B+=\sum_{n=1}^{N} \bar{C}(S[n])\)
        end if
    until converged
```

```
Algorithm 2 tune
    Input: current parameter \(\theta\), current squared distance estimates \(\bar{D}_{i, j}\), gradient routine \(g(\theta, i)\) which returns \(\bar{G}_{i}(\theta)\), compute
    costs \(\bar{C}\), exponential decay \(\alpha\), horizon \(\bar{H}\)
    \(\bar{G}_{0}(\theta) \leftarrow 0\)
    for \(i=1\) to \(\bar{H}\) do
        \(\bar{G}_{i}(\theta) \leftarrow g(\theta, i)\)
    end for
    for \(i=0\) to \(\bar{H}\) do
        for \(j=1\) to \(\bar{H}\) do
            \(D_{i, j} \leftarrow\left\|G_{i}-G_{j}\right\|_{2}^{2}\)
        end for
    end for
    \(\bar{D} \leftarrow \alpha \bar{D}+(1-\alpha) D\)
    \(S \leftarrow\) greedy_subsequence_select \((\bar{D}, \bar{C})\)
    \(q, W \leftarrow q \_\)and_ \(W(\bar{D}, \bar{C}, S)\)
    Return: updated estimates \(\bar{D}_{i, j}\), sampling distribution \(q\), weight function \(W\), and subsequence \(S\)
```

```
Algorithm 3 greedy_subsequence_select
    Input: Norm estimates \(\bar{D}\), compute costs \(\bar{C}\)
    Initialize \(N=\operatorname{len}(C)\)
    Initialize \(S^{+}=[N], \quad S^{-}=[1, \ldots, N], \quad\) converged \(=\) FALSE, \(\quad\) bestAddCost \(=\operatorname{cost}\left(\bar{D}, S^{+}, \bar{C}\right)\),
    bestRemoveCost \(=\operatorname{cost}\left(\bar{D}, S^{-}, \bar{C}\right)\)
    while not converged do
        for \(i \in\left[i\right.\) for \(i \in[1 \ldots N]\) if not \(\left.i \in S^{+}\right]\)do
            trial \(S \leftarrow \operatorname{sort}\left(S^{+}+[i]\right)\)
            trialCost \(\leftarrow \operatorname{cost}(\bar{D}, \bar{C}\), trial \(S)\)
            if trialCost < bestAddCost then
                \(S^{+} \leftarrow \operatorname{trial} S\)
                bestAddCost \(\leftarrow\) trialCost
                converged \(\leftarrow\) False
                BREAK
            else
                    converged \(\leftarrow\) True
            end if
        end for
    end while
    converged \(\leftarrow\) False
    while not converged do
        for \(i \in\left[i\right.\) for \(i \in S^{-}\)if \(i \neq N\) do
            \(\operatorname{trial} S \leftarrow\left[j\right.\) for \(j \in S^{-}\)if \(\left.j!=i\right]\)
            trialCost \(\leftarrow\) sequence_cost \((\bar{D}, C\), trialS \()\)
            if trialCost \(<\) bestRemoveCost then
                \(S^{-} \leftarrow \operatorname{trial} S\)
                bestRemoveCost \(\leftarrow\) trialCost
                converged \(\leftarrow\) False
                BREAK
            else
                converged \(\leftarrow\) True
            end if
        end for
    end while
    if bestRemoveCost> bestAddCost then
        Return: \(S^{-}\)
    else
        Return: \(S^{+}\)
    end if
```

```
Algorithm 4 compute_and_variance
    Input: Norm estimates \(\bar{D}\), compute costs \(\bar{C}\), sequence \(S\)
    \(q, W \leftarrow q \_\)and_ \(W(\bar{D}, \bar{C}, S)\)
    expectedCompute \(\left.\leftarrow \sum_{i \in[1 \ldots|S|]} q(S[i]]\right) \bar{C}(S[i])\)
    if RT-SS then
        expectedSquaredNorm \(\left.\leftarrow \sum_{i \in[1 \ldots|S|]} q(S[i]]\right) W(S[i], S[i]) \bar{D}_{S[i-1], S[i]}\)
    else if RT-RR then
        expectedSquaredNorm \(\left.\leftarrow \sum_{i \in[1 \ldots|S|]} \sum_{j \in[1 \ldots i]} q(S[i]]\right) W(S[j], S[i]) \bar{D}_{S[j], S[i]}\)
    else
        Undefined: must specify RT-SS or RT-RR
    end if
    Return: expectedCompute, expectedSquaredNorm
```

```
Algorithm 5 sequence_cost
    Input: Norm estimates \(\bar{D}\), compute costs \(\bar{C}\), sequence \(S\)
    expectedCompute, expectedSquaredNorm \(=\) compute_and_variance \((\bar{D}, \bar{C}, S)\)
    Return: expectedCompute * expectedSquaredNorm
```

```
Algorithm 6 q_and_W
    Input: \(\bar{D}, \bar{C}\), and \(S\)
    if RT-SS then
        \(q(N) \leftarrow \sqrt{\frac{\bar{D}_{S[N], S[N-1]}}{C(S[n])}}\)
        \(W(n, N) \leftarrow \frac{1}{q(N)} \mathbb{1}\{n=N\}\)
    else if RT-RR then
        \(\tilde{Q}(N) \leftarrow \sqrt{\frac{\bar{D}_{S[N], S[N-1]}}{\overline{C(S[n])-C(S[n-1])}}}\)
        \(\tilde{(q)}(N) \leftarrow \max (0, \tilde{Q}(N)-\tilde{Q}(N-1))\)
        \(q(N) \leftarrow \frac{\tilde{q}(N)}{\sum_{i} \tilde{q}(i)}\)
        \(W(n, N) \leftarrow \frac{1}{1-\sum_{i} q(i)} \mathbb{1}\{n \leq N\}\)
    else
        Undefined: must specify RT-SS or RT-RR
    end if
    Return: \(q, W\)
```


## B. Proofs

## B.1. Proofs for section 2

## B.1.1. Proposition 2.1

Unbiasedness of RT estimators. The RT estimators in (2) are unbiased estimators of $Y_{H}$ as long as

$$
\mathbb{E}_{N \sim q}[W(n, N) \mathbb{1}\{N \geq n\}]=\sum_{N=n}^{H} W(n, N) q(N)=1 \quad \forall n
$$

Proof. A randomized telescope estimator which satisfies the above linear constraint condition has expectation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left[\hat{Y}_{H}\right] & =\sum_{N=1}^{H} q(N) \sum_{n=1}^{N} W(n, N) \Delta_{n} \\
& =\sum_{n=1}^{H} \sum_{N=1}^{H} \Delta_{n} W(n, N) q(N) \mathbb{1}\{n \leq N\} \\
& =\sum_{n=1}^{H} \Delta_{n} \sum_{N=n}^{H} W(n, N) q(N)=\sum_{n=1}^{H} \Delta_{n}=Y_{H}
\end{aligned}
$$

## B.2. Proofs for section 4

## B.2.1. Theorem 4.1

Bounded variance and compute with polynomial convergence of $\psi$. Assume $\psi$ converges according to $\psi_{n} \leq \frac{c}{(n)^{p}}$ or faster, for constants $p>0$ and $c>0$. Choose the RT-SS estimator with $q(n) \propto 1 /\left((n)^{p+1 / 2}\right)$. The resulting estimator $\hat{G}$ achieves expected compute $C \leq\left(\mathcal{H}_{H}^{p-\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{2}$, where $\mathcal{H}_{H}^{i}$ is the $H$ th generalized harmonic number of order $i$, and expected squared norm $\mathbb{E}\left[\mid \hat{G} \|_{2}^{2}\right] \leq c_{\psi}^{2}\left(\mathcal{H}_{H}^{p-\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{2}:=\tilde{G}^{2}$. The limit $\lim _{H \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{H}_{H}^{p-\frac{1}{2}}$ is finite iff $p>\frac{3}{2}$, in which case it is given by the Riemannian zeta function, $\lim _{H \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{H}_{H}^{p-\frac{1}{2}}=\zeta\left(p-\frac{1}{2}\right)$. Accordingly, the estimator achieves horizon-agnostic variance and expected compute bounds iff $p>\frac{3}{2}$.

Proof. Begin by noting the RT-SS estimator returns $\frac{\Delta_{n}}{q_{n}}$ with probability $q(n)$. Let $\bar{q}(n)=\frac{1}{n^{p+\frac{1}{2}}}$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{H} \bar{q}(n)=Z$,
such that $q(n)=\frac{\bar{q}(n)}{Z}$. First, note $Z=\sum_{n=1}^{H} \frac{1}{n^{p+\frac{1}{2}}}=\mathrm{H}_{H}^{p+\frac{1}{2}}$. Now inspect the expected squared norm $\mathbb{E}\|\hat{G}\|_{2}^{2}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=1}^{H} q(n)\left\|\frac{\Delta_{n}}{q_{n}}\right\|_{2}^{2} & =\sum_{n=1}^{H} q(n) \frac{\left\|\Delta_{n}\right\|_{2}^{2}}{q_{n}^{2}} \\
& =Z \sum_{n=1}^{H} \bar{q}(n) \frac{\left\|\Delta_{n}\right\|_{2}^{2}}{\bar{q}_{n}^{2}} \\
& \leq Z c_{\psi}^{2} \sum_{n=1}^{H} \bar{q}(n) \frac{n^{2 p+1}}{n^{2 p}} \\
& =Z c_{\psi}^{2} \sum_{n=1}^{H} \frac{n^{2 p+1}}{n^{3 p+\frac{1}{2}}} \\
& =Z c_{\psi}^{2} \sum_{n=1}^{H} \frac{1}{n^{p-\frac{1}{2}}} \\
& =Z c_{\psi}^{2} \mathbf{H}_{H}^{p-\frac{1}{2}} \\
& =c_{\psi}^{2} \mathbf{H}_{H}^{p-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{H}_{H}^{p+\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \leq c_{\psi}^{2}\left(\mathbf{H}_{H}^{p-\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now inspect the expected compute, $\mathbb{E}_{n \sim q} n$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}_{n \sim q} & =\sum_{n=1}^{N} q(n) n \\
& =Z \sum_{n=1}^{H} \frac{n}{n^{p+\frac{1}{2}}} \\
& =Z \sum_{n=1}^{H} \frac{1}{n^{p-\frac{1}{2}}} \\
& =Z \mathbf{H}_{H}^{p-\frac{1}{2}} \\
& =\mathbf{H}_{H}^{p-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{H}_{H}^{p+\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \leq\left(\mathbf{H}_{H}^{p-\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

## B.2.2. Theorem 4.2

Bounded variance and compute with geometric convergence of $\psi$. Assume $\psi_{n}$ converges according to $\psi_{n} \leq c p^{n}$, or faster, for $0<p<1$. Choose RT-SS and with $q(n) \propto p^{n}$. The resulting estimator $\hat{G}$ achieves expected compute $C \leq(1-p)^{-2}$ and expected squared norm $\|\hat{G}\|_{2}^{2} \leq \frac{c}{(1-p)^{2}}:=\tilde{G}^{2}$. Thus, the estimator achieves horizon-agnostic variance and expected compute bounds for all $0<p<1$.

Proof. Let $q(n)=\frac{\bar{q}(n)}{Z}$, for $\bar{q}(n)=p^{n}$. Note $Z=\sum_{n=1}^{H} p^{n}=p \frac{1-p^{H}}{1-p} \leq \frac{1}{1-p}$. Now, note $\psi_{n}=c_{\psi} \bar{q}(n)$. It follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}_{n \sim q}\left\|\frac{\Delta_{n}}{q(n)}\right\|_{2}^{2} & =\sum_{n=1}^{H} q(n) \frac{\left\|\Delta_{n}\right\|_{2}^{2}}{q(n)^{2}} \\
& \leq \sum_{n=1}^{H} q(n) \frac{\psi_{n}^{2}}{q(n)^{2}} \\
& =\leq c_{\psi}^{2} \sum_{n=1}^{H} q(n) \frac{\bar{q}(n)^{2}}{q(n)^{2}} \\
& =c_{\psi}^{2} Z^{2} \sum_{n=1}^{H} q(n) \\
& =c_{\psi}^{2} Z^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now consider the expected compute. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}_{n \sim q} n & =\sum_{n=1}^{N} n q(n) \\
& =\sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{n p^{n}}{Z} \\
& =\frac{1}{Z} \sum_{n=1}^{N} n p^{n} \\
& =p \frac{1}{Z} \frac{1+H p^{H+1}-(H+1) p^{H}}{(1-p)^{2}} \\
& =\frac{1+H p^{H+1}-(H+1) p^{H}}{(1-p)\left(1-p^{H}\right)} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{(1-p)\left(1-p^{H}\right)} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{(1-p)^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## B.2.3. Theorem 4.3

Asymptotic regret bounds for optimizing infinite-horizon programs. Assume the setting from 4.1 or 4.2, and the corresponding $C$ and $\tilde{G}$ from those theorems. Let $R_{t}$ be the instantaneous regret at the $t$ th step of optimization, $R_{t}=\mathcal{L}\left(\theta_{t}\right)-\min _{\theta} \mathcal{L}(\theta)$. Let $t(B)$ be the greatest $t$ such that a computational budget $B$ is not exceeded. Use online gradient descent with step size $\eta_{t}=\frac{D}{\sqrt{t} \mathbb{E}\left[\|\hat{G}\|_{2}^{2}\right]}$. As $B \rightarrow \infty$, the asymptotic instantaneous regret is bounded by $R_{t(B)} \leq \mathcal{O}\left(\tilde{G} D \sqrt{\frac{C}{B}}\right)$, independent of $H$.

Proof. First, we control $t(B)$ using the central limit theorem. Note $t \rightarrow \infty \Longleftrightarrow B(t) \rightarrow \infty$. Consider $B$ as a function $B(t)$ of $t$. We have $B(t)=\sum_{\tau=1}^{t} N_{t}$, where $N \sim q$. Thus, $\frac{B(t)}{t} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_{N \sim q} N$ by the central limit theorem. This implies that in the limit, $t=\frac{B}{C}$.

To complete the proof, plug in $t(B)$ and $\eta_{t}$, as well as the upper bound on squared norm $\mathbb{E}\|\hat{G}\|_{2}^{2} \leq \tilde{G}^{2}$ and upper bound on diameter $D$, into standard results for stochastic gradient descent with convex loss functions (e.g. section 3.4 in (Hazan et al., 2016))

## B.3. Proofs for section 5

## B.3.1. THEOREM 5.1

Optimality of RT-SS under adversarial correlation. Consider the family of estimators presented in Equation 2. Assume $\theta, \nabla_{\theta}$, and $G$ are univariate. For any fixed sampling distribution $q$, the single-sample RT estimator RT-SS minimizes the worst-case variance of $\hat{G}$ across an adversarial choice of covariances $\operatorname{Cov}\left(\Delta_{i}, \Delta_{j}\right) \leq \sqrt{\operatorname{Var}\left(\Delta_{i}\right)} \sqrt{\operatorname{Var}\left(\Delta_{j}\right)}$.

Proof. Recall $\hat{G}=\sum_{n=0}^{N} \Delta_{n} W(n, N)$. Let $\sigma_{i, j}^{2}=\operatorname{Cov}\left(\Delta_{i}, \Delta_{j}\right)$ and $\sigma_{i}^{2}=\operatorname{Var}\left(\Delta_{i}\right)$. The variance of $\hat{G}$ is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Var}(\hat{G}) & =\sum_{N} q(N)\left[\sum_{i=0}^{N} \sum_{j=0}^{N} W(i, N) W(j, N) \sigma_{i, j}^{2}\right] \\
& \leq \sum_{N} q(N)\left[\sum_{i=0}^{N} \sum_{j=0}^{N} W(i, N) W(j, N) \sigma_{i} \sigma_{j}\right] \\
& =\sum_{N} q(N)\left(\sum_{n=0}^{N} W(n, N) \sigma_{n}\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Note the above bound is tight as the adversary can choose $\operatorname{Cov}\left(\Delta_{i}, \Delta_{j}\right)=\sigma_{i} \sigma_{j}$. Introduce $\rho(n, N)=W(n, N) q(N)$, and note that the constraint from proposition 2.1 can equivalently be stated as $\sum_{N \geq n} \rho(n, N)=1 \forall n$. We have the variance:

$$
\operatorname{Var}(\hat{G} \mid N) \leq \sum_{N} \frac{1}{q(N)}\left(\sum_{n=0}^{N} \rho(n, N) \sigma_{n}\right)^{2}
$$

Consider finding $\rho(n, N)$ which minimizes the variance for an arbitrary $q$. The constrained optimization has the Lagrangian:

$$
J=\left(\sum_{N} \frac{1}{q(N)}\left(\sum_{n=0}^{N} \rho(n, N) \sigma_{n}\right)^{2}\right)+\sum_{n} \lambda_{n}\left(\sum_{N \geq n} \rho(n, N)-1\right)
$$

We can accordingly optimize by taking derivatives:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d J}{d \rho(n, N)} & =2 C q(N)\left(\sum_{i=0}^{N} w(i, N) \sigma_{i}\right) \sigma_{n}+\lambda_{n} \\
\frac{d J}{d \rho(n, N)}=0 & \Longrightarrow \sigma_{n} q(N) \sum_{i=0}^{N} w(i, N) \sigma_{i}=k_{n} \\
& \Longrightarrow \sigma_{n} \sum_{i=0}^{N} \rho(i, N) \sigma_{i}=k_{n} \forall N \geq n \\
& \Longrightarrow \rho(n, N)=0 \forall N>n
\end{aligned}
$$

## B.3.2. THEOREM 5.2

Optimal q under adversarial correlation. Consider the family of estimators presented in Equation 2. Assume $\operatorname{Cov}\left(\Delta_{i}, \Delta_{i}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Cov}\left(\Delta_{i}, \Delta_{j}\right)$ are diagonal. The RT-SS estimator with $q_{n} \propto \sqrt{\frac{\mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\Delta_{n}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right.}{C(n)}}$ maximizes the ROE across an adversarial choice of diagonal covariance matrices $\operatorname{Cov}\left(\Delta_{i}, \Delta_{j}\right)_{k k} \leq \sqrt{\operatorname{Cov}\left(\Delta_{i}, \Delta_{i}\right)_{k k} \operatorname{Cov}\left(\Delta_{j}, \Delta_{j}\right)_{k k}}$.

Proof. First, note that by the assumption of diagonal covariance between all terms, the expected squared norm decomposes over indices $k$ :

$$
\mathbb{E}\|\hat{G}\|_{2}^{2}=\sum_{k} \mathbb{E} \hat{G}[k]^{2}
$$

For all choices of $q$, the RT-SS estimator minimizes the worst-case variance and thus (due to unbiasedness) the expected squared value of each entry in $\hat{G}$. Because the squared norm decomposes, the RT-SS estimator minimizes the squared norm for all $q$.

It remains to optimize $q$. We know $\rho(n, N)=0 \forall N>n$. Therefore to satisfy the constraint, we have $\rho(N, N)=1$. It follows that:

$$
\mathrm{ROE}^{-} 1=\left(\sum_{N} q(N) C(N)\right)\left(\sum_{N} \frac{\mathbb{E}\left\|\Delta_{N}\right\|_{2}^{2}}{q(N)}\right)
$$

We require $\sum_{N} q(N)=1$. The constrained optimization has the Lagrangian:

$$
J=\left(\sum_{N} q(N) C(N)\right)\left(\sum_{N} \frac{\mathbb{E}\left\|\Delta_{N}\right\|_{2}^{2}}{q(N)}\right)+\lambda\left(\sum_{N} q(N)-1\right)
$$

Let $C=\left(\sum_{N} q(N) C(N)\right)$ and $V=\left(\sum_{N} \frac{\mathbb{E}\left\|\Delta_{N}\right\|_{2}^{2}}{q(N)}\right)$. We optimize $q(N)$ by taking the derivative of the inverse ROE:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d \mathrm{ROE}^{-1}}{d q(N)} & =C(N) V-C \frac{\sigma_{N}^{2}}{q(N)^{2}} \\
\frac{d \mathrm{ROE}^{-1}}{d q(N)}=0 & \Longrightarrow q(N)^{2} \propto \frac{\mathbb{E}\left\|\Delta_{N}\right\|_{2}^{2} C}{C(N) V} \\
& \Longrightarrow q(N) \propto \sqrt{\frac{\mathbb{E}\left\|\Delta_{N}\right\|_{2}^{2}}{C(N)}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## B.3.3. THEOREM 5.3

Optimality of RT-RR under independence. Consider the family of estimators presented in Eq. 2. Assume the $\Delta_{j}$ are univariate. When the $\Delta_{j}$ are uncorrelated, for any importance sampling distribution $q$, the Russian roulette estimator achieves the minimum variance in this family and thus maximizes the optimization efficiency lower bound.

Proof. By independence, we have $\mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{n} W(n, N) \Delta_{n}\right)^{2}=\sum_{n} W(n, N)^{2} \mathbb{E} \Delta_{n}^{2}$. It follows that an RT estimator has variance:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Var}(\hat{G}) & =\sum_{N} q(N) \sum_{n \leq N} W(n, N)^{2} \mathbb{E} \Delta_{n}^{2} \\
& =\sum_{N} \frac{1}{q(N)} \sum_{n \leq N} \rho(n, N)^{2} \mathbb{E} \Delta_{n}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Recall the constraint in proposition 2.1 requires $\sum_{N \geq n} \rho(n, N)=1$ for all $n$. The Lagrangian of the constrained minimization of $\operatorname{Var}(\hat{G})$ with respect to $\rho$ is:

$$
J=\operatorname{Var}(\hat{G})+\sum_{n} \lambda_{n}\left(\sum_{N \geq n} \rho_{n}-1\right)
$$

We optimize $\rho$ by finding the minimum of the Lagrangian:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d J}{d \rho(n, N)} & =\frac{2}{q(N)} \rho(n, N) \mathbb{E} \Delta_{n}^{2}+\lambda_{n} \\
\frac{d J}{d \rho(n, N)}=0 & \Longrightarrow \frac{\rho(n, N)}{q(N)}=-\frac{\lambda_{n}}{2 \mathbb{E} \Delta_{n}^{2}} \\
& \Longrightarrow W(n, N)=-\frac{\lambda_{n}}{2 \mathbb{E} \Delta_{n}^{2}}, \text { which is independent of } N \\
& \Longrightarrow W(n, N)=\frac{1}{\sum_{N^{\prime} \geq n} q\left(N^{\prime}\right)} \text { to fulfill the constraint in proposition } 2.1
\end{aligned}
$$

## B.3.4. THEOREM 5.4

Optimal qunder independence. Consider the family of estimators presented in Equation 2. Assume $\operatorname{Cov}\left(\Delta_{i}, \Delta_{i}\right)$ is diagonal and $\Delta_{i}$ and $\Delta_{j}$ are independent. The RT-RR estimator with $\left.Q(i) \propto \sqrt{\frac{\mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\Delta_{i}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right.}{C(i)-C(i-1)}}\right]$, where $Q(i)=\operatorname{Pr}(n \geq i)=$ $\sum_{j=i}^{H} q(j)$, maximizes the ROE.

Proof. First note that by theorem 5.3, for any $q$ and for each element in the vector $\hat{G}$, the RT-RR estimator minimizes the variance of that element. Now note that due to independence of $\Delta_{i}, \Delta_{j}$ and diagonality of $\operatorname{Cov}\left(\Delta_{i}, \Delta_{i}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left\|\sum_{n=1}^{N} W(n, N) \Delta_{n}\right\|_{2}^{2} & =\sum_{n=1}^{N} W(n, N) \mathbb{E}\left\|\Delta_{n}\right\|_{2}^{2} \\
& =\sum_{k} \sum_{n=1}^{N} W(n, N) \mathbb{E} \Delta_{n}[k]^{2} \quad=\sum_{k} \mathbb{E} \hat{G}[k]^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

As the RT-RR estimator minimizes $\mathbb{E} \hat{G}[k]^{2}$ for each coordinate $k$, it also minimizes $\mathbb{E}\|\hat{G}\|_{2}^{2}$. It remains to optimize $Q$. Consider the inverse ROE of the RT-RR estimator. By independence we have:

$$
\operatorname{ROE}(\hat{G})^{-1}=\mathbb{E}\|\hat{G}\|_{2}^{2} \mathbb{E} C=\left(\sum_{N} q(N) \sum_{n \leq N} \frac{1}{Q(n)^{2}} \mathbb{E}\left\|\Delta_{n}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right)\left(\sum_{N} q(N) C(N)\right)
$$

Take the gradient of the inverse optimization efficiency lower bound w.r.t. $q(n)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d \operatorname{ROE}(\hat{G})^{-1}}{d q(N)}= C(N) \mathbb{E}\|\hat{G}\|_{2}^{2}+\sum_{n \leq N} \frac{1}{Q(n)^{2}} \mathbb{E}\left\|\Delta_{n}\right\|_{2}^{2}-\sum_{i} q(i) \sum_{j \leq \min (i, N)} \frac{2}{Q(j)^{3}} \mathbb{E}\left\|\Delta_{j}\right\|_{2}^{2} \\
& \sum_{i} q(i) \sum_{j \leq \min (i, N)} \frac{2}{Q(j)^{3}} \mathbb{E}\left\|\Delta_{j}\right\|_{2}^{2}=\sum_{j \leq N} \frac{2}{Q(j)^{2}} \mathbb{E}\left\|\Delta_{j}\right\|_{2}^{2} \frac{\sum_{i} q(i) \mathbb{1}\{i \geq j\}}{Q(j)} \\
&=\sum_{j \leq N} \frac{2}{Q(j)^{2}} \mathbb{E}\left\|\Delta_{j}\right\|_{2}^{2} \quad \text { by definition of } Q(j) \\
& \Longrightarrow \frac{d \operatorname{ROE}(\hat{G})^{-1}}{d q(N)}=C(N) \mathbb{E}\|\hat{G}\|_{2}^{2}-\sum_{n \leq N} \frac{1}{Q(n)^{2}} \mathbb{E}\left\|\Delta_{n}\right\|_{2}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now optimize the objective w.r.t. $Q$ by finding the critical point:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d \operatorname{ROE}(\hat{G})^{-1}}{d q(N)}=0 \Longrightarrow C(N) \mathbb{E}\|\hat{G}\|_{2}^{2} & =\sum_{n \leq N} \frac{1}{Q(n)^{2}} \mathbb{E}\left\|\Delta_{n}\right\|_{2}^{2} \\
\Longrightarrow \mathbb{E}\|\hat{G}\|_{2}^{2}(C(N)- & C(N-1))
\end{aligned}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathbb{E}\left\|\Delta_{N}\right\|_{2}^{2}}{Q(N)^{2}}, ~(N)^{2} \propto \frac{\mathbb{E}\left\|\Delta_{n}\right\|_{2}^{2}}{C(N)-C(N-1)}
$$

