## **Supplementary Material:** Large-Scale Sparse Kernel Canonical Correlation Analysis

Viivi Uurtio<sup>12</sup> Sahely Bhadra<sup>3</sup> Juho Rousu<sup>12</sup>

 $\partial \mathbf{u}$ 

## 1. The Gradients with Respect to u

The objective function, when applying the polynomial kernel, is

$$\rho_{\text{poly}} = \frac{\left( (\mathbf{X} \cdot \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{r}_x)^{d_x} \right)^\top \cdot \mathbf{k}}{||(\mathbf{X} \cdot \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{r}_x)^{d_x})|| \cdot ||\mathbf{k}||}$$
(1)

where  $\mathbf{k}$  denotes the polynomial kernel on  $\mathbf{Y}\mathbf{v}$ . The gradient of the objective function, with respect to u:

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial \rho_{\text{poly}}}{\partial \mathbf{u}} &= d_x \cdot (((\mathbf{r}_x + \mathbf{X} \cdot \mathbf{u})^{d_x})^\top \cdot (\mathbf{r}_x + \mathbf{X} \cdot \mathbf{u})^{d_x})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\cdot (\mathbf{k}^\top \cdot \mathbf{k})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot (\mathbf{k} \odot (\mathbf{r}_x + \mathbf{X} \cdot \mathbf{u})^{(d_x - 1)})^\top \\ &\cdot \mathbf{X} - ((d_x \cdot (((\mathbf{r}_x + \mathbf{X} \cdot \mathbf{u})^{d_x})^\top \\ &\cdot (\mathbf{r}_x + \mathbf{X} \cdot \mathbf{u})^{d_x})^{-\frac{3}{2}} \cdot (\mathbf{k}^\top \cdot \mathbf{k})^{-\frac{1}{2}})/2 \\ &\cdot \mathbf{k}^\top \cdot (\mathbf{r}_x + \mathbf{X} \cdot \mathbf{u})^{d_x} \cdot ((\mathbf{r}_x + \mathbf{X} \cdot \mathbf{u})^{d_x} \\ &\odot (\mathbf{r}_x + \mathbf{X} \cdot \mathbf{u})^{(d_x - 1)})^\top \cdot \mathbf{X} \\ &+ (d_x \cdot (((\mathbf{r}_x + \mathbf{X} \cdot \mathbf{u})^{d_x})^\top \\ &\cdot (\mathbf{r}_x + \mathbf{X} \cdot \mathbf{u})^{d_x})^{-\frac{3}{2}} \cdot (\mathbf{k}^\top \cdot \mathbf{k})^{-\frac{1}{2}})/2 \\ &\cdot ((\mathbf{r}_x + \mathbf{X} \cdot \mathbf{u})^{d_x})^\top \cdot \mathbf{k} \cdot ((\mathbf{r}_x + \mathbf{X} \cdot \mathbf{u})^{d_x} \\ &\odot (\mathbf{r}_x + \mathbf{X} \cdot \mathbf{u})^{(d_x - 1)})^\top \cdot \mathbf{X}). \end{split}$$

The gradient with respect to  $\mathbf{v}$  is obtained similarly. The dominating computational cost arises from the matrix-vector product  $\mathbf{X} \cdot \mathbf{u}$  which lead to O((p+q)n) time-complexity per update where  $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$ .

The objective function, when applying the Gaussian (RBF) kernel, is

$$\rho_{\text{RBF}} = \frac{\exp(-\frac{\mathbf{x}}{2\cdot\sigma^2} + \frac{\mathbf{X}\cdot\mathbf{u}}{2\cdot\sigma^2} - \frac{\|\mathbf{u}\|_2^2}{(2\cdot\sigma^2}\cdot\mathbf{1})^\top \cdot \mathbf{k}}{\|\exp(-\frac{\mathbf{x}}{2\cdot\sigma^2} + \frac{\mathbf{X}\cdot\mathbf{u}}{2\cdot\sigma^2} - \frac{\|\mathbf{u}\|_2^2}{(2\cdot\sigma^2}\cdot\mathbf{1}\|\cdot\|\mathbf{k}\|)}$$
(2)

where  $\mathbf{x}$  is the vector of norms of examples of  $\mathbf{X}$  and  $\mathbf{k}$ denotes the Gaussian kernel on Yv. The gradient of the

Proceedings of the 36<sup>th</sup> International Conference on Machine Learning, Long Beach, California, PMLR 97, 2019. Copyright 2019 by the author(s).

objective function, with respect to u:

<sup>\*</sup>Equal contribution <sup>1</sup>Department of Computer Science, Aalto University, Espoo, Finland <sup>2</sup>Helsinki Institute for Information Technology, Helsinki, Finland <sup>3</sup>Computer Science and Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology Palakkad, Palakkad, India. Correspondence to: Viivi Uurtio <viivi.uurtio@aalto.fi>.

The gradient with respect to  $\mathbf{v}$  is obtained similarly. The dominating computational cost arises from the matrix-vector product  $\mathbf{X} \cdot \mathbf{u}$  which lead to O((p+q)n) time-complexity per update where  $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$ .

## 2. Proof of Theorems 4.3

In general cases, pre-image x for is chosen such that the squared distance of  $\mathbf{w}_x$  and  $\phi_x(\mathbf{u})$  is minimized.

$$\tilde{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{w}_x) = \arg \min_{\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^p} \|\mathbf{w}_x - \phi_x(\mathbf{u})\|_2$$

$$\tilde{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{w}_y) = \arg \min_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^q} \|\mathbf{w}_y - \phi_y(\mathbf{v})\|_2$$
(3)

And hence the bounds on pre-image errors are then defined as

$$B_{\mathbf{X}} = \max_{\mathbf{w}_{x} \in \mathcal{L}(\phi_{x}, \mathbf{X})} \|\mathbf{w}_{x} - \phi_{x}(\tilde{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{w}_{x}))\|_{2}$$
$$B_{\mathbf{Y}} = \max_{\mathbf{w}_{y} \in \mathcal{L}(\phi_{y}, \mathbf{Y})} \|\mathbf{w}_{y} - \phi_{x}(\tilde{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{w}_{y}))\|_{2}.$$
(4)

**Theorem 2.1.** Let us assume that norm in  $\mathcal{H}_x$  and  $\mathcal{H}_y$  are upper bounded by  $M_x$  and  $M_y$ , i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \forall \, \phi_x(\mathbf{u}) \in \mathcal{H}_{\S}, \, \|\phi_x(\mathbf{u})\| &\leq M_x \\ and \qquad \forall \, \phi_y(\mathbf{v}) \in \mathcal{H}_{\dagger}, \, \|\phi_y(\mathbf{v})\| &\leq M_y. \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Then,

$$ho_{gradKCCA} \ge 
ho_{preimage} \ge 
ho_{kcca} - (rac{B_y}{M_y} + rac{B_x}{M_x})$$

Proof. Form bound of norm in Hilbert spaces:

$$\begin{aligned} &\forall (\mathbf{u} \text{ and } \mathbf{x}_i), \\ &-M_x^2 \leq \langle \phi_x(\mathbf{u}), \phi_x(\mathbf{x}_i) \rangle = k^x(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{u}) \leq M_x^2 \\ &\text{and } \forall (\mathbf{v} \text{ and } \mathbf{y}_i), \\ &-M_y^2 \leq \langle \phi_x(\mathbf{v}), \phi_y(\mathbf{y}_i) \rangle k^y(\mathbf{y}_i, \mathbf{v}) \leq M_y^2. \end{aligned}$$
(6)

As  $\rho_{kcca} \ge 0$ , the correlation achieved by pre-images is also positive. For positive  $\rho_{\text{preimage}}$ :

$$\rho_{\text{preimage}} = \frac{\mathbf{k}^{x}(\tilde{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{w}_{x}))^{T}\mathbf{k}^{y}(\tilde{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{w}_{y}))}{||\mathbf{k}^{x}(\tilde{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{w}_{x}))||||\mathbf{k}^{y}(\tilde{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{w}_{y})))||} \\
= \frac{\mathbf{k}^{x}(\tilde{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{w}_{x}))^{T}\mathbf{k}^{y}(\tilde{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{w}_{y}))}{\sqrt{\sum_{i}k^{x}(\mathbf{x}_{i},\tilde{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{w}_{x}))^{2}}\sqrt{\sum_{i}k^{y}(\mathbf{y}_{i},\tilde{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{w}_{y}))^{2}}} \\
\geq \frac{\mathbf{k}^{x}(\tilde{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{w}_{x}))^{T}\mathbf{k}^{y}(\tilde{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{w}_{y}))}{nM_{x}^{2}M_{y}^{2}} \tag{7}$$

Note that, there always exist a pair of solution  $\alpha^*$  and  $\beta^*$ which gives optimal solution for KCCA and also satisfies  $\|\mathbf{K}^x {m lpha}^*\| = \sqrt{n} M_x^2$  and  $\|\mathbf{K}^y {m eta}^*\| = \sqrt{n} M_y^2$  (simple scaling of optimal solution). Given such solution  $\alpha^*$  and  $\beta^*$ for KCCA, the corresponding pre-image solution  $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{w}_{x}^{*})$ and  $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{w}_y^*)$  is obtained by plugging the KCCA optimum  $\mathbf{w}_x^* = \sum_i \alpha_i^* \phi_x(\mathbf{x}_i)$  and  $\mathbf{w}_y^* = \sum_i \beta_i^* \phi_y(\mathbf{y}_i)$ into (3) (i.e. equation (8) and (9) in main manuscript ).

The difference between the correlation found by KCCA and by its pre-image  $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{w}_x^*)$  and  $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{w}_y^*)$  is

$$\begin{split} \rho_{\text{kcca}} & -\rho_{\text{preimage}} \\ = & \frac{\boldsymbol{\alpha}^{*T} \mathbf{K}^{x} \mathbf{K}^{y} \boldsymbol{\beta}^{*}}{\|\mathbf{K}^{x} \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{*}\| \|\mathbf{K}^{y} \boldsymbol{\beta}^{*}\|} - \frac{\mathbf{k}^{x} (\tilde{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{w}_{x}^{*}))^{T} \mathbf{k}^{y} (\tilde{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{w}_{y}^{*}))}{\|\mathbf{k}^{x} (\tilde{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{w}_{x}^{*}))\| \|\mathbf{k}^{y} (\tilde{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{w}_{y}^{*}))\|} \\ \leq & \frac{\boldsymbol{\alpha}^{*T} \mathbf{K}^{x} \mathbf{K}^{y} \boldsymbol{\beta}^{*}}{n M_{x}^{2} M_{y}^{2}} - \frac{\mathbf{k}^{x} (\tilde{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{w}_{x}^{*}))^{T} \mathbf{k}^{y} (\tilde{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{w}_{y}^{*}))}{n M_{x}^{2} M_{y}^{2}} \\ & \text{[using (7) and the fact } \|\mathbf{K}^{x} \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{*}\| = \sqrt{n} M_{x}^{2} \\ & \text{and } \|\mathbf{K}^{y} \boldsymbol{\beta}^{*}\| = \sqrt{n} M_{y}^{2} ] \\ = & \frac{\boldsymbol{\alpha}^{*T} \mathbf{K}^{x} \mathbf{K}^{y} \boldsymbol{\beta}^{*} - \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{*T} \mathbf{K}^{x} \mathbf{k}^{y} (\tilde{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{w}_{y}^{*}))}{n M_{x}^{2} M_{y}^{2}} \\ & + \frac{\boldsymbol{\alpha}^{*T} \mathbf{K}^{x} \mathbf{k}^{y} (\tilde{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{w}_{y}^{*})) - \mathbf{k}^{x} (\tilde{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{w}_{x}^{*}))^{T} \mathbf{k}^{y} (\tilde{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{w}_{y}^{*}))}{n M_{x}^{2} M_{y}^{2}} \\ & \text{[by adding and subtracting same term]} \end{split}$$

$$= \left(\frac{\mathbf{K}^{x}\boldsymbol{\alpha}^{*}}{nM_{x}^{2}M_{y}^{2}}\right)^{T} \left(\mathbf{K}^{y}\boldsymbol{\beta}^{*} - \mathbf{k}^{y}(\tilde{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{w}_{y}^{*}))\right) + \left(\mathbf{K}^{x}\boldsymbol{\alpha}^{*} - \mathbf{k}^{x}(\tilde{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{w}_{x}^{*}))\right)^{T} \frac{\mathbf{k}^{y}((\tilde{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{w}_{y}^{*})))}{nM_{x}^{2}M_{y}^{2}}$$
(8)

Where,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{K}^{x} \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{*} \end{pmatrix}^{T} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{K}^{y} \boldsymbol{\beta}^{*} - \mathbf{k}^{y} (\tilde{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{w}_{y}^{*})) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\leq \| \mathbf{K}^{x} \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{*} \| \| \mathbf{K}^{y} \boldsymbol{\beta}^{*} - \mathbf{k}^{y} (\tilde{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{w}_{y}^{*})) \|$$

$$= \sqrt{n} M_{x}^{2} \sqrt{\sum_{i} \langle \phi_{y}(\mathbf{y}_{i}), (\mathbf{w}_{y} - \phi_{y}(\tilde{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{w}_{y}^{*})) \rangle^{2}}$$

$$\leq \sqrt{n} M_{x}^{2} \sqrt{\sum_{i} \| \phi_{y}(\mathbf{y}_{i}) \|^{2} \| (\mathbf{w}_{y} - \phi_{y}(\tilde{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{w}_{y}^{*})) \|^{2}}$$

$$\leq \sqrt{n} M_{x}^{2} \sqrt{\sum_{i} M_{y}^{2} B_{y}^{2}}$$

$$= \sqrt{n} M_{x}^{2} (\sqrt{n} M_{y} B_{y})$$

$$= n M_{x}^{2} M_{y} B_{y}$$

$$(9)$$

Again similarly,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{K}^{x} \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{*} - \mathbf{k}^{x} (\tilde{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{w}_{x}^{*})) \end{pmatrix}^{T} \mathbf{k}^{y} ((\tilde{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{w}_{y}^{*})) \\ \leq n M_{x} M_{y}^{2} B_{x}$$

$$(10)$$

Hence from (8) we get,

$$\rho_{\text{kcca}} - \rho_{\text{preimage}}$$

$$\leq \frac{nM_x^2M_yB_y}{nM_x^2M_y^2} + \frac{nM_xM_y^2B_x}{nM_x^2M_y^2}$$

$$= \frac{B_y}{M_y} + \frac{B_x}{M_x}$$
(11)

Hence using Lemma 4.2

$$ho_{
m gradKCCA} \ge 
ho_{
m preimage} \ge 
ho_{
m kcca} - (rac{B_y}{M_y} + rac{B_x}{M_x})$$