A. Proof of Proposition 1

From the definition of $C_{\preceq}(y), x \in C_{\preceq}(y)$ iff $x \preceq y$. Then, we will show that $x \in C_{\preceq}(y) \Leftrightarrow C_{\preceq}(x) \subseteq C_{\preceq}(y)$.

 (\Leftarrow) This is obvious because $x \in C_{\preceq}(x)$ holds.

 (\Rightarrow) For arbitrary $z \in C_{\preceq}(x)$, $z \preceq x$ follows the definition of $C_{\preceq}(x)$. Likewise, $x \preceq y$ follows $x \in C_{\preceq}(y)$. Then, $z \preceq y$ holds because of the transitivity, which implies that $C_{\preceq}(x) \subseteq C_{\preceq}(y)$. \Box

B. Proof of Proposition 2

B.1. Non-negativity

We will demonstrate this proposition by contradiction. Assume $d_W(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}) < 0$; then, $s_j := \boldsymbol{w}_j^\top(\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{y}) < 0$ holds for all $j = 1, \dots, m$. From the assumption $\operatorname{coni}(W) = \mathbb{R}^n$, there exists $a_1, \dots, a_m \ge 0$ such that $\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{y} = \sum_{j=1}^m a_j \boldsymbol{w}$. Therefore,

$$\|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{y}\|^2 = (\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{y})^\top (\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{y})$$
$$= \sum_{j=1}^m a_j \boldsymbol{w}_j^\top (\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{y}) = \sum_{j=1}^m a_j s_j.$$
(B.1)

Considering $a_j \ge 0$ and $s_j < 0$, $\|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{y}\|^2 < 0$ leads to a contradiction.

B.2. Identity of indiscernibles

If $d_W(x, y) = 0$, $s_j \leq 0$ holds for all $j = 1, \dots, m$. Considering $a_j \geq 0$ and $s_j \leq 0$ in (B.1), we obtain $||\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{y}||^2 \leq 0$; then, $\boldsymbol{x} = \boldsymbol{y}$.

B.3. Subadditivity

$$egin{aligned} d_W(oldsymbol{x},oldsymbol{y}) &= \max_j \{oldsymbol{w}_j^ op (oldsymbol{x}-oldsymbol{y})\} \ &= \max_j \{oldsymbol{w}_j^ op (oldsymbol{x}-oldsymbol{z}) + oldsymbol{w}_j^ op (oldsymbol{z}-oldsymbol{y})\} \ &\leq \max_j \{oldsymbol{w}_j^ op (oldsymbol{x}-oldsymbol{z}) + \max_j \{oldsymbol{w}_j^ op (oldsymbol{z}-oldsymbol{y})\} \ &= d_W(oldsymbol{x},oldsymbol{z}) + d_W(oldsymbol{z},oldsymbol{y}). \ \Box \end{aligned}$$

C. Proof of Theorem 1

Condition (16) is equivalent to $\max_k \{x_k - y_k\} \leq 0$. Thus, we will show that $\max_k \{x_k - y_k\} = d(\mathbf{x}', \mathbf{y}') - r_x + r_y$ if $\phi_{\text{ord}}(\mathbf{x}) = (\mathbf{x}', r_x), \ \phi_{\text{ord}}(\mathbf{y}) = (\mathbf{y}', r_y)$. Let $P_{\perp} = I - P = \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{11}^{\top}$; then,

$$\max_{k} \{ x_k - y_k \} = \max_{k} \{ \boldsymbol{e}_k^{\top} (\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{y}) \}$$
$$= \max_{k} \{ \boldsymbol{e}_k^{\top} (P + P_{\perp}) (\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{y}) \}.$$

Here, considering $P_{\perp} \boldsymbol{e}_k = \frac{1}{n} \boldsymbol{1}, \ P \boldsymbol{e}_k = \boldsymbol{w}_k, \ P^2 = P$, we find

$$\max_{k} \{x_{k} - y_{k}\} = \max_{k} \{w_{k}P(x - y)\} + \frac{\mathbf{1}^{\top}x}{n} - \frac{\mathbf{1}^{\top}y}{n}$$
$$= \max_{k} \{w_{k}(x' - y')\} + (a - r_{x}) - (a - r_{y})$$
$$= d_{W}(x', y') - r_{x} + r_{y}. \ \Box$$
(C.2)

D. Proof of Theorem 2

By using a uniform norm in (18) instead of a Euclidean norm,

$$\begin{aligned} |h_{+}(\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{y})||_{\infty} &= \max_{k} \left\{ |h_{+}(x_{k} - y_{k})| \right\} \\ &= h_{+} \left(\max_{k} \left\{ x_{k} - y_{k} \right\} \right) \\ &= h_{+} \left(d_{W} \left(\boldsymbol{x}', \boldsymbol{y}' \right) - r_{x} + r_{y} \right) \\ &= h_{+} \left(l_{xy} \right), \end{aligned}$$
(D.3)

where $l_{xy} = l(\mathbf{x}', r_x; \mathbf{y}', r_y)$ and h_+ is applied element-wise. We used (C.2) for the third equation of (D.3).

From the inequality between the uniform norm and the Euclidean norm $\|x\| \ge \|x\|_{\infty}$, we find

$$E^{
m ord}({\bm x},{\bm y}) = \|h_+({\bm x}-{\bm y})\|^2 \ge \|h_+({\bm x}-{\bm y})\|_\infty^2 = h_+(l_{xy})^2 \,.$$

The equality holds iff

$$\|h_+(x-y)\| = \|h_+(x-y)\|_{\infty},$$

i.e.,

$$|\{k|h_+(x_k-y_k)\neq 0\}| \le 1.$$

E. Proof of Theorem 3

We first prove Theorem 4 and then use our results to prove Theorem 3. Thus, see Sec. F first. By eliminating d_x from (F.5) and (F.11), we obtain

$$\sin(r_x + \theta_0) = \frac{1 + \|\boldsymbol{x}\|^2}{2\|\boldsymbol{x}\|} \sin \theta_0,$$

which is followed by (21).

The equivalence of ordering (3) and (20) is directly derived from Theorem 4 since

$$E_{ij}^{\text{hyp}} \le 0 \Leftrightarrow l_{ij} \le 0. \quad \Box \tag{E.4}$$

F. Proof of Theorem 4



Figure 5. Hyperbolic Entailment cones.

To obtain (23), we present $\psi - \Xi$ in Figure 5 as a function of r_X , r_Y , and D. Let d_x , d_y , and d_{xy} be

$$d_x = d_{\mathbb{D}}(O, X)$$
$$d_y = d_{\mathbb{D}}(O, Y)$$
$$d_{xy} = d_{\mathbb{D}}(X, Y)$$

and x and y be

$$x = \|\boldsymbol{x}\| = \tanh\frac{d_x}{2}.\tag{F.5}$$

$$y = \|\boldsymbol{y}\| = \tanh\frac{d_y}{2}.\tag{F.6}$$

Assume that XP = s in the Euclidean triangle $\triangle OPX$; then, OP = 1 - s. Thus,

$$(1-s)\sin r_X = s\sin\psi. \tag{F.7}$$

By applying the law of cosines to $\angle POX$, it is shown that

$$s^{2} = x^{2} + (1 - s)^{2} - 2x(1 - s)\cos r_{X}.$$
(F.8)

By removing s from (F.7) and (F.8) and substituting (F.5), we have

$$\sin \psi = \frac{\sin r_X}{\cosh d_x - \sinh d_x \cos r_X}.$$
(F.9)

In addition, from the assumption of Hyperbolic Cones (Ganea et al., 2018),

$$\sin \psi = K \frac{1 - x^2}{x} = \frac{2K}{\sinh d_x}.$$
 (F.10)

Comparing the right-hand side of equations (F.9) and (F.10), we have

$$\coth d_x = \cos r_X + \frac{1}{2K} \sin r_X = \frac{\sin(r_X + \theta_0)}{\sin \theta_0} \tag{F.11}$$

where $\theta_0 = \arctan 2K$.

In the same manner, we have

$$\coth d_y = \frac{\sin(r_Y + \theta_0)}{\sin \theta_0}.$$
(F.12)

By substituting (F.11) into (F.10),

$$\sin \psi = 2K \sqrt{\coth^2 d_x - 1}$$

$$= \tan \theta_0 \sqrt{\frac{\sin^2(r_X - \theta_0)}{\sin^2 \theta_0} - 1}$$

$$= \frac{\sqrt{\sin(r_X)\sin(r_X + 2\phi_0)}}{\cos \theta_0}.$$
(F.13)

Applying the law of sines and the law of cosines to the hyperbolic triangle $\triangle OXY$, we have

$$\sinh d_y \sin D = \sinh d_{xy} \sin \Xi,\tag{F.14}$$

$$\cos D = \frac{\cosh d_x \cosh d_y - \cosh d_{xy}}{\sinh d_x \sinh d_y}.$$
(F.15)

By eliminating d_{xy} from (F.14) and (F.15), and substituting (F.11) and (F.12), it is finally shown that

$$\sin(\Xi - \psi) = 2\sin\left(\frac{r_X - r_Y - D}{2}\right) \frac{\cos\left(\frac{r_X + r_Y - D}{2} + \theta_0\right)}{\cos\theta_0 \sin\theta_0} \sqrt{\frac{\sin r_X \sin(r_X + 2\theta_0)}{s_X^2 + s_Y^2 - 2s_X s_Y \cos D - \sin^2 D}},$$
(F.16)

where

$$s_X = \frac{\sin(r_X + \theta_0)}{\sin \theta_0}, s_Y = \frac{\sin(r_Y + \theta_0)}{\sin \theta_0}.$$

G. Euclidean Entailment Cones

Similar to Hyperbolic Cones (Ganea et al., 2018), Euclidean Cones are also considered as Disk Embeddings. Here, we will show that $\psi - \Xi$ in Euclidean entailment cones is also represented by Rx, Ry and D.

Let d_x , d_y , and d_{xy} be

$$\begin{split} d_x &= d(O,X) = x, \\ d_y &= d(O,Y) = y, \\ d_{xy} &= d(x,y). \end{split}$$

(G.17), (G.18), and (G.19) are determined by applying the law of sines to $\triangle OAB$ and $\triangle OXY$:

$$\frac{\sin(\psi - R_x)}{x} = \sin\psi,\tag{G.17}$$

$$\frac{\sin(\phi - R_y)}{y} = \sin\phi,\tag{G.18}$$

$$\frac{\sin\Xi}{y} = \frac{\sin D}{d_{xy}}.\tag{G.19}$$

Moreover, for Euclid entailment cones,

$$\sin\psi = \frac{K}{x},\tag{G.20}$$

$$\sin\phi = \frac{K}{y}.\tag{G.21}$$

(G.22)

By applying the law of cosines to $\triangle OXY$, we obtain d_{xy} :

$$d_{xy}^{2} = x^{2} + y^{2} - 2xy \cos D. \tag{G.23}$$

We represent $\psi - \Xi$ as r_X , r_Y , d_{xy} , and K. By eliminating x, y, d_{xy} , and ϕ from (G.17) to (G.23), it is finally shown that

$$\sin(\psi - \Xi) = \frac{2\sigma_X \cos\left(\frac{r_X - r_Y - D}{2}\right) \sin\left(\frac{r_X + r_Y - D}{2} + \xi_0\right)}{\sqrt{\sigma_X^2 + \sigma_Y^2 - 2\sigma_X \sigma_Y \cos D}},\tag{G.24}$$

where $\sigma_X = \sin(r_X + \xi_0), \sigma_Y = \sin(r_Y + \xi_0)$ and $\xi_0 = \arcsin K$.

H. Loss functions for Hyperbolic Entailment Cones in Disk Embedding format

In Figure 6, we illustrate values of energy function (23) for l_{ij} with fixed r_i, r_j .



