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Abstract: Uncertain, time-varying dynamic environments are ubiquitous in real world robotics. We propose an online planning framework to address time-bounded missions under time-varying dynamics, where those dynamics affect the duration and outcome of actions. We pose such problems as semi-Markov decision processes, where actions have a duration distributed according to an \textit{a priori} unknown time-varying function. Our approach maintains a belief over this function, and time is propagated through a discrete search tree that efficiently maintains a subset of reachable states. We show improved mission performance on a marine vehicle simulator acting under real-world spatio-temporal ocean currents, and demonstrate the ability to solve co-safe linear temporal logic problems, which are more complex than the reachability problems tackled in previous approaches.
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1 Introduction

Uncertain, time-varying dynamics pose a challenging planning problem in robotics. Such dynamics are present in applications including marine surface vehicles planning to navigate complex ocean currents [1], and mobile robots planning service tasks through the ebb and flow of human activity [2]. In order to efficiently satisfy time-bounded goals (i.e. goals with deadlines), robots operating in such environments should exploit predictions of the environmental dynamics and make observations to reduce their uncertainty. To address this problem we introduce an online planning framework for time-bounded reachability problems in environments with non-stationary, unknown or uncertain dynamics, where those dynamics affect the duration and outcome of actions.

We formulate the above problem as a semi-Markov decision process (SMDP) [3], an extension of MDPs [4] that considers action durations. We posit that each action duration can be \textit{a priori} unknown, continuous, and drawn from a non-stationary distribution. Thus, we introduce a time-varying SMDP (TV-SMDP) where a time-dependent transition model is built using Gaussian process (GP) regression [5] over latent environment variables, with observations gathered online. We tackle time-bounded reachability problems for co-safe linear temporal logic (csLTL) [6], with the objective of maximising the probability of satisfaction within a deadline. csLTL missions are a challenge in time-varying environments since they can require revisiting states, so a solution must consider that states may have different dynamics at different times.

We generate policies using Monte Carlo tree search (MCTS) [7], sampling action durations, and propagating time through the search tree. We incorporate the GP predictions of the environment into a time-dependent transition model to estimate the arrival time at future states. This eliminates problems with existing methods for time-varying MDPs that only estimate mean first passage times [1, 8]. Our search tree represents a reachable abstraction of the full state space, whilst appropriately propagating uncertainty. This allows our method to scale better than exact approaches [9, 10].

As a motivating example, consider the hydrothermal vent monitoring task shown in Figure 1 [11]. An autonomous underwater vehicle is required to observe three geological features within a time bound $T$. Ocean current vectors are represented by blue arrows. At time $\frac{T}{4}$, the south easterly currents switch 180° to north westerly. In order to maximise the probability of completing the mission within
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the time-bound, the goal states must be visited in an order that exploits the environment's dynamics. Red arrows demonstrate the optimal path.

Our contributions are to: i) formulate time-dependent decision making problems into an SMDP framework where action duration is \textit{a priori} unknown and affected by environment dynamics; ii) incorporate a time-dependent transition model that appropriately manages environment uncertainty on transitions; iii) satisfy time-bounded csLTL missions in time-varying environments. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first paper to consider temporal logic missions in time-varying domains.

2 Related Work

There are many applications for robots which are aware of partially known or time-varying environment dynamics, e.g. informative environment sampling [12, 13, 14, 15] and safe exploration [16, 17, 18, 19]. Time-varying MDPs have been previously solved by propagating the estimated time of first arrival to future states [1, 8, 10]. However, considering only the first arrival time at future states is not suitable for missions that require re-visiting states, e.g. missions specified in csLTL.

Semi-MDPs [20] (SMDPs) have previously been used to model scenarios where states have stochastic waiting times. Several objectives for SMDPs have been considered, e.g. time-bounded reachability or long-run average rewards [21]. Time-bounded csLTL has been addressed using timed MDPs [22], a model akin to SMDPs but where time is discretised in advance. None of these works considered time-varying or partially known dynamics. We demonstrate that SMDPs, augmented with a belief over a time-varying transition model, can be used for time-bounded csLTL mission planning in environments where unknown dynamics affect state transitions. Each action duration is propagated through a search tree using MCTS [7] to efficiently search the reachable state space.

Previous work has addressed planning in sequential Bayesian optimisation [15, 23] and maximum seek and sample [12] frameworks. In these works planning under an unknown reward function is framed as a partially observable MDP (POMDP). The unknown function in these works can be extended to be time-varying, but it only influences the reward function, not the transition dynamics. Other works such as Bayesian model-based reinforcement learning [24, 25, 26, 27], offer a framework where a belief and uncertainty is maintained over the MDP model itself. They show that an MDP with unknown transition dynamics can be formulated as a POMDP where the model is learned during execution. This is a very similar setting to our framework, where our observation function is represented by a Gaussian process. However, these works do not explicitly model latent state variables, nor consider continuous, stochastic and time-varying action durations.

Model-free reinforcement learning works have also considered similar problems to ours, with [28] considering optimal time-bounded reachability problems, and [29] demonstrating that latent variable models can be used to represent non-stationary environment dynamics. However, the former does not reason about latent variables and time-varying dynamics, and the latter does not consider time-bounded goals or the probability of mission satisfaction.

3 Preliminaries

Gaussian Process Regression A GP [5] is defined as a collection of random variables, any finite number of which have a joint Gaussian distribution. GPs place a Gaussian prior over the space of functions, and are popular priors for Bayesian nonparametrics. A GP is fully specified by its
mean \( m(s) \) and covariance functions \( k(s,s') \), i.e. \( f(s) \sim \mathcal{GP}(m(s), k(s,s')) \). We let \( m(s) = 0 \) without loss of generality. Given a dataset of \( N_d \) observations, \( \mathcal{D} = \{s_i,z_i\}_{i=0}^{N_d} \) and a prior assumption of joint-Gaussianity, the joint distribution of any observed values and the function value at a new state \( s' \) under the prior can be explicitly computed. The Gaussian posterior distribution can then be obtained by exact inference:

\[
P(f(s') \mid s', \mathcal{D} = \{s_i,z_i\}_{i=0}^{N_d}) = \mathcal{N}(f(s') \mid \mu(s'), \Sigma(s')) ,
\]

where:

\[
\mu(s') = k_s(K + \sigma^2 I)^{-1}z, \\
\Sigma(s') = k(s', s') - k_s^T(K + \sigma^2 I)^{-1}k_s ,
\]

and \( z = [z_0, z_1, \ldots, z_{N_d-1}]^T \). We use the following compact notation: \( K \) denotes the \( N_d \times N_d \) matrix of covariances evaluated between all pairs of training points. Similarly, \( k_s \) denotes the \( N_d \times 1 \) vector of covariances between the test point \( s' \) and each of the training data points. Finally, \( k(s', s') \) is the covariance of the test location with itself. The choice of mean and covariance functions encode prior assumptions over the function, such as smoothness or periodicity, and are parameterised by hyperparameters \( \theta \). Specifically, for perpendicular directions of ocean current vectors, we use a multi-output spatio-temporal kernel function. We describe the specific covariance functions and hyperparameter priors used in the experiments section, and optimise \( \theta \) for the marginal log-likelihood.

**Time-Bounded Reachability over Semi-MDPs**

An SMDP is an extension to an MDP where actions have strictly positive duration distributions. An SMDP is defined as \( \mathcal{M} = (S, \text{\textit{s}}, A, \mathcal{T}, \Delta, AP, L) \), where: \( S \) is a finite set of discrete states; \( \text{\textit{s}} \in S \) is the initial state; \( A \) is a finite set of actions; \( \mathcal{T} : S \times A \rightarrow \text{Dist}(S) \) is a probabilistic transition function, i.e. \( \mathcal{T}(s,a)(s') \) returns the probability of arriving at state \( s' \) after taking action \( a \) in state \( s \); \( \Delta : S \times A \times S \rightarrow \text{Dist}(\mathbb{R}^+) \) is the action duration distribution, i.e. \( \Delta(s,a,s') \) represents a strictly positive and continuous time distribution of action \( a \) starting in state \( s \) and finishing in \( s' \); \( AP \) is a set of state atomic propositions; and \( L : S \rightarrow 2^{AP} \) is a labelling function, such that \( p \in L(s) \) iff \( p \) is true in state \( s \). An SMDP represents the possible evolutions of a system where, in each state \( s \), any action \( a \) from the enabled actions \( A(s) = \{a \in A \mid \mathcal{T}(s,a)(s') > 0 \text{ for some } s' \in S\} \) can be selected. We define a path \( \rho \) over an SMDP as a sequence, i.e. \( \rho = (s_0,a_1,\delta_1)(s_1,a_2,\delta_2) \ldots \) where \( s_0 = \text{\textit{s}}, a_{n+1} \in A(s_n) \) and \( \delta_{n+1} \in \mathbb{R}^+ \) is the duration of \( a_{n+1} \). We denote the set of all paths of \( \mathcal{M} \) starting from \( \pi \) as \( \text{Path}_\mathcal{M} \).

Action selection can be described by a time-dependent policy \( \pi : S \times \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow A \), which maps a state and time to an action to be executed. We denote the set of all such policies as \( \Pi_\mathcal{M} \). Given a fixed policy \( \pi \) all nondeterminism over action selection is resolved and a probability measure \( \text{Pr}_\mathcal{M}^\pi \) over the space of all paths through \( \mathcal{M} \) can be specified [30]. In this paper, we aim to synthesise policies that maximise the probability of reaching a set of goal states \( G \subset S \) under a user-defined time bound \( 0 < T < \infty \). Formally, we define reach \( \mathcal{M}^T \) : \( \text{Path}_\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \{0, 1\} \) such that \( \text{reach} \mathcal{M}^T((s_0,a_1,\delta_1)(s_1,a_2,\delta_2) \ldots) = 1 \) if and only if there exists \( n \) such that \( s_n \in G \) and \( \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_i \leq T \). Our objective is then to find \( \text{Pr}_\mathcal{M}^\pi(\text{reach} \mathcal{M}^T) = \sup_{\pi \in \Pi_\mathcal{M}} \text{Pr}_\mathcal{M}^\pi(\text{reach} \mathcal{M}^T) \) and a corresponding policy \( \pi^* = \arg \max_{\pi \in \Pi_\mathcal{M}} \text{Pr}_\mathcal{M}^\pi(\text{reach} \mathcal{M}^T) \).

Finding \( \text{Pr}_\mathcal{M}^\pi(\text{reach} \mathcal{M}^T) \) is equivalent to integrating over the time-bound of the joint pdf of every possible action distribution choice, over all possible paths, to the goal states. Maximising this product exactly is intractable, but we will use sampling-based methods to approach this. We specifically propose a tree search algorithm that only expands reachable states and, in order to effectively reason about the time remaining to reach the goal, maintains a representation of elapsed time in the search nodes.

**Co-Safe Linear Temporal Logic**

Co-safe linear temporal logic (csLTL) [6] provides a convenient way to specify missions for robots [31]. A csLTL statement \( \phi \) over atomic propositions \( AP \) is specified using the following grammar: \( \phi := \text{true} \mid p \mid \neg p \mid p \land \phi \mid X \phi \mid \phi U \phi \), where \( p \in AP \). Operator \( X \) is read “next”; \( U \) is read “until”.

We define the maximum probability of satisfying \( \phi \) in time less than or equal to \( T \) as \( \text{Pr}_\mathcal{M}^\pi(\phi \leq T) \). It is known [6] that any csLTL formulae \( \phi \) written over \( AP \) can be represented as a deterministic finite automaton (DFA) \( \mathcal{A}_\phi = (\mathcal{X}, \pi, \mathcal{X}_F, 2^{AP}, \delta_\phi) \), where: \( \mathcal{X} \) is a finite set of states, with states \( x \in \mathcal{X} \) representing the current stage of satisfaction of \( \phi \); \( \pi \in \mathcal{X} \) is the initial state; \( \mathcal{X}_F \subset \mathcal{X} \) is the set of accepting states; \( 2^{AP} \) is the alphabet; and \( \delta_\phi : \mathcal{X} \times 2^{AP} \rightarrow \mathcal{X} \) is a transition function. We maintain the
We define a time-varying SMDP with a priori unknown dynamics and GP belief (TV-SMDP-GP) as $M = (S_k \times S_e, (s_k, s_e), f, A, T, \Delta, AP, L)$. This extends the standard SMDP model in several ways. First, we factorise the state space, i.e. $S = S_k \times S_e$. This state space is built from known and fully observable state features $S_k \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$, and unknown or partially observable latent state features $S_e \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$. The value of the latent features is assumed to be uniquely defined according to the unknown time-varying mapping $f$, i.e. states are of the form $s = (s_k, f(s_k, t))$. We assume $S_k$ to be a finite abstraction of $\mathbb{R}^d$, i.e. we discretise the environment into a finite set. Furthermore, we restrict the labelling function to known state features $S_k$, i.e. $L : S_k \rightarrow 2^{AP}$.

Second, we extend the transition and action duration functions to consider the latent state features, and be time-dependent, as follows: $T : S_k \times A \times \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow Dist(S_k)$, i.e. $T(s_k, a, t)(s'_k)$ returns the probability of arriving at state $s'_k$ after taking action $a$ in state $s_k$ at time $t$; and $\Delta : (S_k \times S_e) \times A \times (S_k \times S_e) \times \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow Dist(\mathbb{R}^+)$ is a strictly positive and continuous distribution where $\Delta(s, a, s', t)$ returns the duration distribution of action $a$ from state $s = (s_k, s_e)$ to $s' = (s'_k, s'_e)$ starting at time $t$. Note that we assume the transition function $T$ depends only on the known state features, whereas the action duration distribution $\Delta$ is also dependent on the time-varying latent state feature. This dependence enables rich modelling of the influence of the latent state feature. For example, in the case illustrated in Figure 1, our model specifies that the action duration depends on the ocean currents.

Finally, we introduce an approximate mapping function $\hat{f} : S_k \times \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow Dist(S_e)$ that models the belief over the unknown function $f$. This formulation provides a flexible function approximator for the time-varying dynamics of the unknown latent state features $S_e$: We place a GP prior with spatio-temporal kernel over the belief $\hat{f}$, and condition on observations of the environment function $f$ which are gathered at the robot’s current location and incrementally added to the dataset $D$ online.

Our flexible TV-SMDP-GP formalism behaves similarly to a regular SMDP; however, the model evolves according to time-varying dynamics informed by the belief over latent state features. Thus, this formulation is similar to maintaining a belief over the transition function in a POMDP, or model-based BRL, as described in Section 2. Our formulation can be easily adapted to known time-varying dynamics by simply making $S = S_k$ and not using the function approximator $\hat{f}$, i.e. maintaining the time-varying distributions $T$ and $\Delta$ over the fully known state space. We refer to this as TV-SMDP and evaluate it as an upper baseline in Section 6.

5 Solving Time-Varying SMDPs

Online Planning and Execution. For efficiency, we are interested in online planning methods that restrict computation to reachable states and times, and are capable of utilising time-dependent transitions. Our proposed framework is presented in Algorithm 1. At each planning epoch (line 2), the robot samples the environment around its current state and updates its GP belief (line 3); then uses MCTS for $\tau$ trials to repeatedly sample paths from the current search node (defined later) to
provide an approximate optimal policy \( \hat{\pi}^* \) (line 4-5). Finally a single action is taken (line 6), and the known state feature and DFA state are updated accordingly (line 7). In our setting, the robot executes the single most sampled action from the root node, observes the environment to update its belief, and re-plans. However, a robot could follow \( \hat{\pi}^* \) for multiple actions until the uncertainty on transitions grows larger than a user specified threshold.

**Algorithm 1 TV-SMDP with GP Belief (TV-SMDP-GP)**

**Input:** TV-SMDP \( \mathcal{M} \), csLTL \( \phi \), Time-bound \( T \), Belief \( \hat{f} \); #trials \( \tau \); #observations \( \omega \);

1: **Initialise:** Compute DFA \( \mathcal{A}_0 := \langle \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{X}_F, \Delta \mathcal{F}, \delta_0 \rangle \); \( t \leftarrow 0 \); \( s_k \leftarrow s_k^* \); \( x \leftarrow \delta_0(x, L(s_k)) \)
2: **while** \( x \not\in \mathcal{X}_F \) and \( t < T \) **do**
3:   Add \( \omega \) noisy observations to \( \mathcal{D} \leftarrow \{s_k + \sigma_i, f(s_k + \sigma_i, t - \sigma_i')\}_{i=0}^\omega \); Update belief function \( \hat{f} \)
4:   \( b \leftarrow (s_k, f(s_k, t)); n \leftarrow (b, x, t) \)
5:   \( \hat{\pi}^* \leftarrow \) Perform \( \tau \) UCT trials from \( n \), with goal \( \{(b, x, t) | x \in \mathcal{X}_F \text{ and } t < T\} \), and extract policy
6:   Execute action \( \hat{\pi}^*(n) \)
7:   Update \( s_k \) and \( t \) according to outcome of \( \hat{\pi}^*(n) \); \( x \leftarrow \delta_0(x, L(s_k)) \)
8: **end while**
to the search tree, and $\epsilon$ can be changed on-the-fly. This parameter can be considered as restricting the branching factor of the tree, where each child node is both reachable and representative of the dynamics. Progressive widening [34] is an alternative approach to do this based on the frequency of visits, and also relies on parameterisation.

6 Evaluation

We compare three models: i. an SMDP with a stationary action duration distribution $\Delta$ as a lower baseline on performance. ii. a TV-SMDP with fully known dynamics, $T$ and $\Delta$ are as per an oracle. iii. a TV-SMDP-GP under unknown environment dynamics that is required to maintain a belief $f$.

We evaluate the models by performing time-bounded csLTL missions. First, in a simulated environment with rotating Gaussian dynamics. We demonstrate csLTL specifications with one, two and three goal propositions, such as in autonomous deep-sea hydrothermal vent monitoring. Second, we use real-world ocean currents data from three different regions of the Atlantic-European NW Shelf [35]. Dataset analysis is presented in Appendix 2, along with further example images.

Experiment 1: Rotating Gaussian Dynamics We perform 150 random time-bounded csLTL missions in a $10 \times 10$ grid-world, under a multivariate Gaussian function $f$ that is rotating counterclockwise around a fixed point in the environment. The true action duration $\Delta^t$ between state $s$ and $s'$ at time $t$ is dependent on the environment, i.e. $\Delta^t(s, a, s') = 1 + f(s', t)$ seconds, where the height of the multivariate Gaussian slows the robot’s traversal actions. We generate 50 random missions by sampling a start and goal state, and defining the mission as: $F g$ (eventually satisfy $g$) within time $T$, where $g$ is an atomic proposition labelling the sampled goal state. The timebound $T$ is defined as a scalar multiple of the $L_1$ distance between the start and goal states, and is successively relaxed creating four difficulty settings. We repeat the 50 missions with one additional goal $g_1$, specifying the mission as: $F g \land F g_1$ (eventually satisfy $g$ and $g_1$ in any order), within time $T$. Finally, we repeat the 50 missions a third time with a second additional goal $g_2$ and extend the mission to: $F g \land F g_1 \land F g_2$ within time $T$. Full experiment details are provided in Appendix 1. Images of the time-varying environment $f$ at six timepoints are shown in Appendix 1 Figure 4.

The SMDP model is characterised by sampling each action duration from a stationary distribution $\Delta = \log N(1, 0.01)$ distribution. This is akin to a discrete-time MDP, where time is discretised in advance to 1 second intervals, i.e. equal to the duration of transitioning between any two neighbouring states when not accounting for the environment dynamics. TV-SMDP has full access to query $f$ without noise, so $\Delta$ is time-varying, and it perfectly represents the environment’s influence over the transition model. TV-SMDP-GP maintains a GP belief over $f$, and at each planning epoch samples 10 observations around its current location with $\mathcal{U}(0, 1)$ perturbations.

Each MCTS planning epoch performs $\tau = 1000$ simulated trials, plus an additional $\tau' = 1000$ if $Q(n, a) = 0$ for all available actions at the root node. Therefore the tree size is bounded by 2000 reachable states, given that only one node is added per trial, as is standard in MCTS algorithms. If $Q(n, a) = 0$ for all actions after $\tau + \tau'$ trials, the rollout policy action is chosen. During the simulation phase, an admissible heuristic rollout policy is used based on the $L_1$ distance between the current state and each goal, with ties broken randomly. Finally, we backpropagate a reward whenever the DFA progresses towards the accepting state, according to the progression function defined in [31]. For example, for mission $F g \land F g_1$, we backpropagate a reward of $1/2$ whenever either goal is visited during the trial. This allows for improved efficiency over csLTL missions with multiple goals, by providing the search algorithm with a less sparse reward signal. Implementation details are provided in Appendix 1.

Experiment 2: Real-World Ocean Currents Dataset Due to the difficulty of performing real-world experiments in marine robotics, planning researchers typically use ocean datasets to evaluate systems on long-term spatio-temporal data. We use a publicly available ocean currents dataset from the EU project Copernicus Marine Service [35]. The dataset contains hourly ocean current forecast data over the Atlantic-European NW Shelf, at a resolution of 1,500m. Based on an approach from [1], we interpolate between available forecasts for three separate regions using a GP trained on 12 hours of data for that region. Full details are provided in the Appendix 2.
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Table 1: Rotating Gaussian dynamics: Averages over missions completed by all models.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Num of Goals</th>
<th>Success Rate</th>
<th>Avg Planning Steps to Goal</th>
<th>Avg Plan Time per step</th>
<th>Avg Mission Time to Goal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. SMDP</td>
<td>52.0% (23.3)</td>
<td>9.24 (2.7)</td>
<td>3.94(s) (1.1)</td>
<td>13.78(s) (4.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. TV-SMDP</td>
<td>88.5% (15.3)</td>
<td>8.70 (2.1)</td>
<td>4.28(s) (1.2)</td>
<td>11.83(s) (3.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. TV-SMDP-GP</td>
<td>71.0% (22.5)</td>
<td>8.54 (1.7)</td>
<td>140.09(s) (34.3)</td>
<td>12.69(s) (3.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Real world Ocean Currents: 30 Missions over three regions of Ocean.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Success Rate</th>
<th>Avg Steps to Goal</th>
<th>Avg Plan Time</th>
<th>Avg Mission Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. SMDP</td>
<td>30.2% (15.4)</td>
<td>7.55 (0.7)</td>
<td>4.94(s) (1.2)</td>
<td>8.89(hrs) (1.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. TV-SMDP</td>
<td>52.7% (14.1)</td>
<td>7.56 (0.7)</td>
<td>85.52(s) (21.6)</td>
<td>8.83(hrs) (1.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. TV-SMDP-GP</td>
<td>36.7% (16.7)</td>
<td>7.49 (0.6)</td>
<td>163.08(s) (40.8)</td>
<td>8.79(hrs) (1.8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We perform 30 time-bounded reachability missions over three different ocean regions where the currents \((u, v)\) affect robot traversal action duration. We sample a random start \(s_k\) and goal \(g\) within a \(6 \times 6\) grid, and random start time \(t\) from the available forecasts. We compare the three models over four time-bound mission difficulties by relaxing \(T\). We force the robot to exploit the currents by setting very short time-bounds, i.e. less than the required time to travel under its own force. Region two is shown at two timepoints in Figure 3 (left). Full experiment details are provided in Appendix 2, along with further ocean dataset images of Region three in Figure 6.

We have artificially disadvantaged the TV-SMDP-GP model in this experiment. At the start of missions the TV-SMDP-GP relies only on the modelling assumptions of the GP prior. Whereas, in a real-world robotic deployment, the belief function could be pre-trained with the most recent available daily forecasts ahead of time. In this scenario, the TV-SMDP-GP mission performance would tend towards the TV-SMDP model, and the belief would only be required to capture the inherent unforeseen dynamics of the ocean affecting the robot’s dynamics.

Results & Discussion  Results summarising all 150 time-bounded missions in Experiment 1 under Gaussian dynamics are provided in Table 1, and Experiment 2 under real-world ocean currents in Table 2 (std shown in parenthesis). Table results are aggregated for missions that were completed by all three models at any difficulty setting, so provide a direct comparison of the completed missions.
Figure 2 (left) presents the proportion of mission successes (binary outcome) for missions with one goal, broken down by the four mission difficulties specified by T. Either the robot successfully satisfied a mission or it failed (no variance). There is a clear trend: when tight time-bounds are specified, the TV-SMDP and TV-SMDP-GP models exploit the spatio-temporal dynamics of the domain and plan ahead in order to succeed, unlike the SMDP model which plans using a stationary $\Delta$.

Figure 2 (right) presents the distributions of total simulated mission time for missions with increasing number of goals. We see that overall mission time increases, with higher variance, as more goals are specified which is as expected. Importantly however, we also see that the TV-SMDP and TV-SMDP-GP models require less planning steps per mission on average (Table 1), and satisfy the missions quicker than the SMDP model. However, planning wallclock time is substantially higher for models that are required to maintain a GP belief function.

Finally, in Table 2 we see that TV-SMDP and TV-SMDP-GP are able to exploit ocean currents over three different regions of the Atlantic-European ocean. By maintaining a belief, the TV-SMDP-GP model is required to make predictions outside of its dataset of observations, and as such propagates its uncertainty into planning in order to make better action choices in this online setting.

7 Conclusion

In this paper we have proposed an online planning framework to address time-bounded missions under time-varying dynamics, where those dynamics affect the duration and outcome of actions. We demonstrate how a priori unknown environment dynamics can be represented using a GP, and incorporated into the time-dependent Semi-MDP dynamics, and solved using MCTS. We show improved mission performance on marine vehicle data acting under real-world spatio-temporal ocean currents, and demonstrate the ability to solve csLTL missions in time-varying domains. As time-bounded reachability specifications become more difficult to satisfy, TV-SMDP and TV-SMDP-GP are shown to exploit the known, or predicted dynamics of the environment to assist completing the csLTL mission within the time-bound. To the best of our knowledge, we present the first algorithm to handle time-varying problems with unknown dynamics, where those dynamics affect robot actions, and the first algorithm capable of satisfying csLTL missions in time-varying domains.

In future work we first intend to integrate a sparse GP approximation as per [36] for scaling to more observations. Second, we intend to extend the framework to handle full LTL mission specifications, and develop a framework for persistent surveillance rather than single missions.
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Appendix

1 Experiment 1: Rotating Gaussian Dynamics

Environment Dynamics: A single rotating multivariate Gaussian over a $10 \times 10$ grid-world:

$$f(s_k, t) = \mathcal{N}(m \otimes \left[\frac{\cos(t/r) + 5}{\sin(t/r) + 5}\right], \Sigma),$$

where $m = [3, 3], r = \frac{\pi}{50}$, $\otimes$ denotes element-wise product and $\Sigma = \text{diag}(5, 1, 5.1)$.

The known state features $(x, y)$ are 1m apart; the agent speed is $1$m/s; and the robot’s available actions $A(s)$ are: $1m \times \{\text{up}, \text{down}, \text{left}, \text{right}\}$ in all but boundary states when this set is reduced.

The true and deterministic action duration $\Delta^*$ between state $s$ and $s'$ at time $t$ is dependent on the spatio-temporal environment: $\Delta^*(s, a, s') = 1 + f(s', t)$ seconds, where the dynamics slows the robot’s traversal.

![Figure 4: Left: Counter-clockwise rotating Gaussian dynamics that slow the robot’s traversal actions, at six timepoints. Yellow indicates high values and slowest action durations.](image)

Missions: We specify mission difficulty based upon the imposed time-bound between easy and very difficult, i.e. a scalar $[2.2, 2.0, 1.8, 1.5] \times ||s_k, g||$ respectively, where $|| \cdot ||$ refers to the $L_1$ distance between the start state $s_k$ and the goal $g$. This distance is set to a minimum of 8 for the 50 random missions. Additional goals are added with an $L_1$ distance of no less than 4. Each mission is performed for each difficulty setting.

TV-SMDP-GP: Observations are sequentially added to $D$:

$\{(x + 1) - 2\sigma_t, (y + 1) - 2\sigma_t, t - \sigma_t, f(x, y, t)\}_{\sigma_t=0}$, where $\sigma_t, \sigma_j, \sigma_k \sim \mathcal{U}(0, 1)$ and $\omega = 10$.

GP Belief: The GP belief $\hat{f} : \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^+ \to \text{Dist}(\mathbb{R})$ is queried for a belief distribution over $s_c'$ for each new search node $n'$, i.e. $\mathcal{N}(\hat{f}(s'_c, t) | \mu(s'_c, t), \Sigma(s'_c, t))$, where $\mu$ and $\Sigma$ are specified in Equation (2). The kernel function $k$ is a spatio-temporal kernel comprising of: $k_{\text{additive}} = k_{xy} + k_t + k_{\text{lin}},$ where: $k_{xy} = \sigma_{xy} \exp\left(-\frac{((x,y)-(x',y'))^2}{2\sigma_y^2}\right),$ $k_t = \sigma_t \exp\left(-\frac{(t-t')^2}{2\tau^2}\right),$ and $k_{\text{lin}} = \sigma_{\text{lin}}((x, y, t)(x', y', t')).$

We place prior Gamma distributions over all the GP hyperparameters: $\theta = (l_{xy}, \sigma_{xy}, l_t, \sigma_t, \sigma_{\text{lin}}):$

$p(l_{xy}) = \Gamma(1, 1), p(\sigma_{xy}) = \Gamma(1, 1), p(l_t) = \Gamma(10, 1), p(\sigma_t) = \Gamma(1, 1), p(\sigma_{\text{lin}}) = \Gamma(10, 1).$

The TV-SMDP-GP action duration distribution $\Delta$ maintains the mean and variance of the belief distribution $\hat{f}$ over latent state features (as described in Section 5). This facilitates the choice of $\Delta$ to be a strictly positive, truncated-Normal distribution centered around the GP mean and variance: $\Delta(b, a, b', t) := \mathcal{N}(\mu(\hat{f}(s'_k, t')), \Sigma(\hat{f}(s'_k, t')))$, truncated from below, by $\min = 1(s)$, where $\mu$ and $\Sigma$ are defined in Equation (2).
**MCTS Parameters:**
- Number of known states = $10 \times 10$
- Number of trials $\tau = 1000$
- Additional trials $\tau' = 1000$
- Max trial length $\zeta = 100$
- Exploration weight $p = 0.9$
- Time epsilon (for considering search nodes equal) $\epsilon : 0.5$
- Rollout policy used: $\bar{\pi}(s_k) = \arg\min_{a \in A(s)} ||s_k' - g_i||_1 \forall g_i \in G$

**Multi-goal Results:**

![Graph](image-url)

Figure 5: Success-rate of 50 missions under rotating Gaussian dynamics by time-bound difficulty. csLTL missions specified with one (top), two (middle) and three (bottom) goals.
2 Experiment 2: Real World Ocean Current Dynamics

Environment Dynamics: We accessed hourly oceanic current forecasts for three regions of the Atlantic-European NW Shelf:
Region 1: Norwegian Sea 61.1° to 61.2° latitude and 4.5° to 4.65° longitude;
Region 2: Atlantic Ocean (West of France) 47.6° to 47.7° latitude and −7.91° to −7.79° longitude;
Region 3: Atlantic Ocean (North of Scotland) 59.8° to 59.9° latitude and −4.67° to −4.55° longitude.

The dataset comprises of a grid of fixed locations 1500m apart. Based on an approach from [1], we interpolate between available hourly forecasts using a Gaussian process trained on 12 hours of available forecast data. The forecast we used was for May 1st 2020 and is available online at [35]. Further details on the forecast model in [37].

The known state features are the sensor \((x, y)\) locations 1500m apart, arranged in a dense grid. The robot’s available actions are 1500m in four cardinal directions corresponding to vehicle headings: \(A(s) = \{0°, 90°, 180°, 270°\}\, in all but boundary states when this set is reduced.

The true action duration of the robot is sampled from a \(\log N(\mathbf{v}_r(a), 0.01)\) distribution which is relative to the spatio-temporal ocean currents. We denote the velocity of the robot relative to the water when taking action \(a\) by \(\mathbf{v}_r(a)\). The velocity of the ocean current at state \(s\) and time \(t\) is denoted \(\mathbf{v}_c(s, t)\), which is obtained by querying the Ocean Simulator GP at the location and time.

The robot velocity is assumed constant: \(\mathbf{v}_r(a) = ||0.5||_2\) for all actions. Therefore the expected true action duration between state \(s\) and \(s'\) at time \(t\) is \(1500/||\mathbf{v}_r(a) + \mathbf{v}_c(s, t)||_1\) seconds. Under \((u, v) = [0, 0]\) currents, the expected duration of an action would be \(1500 \times (0.5)^{-2} = 3000\) seconds, or 50 minutes. A positive \(u\) current is from the west. A positive \(v\) current is from the south.

Missions: We specify mission difficulty based upon the imposed time-bound between easy and very difficult, i.e. a scalar \(\{2700, 2800, 2900, 3000\} \times ||s_k, g||_1\) seconds respectively, where \(||\cdot||_1\) refers to the \(L_1\) distance between start state \(s_k\) and goal \(g\). This distance is set to a minimum of 8 for these 30 missions. Each mission is performed for each difficulty setting.

TV-SMDP-GP: Observations are sequentially added to \(D\):
\[
\{(x + 1) - 2\sigma_i, (y + 1) - 2\sigma_j, t - \sigma_k, f(x, y, t)\}_{i=0}^w, \] where \(\sigma_i, \sigma_j, \sigma_k \sim U(0, 1)\) and \(\omega = 10\).

GP Belief: The multi-output GP belief \(\tilde{f}(t) : \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \text{Dist}(\mathbb{R}^2)\) is queried for a belief distribution over over \(s_c\), the perpendicular current vector \(u\) and \(v\), for each new search node \(n'\), i.e. \(\mathcal{N}(f(s_k, t) | \mu(s_k, t), \Sigma(s_k, t))\), where \(\mu\) and \(\Sigma\) are specified in Equation (2). The kernel function \(k\) is a multi-output spatio-temporal kernel comprising of: \(k_{\text{additive}} = k_{xy} + k_t + k_{\text{lin}}\), where:
\[
k_{xy} = \sigma_{xy} \exp\left(-\frac{(x-y)^2}{2\sigma_{xy}^2}\right),
k_t = \sigma_t \exp\left(-\frac{(t-t')^2}{2\sigma_t^2}\right), \text{ and } k_{\text{lin}} = \sigma_{\text{lin}}^2 (||x, y, t, x', y', t'||).
\]

We place prior Gamma distributions over all the GP hyperparameters, \(\theta = (l_{xy}, \sigma_{xy}, l_t, \sigma_t, \sigma_{\text{lin}})\):
\[
p(l_{xy}) = \Gamma(1, 1), p(\sigma_{xy}) = \Gamma(1, 1), p(l_t) = \Gamma(10, 1), p(\sigma_t) = \Gamma(1, 1), p(\sigma_{\text{lin}}) = \Gamma(10, 1),
\]
The TV-SMDP-GP action duration distribution \(\Delta\) maintains the mean and variance of the belief distribution \(\tilde{f}\) over latent state features (as described in Section 5). This facilitates the choice of \(\Delta\) to be a strictly positive, truncated-Normal distribution centered around the GP mean and variance: \(\Delta(b, a, b', t) = \mathcal{N}(\mu(f(s_k, t))), \Sigma(f(s_k, t)))\), truncated from below, by \(\text{min} = 1000(s)\) or (16 minutes), where \(\mu\) and \(\Sigma\) are defined as per Equation (2).
**MCTS Parameters:** number of known states = $6 \times 6$
number of trials $\tau = 1500$
additional trials $\tau' = 1500$
max trial length $\zeta = 100$
exploration weight $p = 0.9$
time epsilon (for considering search nodes equal) $\epsilon : 10^{-6}$
rollout policy used: $\pi(s_k) = \arg\min_{s \in A(s)} \|s_k - g\|

**Real World Ocean Dataset:** Region 3 over 15 hours

Figure 6: 15 hour images of Atlantic-European NW Shelf Dataset for Region 3: 59.8° to 59.9° latitude and −4.67° to −4.55° longitude.
Figure 7: Atlantic-European NW Shelf Region 1, 2 and 3 from left to right. 1st row: Depth of region. 2nd row: Variation over time of average current angular velocity. 3rd row: Variation over time of average current angle. 4th row: Variation over time of average current magnitude.