RDM-DC: Poisoning Resilient Dataset Condensation with Robust Distribution Matching (Supplementary Material)

Tianhang Zheng¹

Baochun Li¹

¹Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of Toronto

1 OMITTED PROOF

Lemma 1.1 Assuming that \mathcal{D} and \mathcal{B} have bounded covariance matrices $\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}}, \Sigma_{\mathcal{B}} \leq \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}$, and their means have an apparent difference, i.e., $\|\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathcal{D}} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathcal{B}}\|_2^2 \geq \frac{\alpha\sigma^2}{\epsilon}$ where $\alpha > \frac{2665}{576}$, then if we drop all the representations that satisfies $|\langle \boldsymbol{r} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathcal{P}}, \boldsymbol{v} \rangle| \geq t$ with a certain t, then we can reduce the scale of the poisoned deviation from $O(\epsilon \sqrt{d_r})$ to $O(\epsilon^2 \sqrt{d_r})$.

To prove the above lemma, we need the help of Chebyshev's inequality, which is introduced in the following.

Lemma 1.2 (Chebyshev's inequality) Given a scalar random variable X, if $\mathbb{E}[X] = \mu$ and $Var[X] = \sigma^2$, then

$$\mathbb{P}(|X - \mu| \ge t) \le \frac{\sigma^2}{t^2} \tag{1}$$

Given Chebyshev's inequality, we have the following corollary, which will be used in the proof of Lemma 1.1.

Corollary 1.1 Given a multi-dimensional variable X, if $\mathbb{E}[X] = \mu$ and $Cov[X] \leq \sigma^2 I$, then for any unit vector u, we have

$$\mathbb{P}(|\langle \boldsymbol{X} - \boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{u} \rangle| > t) \le \frac{\sigma^2}{t^2}$$
(2)

Proof [Proof of Corollary 1.1]

Considering $\langle X, u \rangle$ as a scalar random variable, we have $\mathbb{E}[\langle X, u \rangle] = \langle \mu, u \rangle$ and,

$$\operatorname{Var}[\langle \boldsymbol{X}, \boldsymbol{u} \rangle] = \boldsymbol{u}^T Cov[\boldsymbol{X}] \boldsymbol{u} \le \sigma^2.$$
(3)

With Chebyshev's inequality, we know that

$$\mathbb{P}(|\langle \boldsymbol{X}, \boldsymbol{u} \rangle - \langle \boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{u} \rangle| \ge t) \le \frac{\operatorname{Var}[\langle \boldsymbol{X}, \boldsymbol{u} \rangle]}{t^2} \le \frac{\sigma^2}{t^2} \quad (4)$$

Beyond Corollary 1.1, we also need to use the following lemma and corollary in the proof of Lemma 1.1.

Lemma 1.3 Given two distributions P and Q with mean μ_P and μ_Q and covariance matrices $\Sigma_P, \Sigma_Q \leq \sigma^2 I$, if $\|\mu_P - \mu_Q\|_2^2 \geq \frac{\alpha \sigma^2}{\epsilon}$, then $\langle v, \mu_P - \mu_Q \rangle^2 \geq \frac{\alpha \sigma^2 - \sigma^2/(1-\epsilon)}{\epsilon}$ where v is the first eigenvector of the covariance matrix of $(1-\epsilon)P + \epsilon Q$.

Proof [Proof of Lemma 1.3] The mean of the mixture $(1 - \epsilon)\mathbf{P} + \epsilon \mathbf{Q}$ is $(1 - \epsilon)\mathbf{\mu}_{\mathbf{P}} + \epsilon \mathbf{\mu}_{\mathbf{Q}}$, which is denoted by $\mathbf{\mu}_{\mathbf{M}}$. We denote $\mathbf{\mu}_{\mathbf{P}} - \mathbf{\mu}_{\mathbf{Q}}$ by δ . The covariance matrix of $(1 - \epsilon)\mathbf{P} + \epsilon \mathbf{Q}$ can be expressed as

$$\mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{X}\sim(1-\epsilon)\boldsymbol{P}+\epsilon\boldsymbol{Q}}[(\boldsymbol{X}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{M}})(\boldsymbol{X}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{M}})^{T}]$$

= $(1-\epsilon)\mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{X}\sim\boldsymbol{P}}[(\boldsymbol{X}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{M}})(\boldsymbol{X}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{M}})^{T}]$
+ $\epsilon\mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{X}\sim\boldsymbol{Q}}[(\boldsymbol{X}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{M}})(\boldsymbol{X}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{M}})^{T}]$
(5)

Since we have

$$\mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{X}\sim\boldsymbol{P}}[(\boldsymbol{X}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{M}})(\boldsymbol{X}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{M}})^{T}]$$

$$=\mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{X}\sim\boldsymbol{P}}[(\boldsymbol{X}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{P}}+\epsilon\boldsymbol{\delta})(\boldsymbol{X}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{P}}+\epsilon\boldsymbol{\delta})^{T}]$$

$$=\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\boldsymbol{P}}+\epsilon^{2}\boldsymbol{\delta}\boldsymbol{\delta}^{T}$$

$$\mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{X}\sim\boldsymbol{Q}}[(\boldsymbol{X}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{M}})(\boldsymbol{X}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{M}})^{T}]$$

$$=\mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{X}\sim\boldsymbol{Q}}[(\boldsymbol{X}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{Q}}-(1-\epsilon)\boldsymbol{\delta})^{T})(\boldsymbol{X}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{Q}}-(1-\epsilon)\boldsymbol{\delta})^{T}]$$

$$=\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\boldsymbol{Q}}+(1-\epsilon)^{2}\boldsymbol{\delta}\boldsymbol{\delta}^{T},$$

we have a lower bound on the covariance matrix of the mixture $(1-\epsilon) \boldsymbol{P} + \epsilon \boldsymbol{Q}$ as

$$\Sigma_{\boldsymbol{M}} = \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{X} \sim (1-\epsilon)\boldsymbol{P}+\epsilon\boldsymbol{Q}}[(\boldsymbol{X}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{M}})(\boldsymbol{X}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{M}})^{T}]$$

= $(1-\epsilon)\Sigma_{\boldsymbol{P}} + \epsilon\Sigma_{\boldsymbol{Q}} + \epsilon(1-\epsilon)\boldsymbol{\delta}\boldsymbol{\delta}^{T} \ge \epsilon(1-\epsilon)\boldsymbol{\delta}\boldsymbol{\delta}^{T}$ (6)

Accepted for the 39th Conference on Uncertainty in Artificial Intelligence (UAI 2023).

Suppose that v is the first eigenvector of Σ_M and $u = \frac{\delta}{\|\delta\|_2}$, we then have

$$\boldsymbol{v}^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\boldsymbol{M}} \boldsymbol{v} \ge \boldsymbol{u}^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\boldsymbol{M}} \boldsymbol{u} \ge \epsilon (1-\epsilon) \boldsymbol{u}^T \boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\delta}^T \boldsymbol{u} = \epsilon (1-\epsilon) \| \boldsymbol{\delta} \|_2^2.$$
(7)

Since $\Sigma_{\boldsymbol{P}}, \Sigma_{\boldsymbol{Q}} \leq \sigma^2 \boldsymbol{I}$, we also have

$$\boldsymbol{v}^{T} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\boldsymbol{M}} \boldsymbol{v} = (1-\epsilon) \boldsymbol{v}^{T} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\boldsymbol{P}} \boldsymbol{v} + \epsilon \boldsymbol{v}^{T} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\boldsymbol{Q}} \boldsymbol{v} + \epsilon (1-\epsilon) \boldsymbol{v}^{T} \boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\delta}^{T} \boldsymbol{v}$$

$$\leq \sigma^{2} + \epsilon (1-\epsilon) \langle \boldsymbol{v}, \boldsymbol{\delta} \rangle^{2}$$
(8)

Thus, we have

$$\langle \boldsymbol{v}, \boldsymbol{\delta} \rangle^2 \ge \frac{\boldsymbol{v}^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_M \boldsymbol{v} - \sigma^2}{\epsilon(1-\epsilon)} \ge \|\boldsymbol{\delta}\|_2^2 - \frac{\sigma^2}{\epsilon(1-\epsilon)}$$
(9)

Given the assumption that $\|\boldsymbol{\delta}\|_2^2 \geq \frac{\alpha \sigma^2}{\epsilon}$,

$$\langle \boldsymbol{v}, \boldsymbol{\delta} \rangle^2 \ge \frac{\alpha \sigma^2 - \sigma^2 / (1 - \epsilon)}{\epsilon}$$
 (10)

Based on Lemma 1.3, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 1.2 Given the definitions and conditions in Lemma 1.3, if $\epsilon \leq \frac{1}{10}$ and $\alpha > \frac{2665}{576}$, then we have $(1-2\epsilon)|\langle \boldsymbol{\delta}, \boldsymbol{v} \rangle| > \frac{3\sigma}{2\sqrt{\epsilon}}$.

Proof Given Lemma 1.3, we have

$$(1-2\epsilon)|\langle \boldsymbol{\delta}, \boldsymbol{v} \rangle| \ge (1-2\epsilon)\sqrt{\alpha - \frac{1}{1-\epsilon}}\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{\epsilon}}$$
 (11)

Since $1 - 2\epsilon$ and $-\frac{1}{1-\epsilon}$ are decreasing functions w.r.t. ϵ , they achieve the minimum at $\epsilon = \frac{1}{10}$. Thus, we have

$$(1-2\epsilon)|\langle \boldsymbol{\delta}, \boldsymbol{v} \rangle| \ge \frac{4}{5}\sqrt{\alpha - \frac{10}{9}}\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{\epsilon}}.$$
 (12)

So if
$$\alpha > \frac{2665}{576}$$
, we have $(1 - 2\epsilon) |\langle \boldsymbol{\delta}, \boldsymbol{v} \rangle| > \frac{3\sigma}{2\sqrt{\epsilon}}$.

Proof [Proof of Lemma 1.1] The mean of the poisoned representation distribution \mathcal{P} is $\mu_{\mathcal{P}} = (1 - \epsilon)\mu_{\mathcal{D}} + \epsilon\mu_{\mathcal{B}}$. Let $\delta = \mu_{\mathcal{B}} - \mu_{\mathcal{D}}$ and $t = |\epsilon \langle \delta, v \rangle| + \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{\epsilon}}$. We denote the covariance matrix of \mathcal{P} by $\Sigma_{\mathcal{P}}$ and its first eigenvector by v.

For the original representation distribution, we have

$$\mathbb{P}_{\boldsymbol{r}\sim\mathcal{D}}[|\langle \boldsymbol{r}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathcal{P}},\boldsymbol{v}\rangle| > t] \\
= \mathbb{P}_{\boldsymbol{r}\sim\mathcal{D}}[|\langle \boldsymbol{r}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathcal{D}},\boldsymbol{v}\rangle - \epsilon\langle\boldsymbol{\delta},\boldsymbol{v}\rangle| > t] \quad (1) \\
\leq \mathbb{P}_{\boldsymbol{r}\sim\mathcal{D}}[|\langle \boldsymbol{r}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathcal{D}},\boldsymbol{v}\rangle| > \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{\epsilon}}] \quad (2) \\
\leq \epsilon \quad (3)$$
(13)

(1) is because $\mu_{\mathcal{P}} = \mu_{\mathcal{D}} + \epsilon \delta$. (2) is because if $|\langle r - \mu_{\mathcal{D}}, v \rangle - \epsilon \langle \delta, v \rangle| > t$, then either $\langle r - \mu_{\mathcal{D}} \rangle > t + \epsilon \langle \delta, v \rangle > \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{\epsilon}}$ or $\langle r - \mu_{\mathcal{D}} \rangle < -t + \epsilon \langle \delta, v \rangle < -\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{\epsilon}}$ holds true. Thus, we have $|\langle r - \mu_{\mathcal{D}} \rangle| > \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{\epsilon}}$, and $\{r, |\langle r - \mu_{\mathcal{D}}, v \rangle - \epsilon \langle \delta, v \rangle| > t\} \subseteq \{r, |\langle r - \mu_{\mathcal{D}}, v \rangle| > \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{\epsilon}}\}$. Therefore, (2) holds true. (3) is because of Corollary 1.1.

For the poisoned distribution, we have

$$\mathbb{P}_{\boldsymbol{r}\sim\mathcal{B}}[|\langle \boldsymbol{r}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathcal{P}},\boldsymbol{v}\rangle| < t] \\
= \mathbb{P}_{\boldsymbol{r}\sim\mathcal{B}}[|\langle \boldsymbol{r}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathcal{B}},\boldsymbol{v}\rangle + (1-\epsilon)\langle\boldsymbol{\delta},\boldsymbol{v}\rangle| < t] (1) \\
\leq \mathbb{P}_{\boldsymbol{r}\sim\mathcal{B}}[|\langle \boldsymbol{r}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathcal{B}},\boldsymbol{v}\rangle| > (1-2\epsilon)|\langle\boldsymbol{\delta},\boldsymbol{v}\rangle| - \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{\epsilon}}] (2) \\
\leq \mathbb{P}_{\boldsymbol{r}\sim\mathcal{B}}[|\langle \boldsymbol{r}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathcal{B}},\boldsymbol{v}\rangle| > \frac{\sigma}{2\sqrt{\epsilon}}] \leq 4\epsilon (3) \quad (14)$$

(1) is because $\mu_{\mathcal{P}} = \mu_{\mathcal{B}} - (1 - \epsilon)\delta$. In the following, we prove (2): Given $|\langle \boldsymbol{r} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathcal{B}}, \boldsymbol{v} \rangle + (1 - \epsilon)\langle \boldsymbol{\delta}, \boldsymbol{v} \rangle| < t$, we have $-t - (1 - \epsilon)\langle \boldsymbol{\delta}, \boldsymbol{v} \rangle < \langle \boldsymbol{r} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathcal{B}}, \boldsymbol{v} \rangle < t - (1 - \epsilon)\langle \boldsymbol{\delta}, \boldsymbol{v} \rangle$. Since $t = |\epsilon\langle \boldsymbol{\delta}, \boldsymbol{v} \rangle| + \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{\epsilon}}, -|\epsilon\langle \boldsymbol{\delta}, \boldsymbol{v} \rangle| - \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} - (1 - \epsilon)\langle \boldsymbol{\delta}, \boldsymbol{v} \rangle < \langle \boldsymbol{r} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathcal{B}}, \boldsymbol{v} \rangle < |\epsilon\langle \boldsymbol{\delta}, \boldsymbol{v} \rangle| + \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} - (1 - \epsilon)\langle \boldsymbol{\delta}, \boldsymbol{v} \rangle$.

Then, we consider two cases: If $\langle \boldsymbol{\delta}, \boldsymbol{v} \rangle \geq 0$, we have $\langle \boldsymbol{r} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathcal{B}}, \boldsymbol{v} \rangle < \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} - (1 - 2\epsilon) |\langle \boldsymbol{\delta}, \boldsymbol{v} \rangle|$. Given Corollary 1.2, we have $|\langle \boldsymbol{r} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathcal{B}}, \boldsymbol{v} \rangle| > (1 - 2\epsilon) |\langle \boldsymbol{\delta}, \boldsymbol{v} \rangle| - \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{\epsilon}}$. If $\langle \boldsymbol{\delta}, \boldsymbol{v} \rangle < 0$, we have $\langle \boldsymbol{r} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathcal{B}}, \boldsymbol{v} \rangle > (1 - 2\epsilon) |\langle \boldsymbol{\delta}, \boldsymbol{v} \rangle| - \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{\epsilon}}$. Given Corollary 1.2, we also have $|\langle \boldsymbol{r} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathcal{B}}, \boldsymbol{v} \rangle| > (1 - 2\epsilon) |\langle \boldsymbol{\delta}, \boldsymbol{v} \rangle| - \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{\epsilon}}$. Therefore, (2) holds true. (3) is because of Corollary 1.2.

Suppose after filtering out the data that satisfies $|\langle r - \mu_{\mathcal{P}}, v \rangle| \geq t$, the remaining deviation caused by \mathcal{B} is expected to be

$$\begin{aligned} |\epsilon \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{r} \sim \mathcal{B}, |\langle \boldsymbol{r} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathcal{P}}, \boldsymbol{v} \rangle| < t}[\boldsymbol{r}]| &< \epsilon t \mathbb{P}_{\boldsymbol{r} \sim \mathcal{B}}[|\langle \boldsymbol{r} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathcal{P}}, \boldsymbol{v} \rangle| < t] \\ &\leq 4\epsilon^2 t = 4\epsilon^2 (|\epsilon \langle \boldsymbol{\delta}, \boldsymbol{v} \rangle| + \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{\epsilon}}) \end{aligned}$$
(15)

Since $\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} \leq \frac{2}{3} |\epsilon \langle \boldsymbol{\delta}, \boldsymbol{v} \rangle|$ according to Corollary 1.2, we have

$$|\epsilon \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{r} \sim \mathcal{B}, |\langle \boldsymbol{r} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathcal{P}}, \boldsymbol{v} \rangle| < t}[\boldsymbol{r}]| \leq \frac{20}{3} \epsilon^{3} |\langle \boldsymbol{\delta}, \boldsymbol{v} \rangle| \leq \frac{20}{3} \epsilon^{3} \|\boldsymbol{\delta}\|_{2}$$
(16)

Since $\epsilon \leq \frac{1}{10}$ and $\|\boldsymbol{\delta}\|_2 \sim \Theta(\sqrt{d_r})$, we have

$$|\epsilon \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{r} \sim \mathcal{B}, |\langle \boldsymbol{r} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathcal{P}}, \boldsymbol{v} \rangle| < t}[\boldsymbol{r}]| \sim \Theta(\epsilon^2 \sqrt{d}_{\boldsymbol{r}}).$$
(17)